Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 11549 W Point Douglas Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 55033

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on July 22, 2020. Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be re-configured to reduce the potential for problems.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information Local tracking number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 11549 W Point Douglas Rd, Cottage Grove	e, MN 55033		
Owner/representative: Mike Schuberg		Owner's phone: 612-859-8920	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast	lift tank, and a mound.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/21/2021			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	, ,	se discontinued within ten months of receipt rter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imm	inent threat to public health a	and safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater		
$\hfill \Box$ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	 Imminent threat to public h 	ealth and safety	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C		Failing to protect groundwater	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	=		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	e component #4) – <i>Noncomp</i>	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the I configured to reduce the potential for problems.	ift pump electrical is poorly o	onfigured and should be re-	
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1	/h	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	gned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must b		
, , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
Soil observation logs System/As-Built □ Locally re	· -	rity Assessment	
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	ation, Discialmer, License		

ess Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: 10/21/2021
mpact on public health — Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting do	ocumentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
<u> </u>	component #2		ocumentation:
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting do	
<u> </u>	component #2 ☐ Yes* ☒ No		y inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	•	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by	y inspector usiness:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main	y inspector usiness:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance:	y inspector usiness: tenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) o ensure assessment complies to
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies of p. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies of p. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Attention of the system er.	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies was p. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Attention of the system er.	Attached supporting do Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies of p. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Attes the system er.	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies was p. 4 B (1)) pumping not necessary – explain belonger
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Although not a compliance criteria, it	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Attes the system er.	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) p ensure assessment complies was p. 4 B (1)) pumping not necessary – explain being the second of the second
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Although not a compliance criteria, it	Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Attes the system er.	Attached supporting do ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity as Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (p	y inspector usiness: tenance business: ssessment (Attach) 7/22/2020 (must be within three years) o ensure assessment complies of p. 4 B (1)) sumping not necessary – explain be

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

Pro	operty Address: 11549 W Point Douglas Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 55033	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 10/21/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or ur	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	fety? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen RMD* - Compliance compensate #4	of 5 Matapplicable
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	_
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? A Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page 3 of 4

perty Address: 11549 W Point Douglas Rd, Cotsiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>10/21/2021</u>				
Soil separation – Compliance com	npone	nt #5 of	⁻ 5		
Date of installation 2012 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report	
Compliance criteria (select one):				vertical separation	
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b.Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	es □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:

·	
Property address: 11549 Point Doug City: Hastings	State: MN Parcel ID: Zip code: 55077
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance C	Certification (Tank integrity assessment)
This form does not represent a complete system inspection this form, completed, may serve as a tank integrity assessment.	report and only certifies sewage tank compliance status. i.e.,
Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and sig Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary p the system.	gned by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS rocedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified pro Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance insp found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/wate	offessional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an ection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be r/service-and-maintenance.
The information and certified statement on this form is required individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspectic component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.070 three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.	on report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS 00, subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is
Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage tank non-compliance
Affirm all three statements:	Select all that apply:
The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit. It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth. It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition.	 ☐ The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit — "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It has a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth — "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It presents a threat to public safety by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition — "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."
Company information Company name: Ron's Sewey Service	Designated Certified Individual (DCI) information Print name:
Business license number: L+007	Certification number: C4984
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designate Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to as	d Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance sess the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.
By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statementhis information can be used for the purpose of processing this fo	nts to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that
Designated Certified Individual's signature:	10/10/01


www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-38 • 1/7/21

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Com	ipiiance inspection.
Date of Inspection: October 21, 2021	Time: 10:30 AM
Property Address: 11549 W Point Douglas Rd, Cottage Grove, MN	Zip: 55033
Property Owner: Mike Schuberg	Phone: 612-859-8920
□ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Gravelless trench □ □ Lift □ Metal □ Chamber trench □	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If no, 1	proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers so the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the so	system.
	k size (gals.): 1500, 1000
How long has seller owned the property? Number of reside	
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by graving Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	ty?
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic	avatam?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings co	•
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other building	ngs?
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - West Side, Mound - South S	
	ell a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or	have any repairs been made
to the system? If yes, explain:	
	: Ron's Sewer Service
	a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning the	his system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the ne	ew owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I a considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also ag this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work per by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	submit a copy of this report to the gree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:


Owner/Occupant:



U of MN Onsite Sewage Treatment Program Soil Boring Log

Client/ Address: 11549 Point De	DR. S. Legal Description/GPS	: 36-027-21-42-0014 Date: 20 SEP2012
	44°46′53.5665"	
Soil Parent Material(s): Till (circle all that apply)	twash Lacustrine Alluvium L	pess Organic Matter Bedrock SUTGRIOR COSE
Landscape Position: Summit (circle one)	Shoulder Back/Side Slope Fe	oot Slope Toe Slope
Vegetation:	Soil Survey Map Unit(s): 7C	Slope (%):
Weather conditions/Time of Day:	HUISBARD LOAMY S	AND Slope Shape:

Depth (in)	Texture	Matrix	Mottle	II				
()		Color(s)	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s) (see back)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-10"	SILT LOAM	10 4R 3/2		Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid
10-24"	COAPSE SANO	10 YR 4/C		Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid
				Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid
				Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid
				Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid
				Concentrations Depletions Gleyed		Granular Platy Blocky Prismatic Single Grain Massive	Weak Moderate Strong Loose	Loose Friable Firm Extremely Firm Rigid



9 of 12

Joh	10 8-8-17-	glas Drive Cottage	manager Marian		
Ja	9 9 1		get flaggifesteller sterned and to be a ground for an account of a general of a ground for the sterned for the sterned and the sterned for the sterned and the sterned for the		
	Depth in Feet	B1	B2	B8	64
		TOPSOIL 10182/1_ 8	TOPSOIL . 104R2/1	78PSOIL 10YE2/1 7	109831L
		DARK BROWN SANDY	10	LOAM 10483/3	
(LOAM 10423/3 15	MEDIUM TO DARK BROWN SANDY LOAM 10 YRY/Y		MEDIUM TO DARK Brown SANDY LOAM 1048 4/4
		YELLOW BROWN , MEDIUM SAND 10485/6	DARK YELLOW BROWN	10 YR 5/6	DARK YELLOW BROWN MEDIUM SAND
		W REDOX @ 40"	McBium SAND W/REDOX @ 40" 104R3/4 46	. REXX @ 42"	1048314 4 REDOX @ 449
		YELLOW BROWN SY	DARK YELLOW BROWN MEDIUM SAND 1048 3/6		LIMESTONE
		104R576 GZ LIMESTONE	tei		
		6			
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	84	Annual Control of Cont		
		2			1

from benchmark
5. Replacement site
6. Abandoned system

Reverse Side

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Midwest Sewer Services

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

Specialty Area(s):

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Mich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit