

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 30.032.20.44.0050	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	property date
Property address: 19120 Larkspur Ave N Marine on St Croix, M	N 55047
Owner/representative: Clayton & Janelle Evens	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks and a gra	vitv. rock trench drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/29/2021	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.  An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	e)
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing t	o protect groundwater
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componer	nt #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componer	nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.25	500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	to protect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com	oliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Reviewed septic system records on file at Washington Cou	inty.
3	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowr inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	n conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
<b>By typing my name below</b> , I certify the above statements to be true a used for the purpose of processing this form.	nd correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically signe	Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally req ☐ Other information (list):	uired forms   Tank Integrity Assessment   Operating Permit


ess Name: All State Septic Services LL	.C	Date:	9/29/2021
pact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
Searched for seeping or surfacing to	the ground surface -	none observed during the inspection.	
<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5	-
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2  ☐ Yes* ☒ No		n:
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:  Apostle Septic Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentatio	Apostle Septic Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Apostle Septic Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system fer. ☐ dresults: Inspection. Lowered a	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with essary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicises failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the interest of the series of the seri	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter.  d results: inspection. Lowered a appear ok.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with essary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the irisers and maintenance hole covers	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter.  d results: inspection. Lowered a appear ok.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with essary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the irisers and maintenance hole covers	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter.  d results: inspection. Lowered a appear ok.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with essary – explain below
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the irisers and maintenance hole covers	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ ates the system ter.  d results: inspection. Lowered a appear ok.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)  Other:	Apostle Septic Service ess: L2374 9/29/2021 each) nin three years) sment complies with essary – explain below

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

P	Property Address: 19120 Larkspur Ave N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047
В	Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC Date: 9/29/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5
	- Compliance conditions - Compliance Component #3 013
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🔲 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⋈ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.
	Compliance criteria:
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  \Begin{align*} Yes \Boxed No
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.
	Describe verification methods and results:
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)

Soil separation — Compliance component #5 of 5  Date of installation 6/2/2003	siness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: _	9/29/2021
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):  Sa. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or to serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  Sb. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  Sb. Non-performance systems built ander 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules produced to the produced of the periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Soil observation logs completed for the report  Two previous verifications of required vertical separation  Not applicable (No soil treatment area)  Not applicable (No soil treatment area)  Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media  3.3  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  7  C. System separation  3.7  D. Required compliance separation  3 *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	<b>Soil separation</b> – Compliance cor	mponent #5	of 5	
Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media 3.3  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 7  C. System separation 3.7  D. Required compliance separation* 3  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		Unknown		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  Torainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  To. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No		he report
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  1 Yes No*  Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media 3.3  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 7  C. System separation 3.7  D. Required compliance separation* 3 *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	d vertical separati
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day;	not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080. 2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≥ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	separation distance from periodically			
performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*    State		⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
Tod, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*    D. Required compliance separation   S. Feriodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		*	A. Bottom of distribution media	3.3
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*    D. Required compliance separation*   3.7     D. Required compliance separation*   3     *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.    Sc. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules;   Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules;   Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules probable in the probable		*	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	7
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  *No*  *Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required < 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.			C. System separation	3.7
*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	saturated soil or bedrock."			owed by Local
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
failing to protect groundwater.	separation distance from periodically			
Describe verification methods and results:		system is		
	Describe verification methods and results:			

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.





## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

Client: Clay		1									
Soil narent material(s). (Chec		Clay Evens	v			Locatio	Location / Address:	19120 Lai	kspur Ave	N Marrine of	19120 Larkspur Ave N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047
عماد هما حالد الامدد المرزع). (حالد	k all that	apply)	Lances.	Outwash Lacustrine	e Loess		Alluvium		Bedrock	Organic Matter	Matter
Landscape Position: (select one)	(əı			Slope %:	Slope	Slope shape				Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:	lawn		Soi	Soil survey map units:					Limit	Limiting Layer Elevation:	levation:
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Jay:		clear pm	md				Date	te	60	09/29/21
Observation #/Location:	SP	SP-1					)Sq0	Observation Type:	ئة	1	Auger
Depth (in) Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	lor(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	$\vdash$	Indicator(c)		···	Structure	
-	Frag. %	S XI DOWN	(6)	(3)	N VO PON	-	1910	Shape	15	Grade	Consistence
has ymeol		10YR 3/	3/2		**********					****	
			*********				,,,,,,,,,,		un un un	*********	
2000		10YR 4/	4/4							*****	
o-22 toanny sand										000° 2000° 2000°	
bucz mi ibom		10YR 4/	4/4							2000 2000 2000	
**********									************	e tonne tonne to	
C 344 346 3			***********								
		***********	************			**********				900° 900° 900	
**** **** ***		********	**********							2000 2000 20	
* 30 × 30 × 3									********	ne war war	
		******								) 	
			************							neor neor ne	
**************************************		******	************		**********		********			r noor noor n	
Comments											
I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	mpleted	this work in a	accordan	ice with all applical	ble ordinance	s, rules a	ind laws.				
Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien	u			1568			9/29/21
(Designer/Inspector)				(Signature)				(License #)			(Date)