

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 09.029.21.31.0013	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 4465 Jack Pine Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 5504	12
Owner/representative: Dick Murray	Owner's phone: 651-777-3345
Brief system description: Two precast septic tanks and one prec	
Contain atatus	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/11/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	
	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Reviewed septic system records on file at the City of Lake	Elmo.
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of vn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature:Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally re Locally	equired forms
Other information (list):	

ness Name: All State Septic Services LL	C	Date: _1	0/11/2021
mpact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Not applicable	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* No	-	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	the system is an od safety.	-	
Describe verification methods and	results:		
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	:
	component #2 ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: <u>C9755</u> 10/11/2021 ch)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank and the sewage tank an	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Sewe Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) three years) ment complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank and the sewage tank an	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No results:	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years) Interpretation of the service of
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates. Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the interest of the series of the	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ results: ☐ results: ☐ appear ok.	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years) Interpretation of the complies with the sary – explain below
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates. Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the ingrisers and maintenance hole covers as	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No results: aspection. Lowered a appear ok. 12/2021.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessi Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces Other:	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years) Interpretation of the complies with the sary – explain below
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates. Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the inrisers and maintenance hole covers at Risers to grade were installed on 10/2	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No results: aspection. Lowered a appear ok. 12/2021.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessi Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces Other:	Pinky's Sewer Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years) Interpretation of the service of
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates. Describe verification methods and The tanks were pumped during the inrisers and maintenance hole covers at Risers to grade were installed on 10/2	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No results: aspection. Lowered a appear ok. 12/2021.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attact Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessi Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces Other:	Pinky's Sew Service ss: C9755 10/11/2021 ch) In three years) ment complies is

	Property Address: 4465 Jack Pine Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
В	Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC Date: 10/11/2021	
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?	
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr	าown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☑ No	
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable	
		,
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If "yes", A below is requ	
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", B below is required.	ıired
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?YesNo	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vernication methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date:	10/11/2021
Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent #5 (of 5	
Date of installation 5/21/2006 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for t	
Compliance criteria (select one):		Two previous verifications of required	•
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	3.1
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6.4
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3.3
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock,*		D. Required compliance separation*	3
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
*Any "no" answer above indicates the s failing to protect groundwater.	ystem is		
Describe verification methods and results:			
Augered a soil boring on the same elevation/cor	ntour as the drainfie	eld.	

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

CANDRAIN OF MANESONA ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT PROGRAM

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

PROGRAM	3					Project ID:			v 04.01.2021	
Client:			Dick Murray		Locat	Location / Address:	4465 Jac	4465 Jack Pine Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	e Elmo, MN 55042	
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	eck all that	t apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess	Alluvium	m Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	Matter	
Landscape Pc	Landscape Position: (select one)	one)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:	
Vegetation:		lawn		Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:	
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:	olo	clear am			Date		10/10/21	I
Observation	Observation #/Location:		B-1			obse0	Observation Type:		Auger	
Donth (in)	Tovfire	Rock	_	(2)20 00 140 1	(a) (a) (b)	(a) 20 de o 2 le o 1	_	Structure		
nebrii (III)	a mixa i	Frag. %	MALTIX COLOT (S)	Mottle Color(s)	Kedox KINd(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0.10	meol vioues	K 300 30X	10YR 3/2							
2	saildy todiii				•	•••••				
70.07		*** **** **	10YR 4/3							
07-01	toally salk	1X 335 336								
72 70	wool Apaca	98 888 888	10YR 4/4							
07-07	sailuy toalii	MAK MAK M	**********		•••••					
		X 333 334 3								1
		OX 248 344					AAR ABAD BAB			
		*** *** **								1
		K 333 333 3				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				***************************************				
		*** *** **								
		. 238 248 28				•••••				

Comments										
I hereby certii	fy that I have c	completed	this work in accorda	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	le ordinances, rules	and laws.				The same
•	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien			1568	•	10/10/21	
) Dec	(Designer/Inspector)	-		(Signature)			(liconco #)	•	(Data)	Parent Laboratory of the labor

