

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf>.

Property information

Local tracking number: _____

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 32.030.21.44.0004 Reason for Inspection property sale
 Local regulatory authority info: Washington County
 Property address: 6271 Hilton Ct N Pine Springs, MN 55115
 Owner/representative: Roger & Ruby Ochs Owner's phone: 651-777-4452
 Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank and a gravity, rock trench drainfield.

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/15/2021

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

***Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.**

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – *Noncompliant - local ordinance applies*

Comments or recommendations

Reviewed history of the septic system and design, permit & inspection records on file at Washington County.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Certification number: 323
 Inspector signature: Tom Trooien License number: 1568
 (This document has been electronically signed) Phone: 612-594-4496

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit
 Other information (list): _____

Property Address: 6271 Hilton Ct N Pine Springs, MN 55115

Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC

Date: 10/15/2021

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface Yes* No

System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. Yes* No

System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes* No

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

Searched for seeping or surfacing to the ground surface - none observed during the inspection. No indication of saturation or ponding in the drainfield or D-boxes.

Attached supporting documentation:

- Other: _____
- Not applicable

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes* No

Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes* No

If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

The outlet baffle and 4" sewer line to the first drop box was replaced in 2018.

The tank was pumped during the inspection. Lowered a camera into the empty tank - bottom, walls, cover, baffles, riser and maintenance hole cover appears OK.

Attached supporting documentation:

- Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector

Name of maintenance business: Schlomka's Sewer Service

License number of maintenance business: L2989

Date of maintenance: 10/15/2021

- Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)

Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): _____ (must be within three years)

(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

- Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)
- Other: _____

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?

Yes* No Unknown

3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? Yes* No Unknown

*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? Yes* No

3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* No

*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 11/21/1983 Unknown
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Yes No

Compliance criteria (select one):

5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Yes No*
 Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Yes No*
 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*

5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080.2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Yes No*
 Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

Attached supporting documentation:

- Soil observation logs completed for the report
- Two previous verifications of required vertical separation
- Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
- _____

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	2.9
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5
C. System separation	2.1
D. Required compliance separation*	2

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

**Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.*

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil Observation Log

Project ID: _____ v 04.01.2021

Client: Roger & Ruby Ochs		Location / Address: 6271 Hilton Ct N Pine Springs, MN 55115							
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)		<input type="checkbox"/> Outwash <input type="checkbox"/> Lacustrine <input type="checkbox"/> Loess <input type="checkbox"/> Till <input type="checkbox"/> Alluvium <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Matter							
Landscape Position: (select one)		Slope shape							
Vegetation: lawn		Soil survey map units:							
Weather Conditions/Time of Day: cloudy pm		Date: 10/15/21							
Observation #/Location: B-1		Observation Type: Auger							
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-12	loamy sand		10YR 3/3						
12-25	fine sandy loam		10YR 4/4						
25-44	loam		7.5YR 3/4						
44-60	clay loam		10YR 5/4						
Comments									
I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.									
Tom Trooien (Designer/Inspector)		Tom Trooien (Signature)		1568 (License #)		10/15/21 (Date)			



Soil Observation Log

Project ID: _____ v 04.01.2021

Client: Roger & Ruby Ochs **Location / Address:** 6271 Hilton Ct N Pine Springs, MN 55115

Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Outwash Lacustrine Loess Till Alluvium Bedrock Organic Matter

Landscape Position: (select one) **Slope %:** _____ **Slope shape:** _____ **Elevation relative to benchmark:** _____

Vegetation: lawn **Soil survey map units:** _____ **Limiting Layer Elevation:** _____

Weather Conditions/Time of Day: cloudy pm **Date:** 10/15/21


Observation #/Location:	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Observation Type:	
							Shape	Grade
0-10	loamy sand		10YR 3/3					Auger
10-30	loamy sand		10YR 4/3					
30-48	sandy loam		10YR 3/4					
48-60	loamy sand		7.5YR 4/4					

Comments: _____

I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.

Tom Trooien (Designer/Inspector) _____ Tom Trooien (Signature) _____ 1568 (License #) _____ 10/15/21 (Date)

WEST



NO

⊗
B-1

⊗
B-2

E.