

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2703120410002 Loc	al regulatory authority: Washington County				
Property address: 14199 – 135th Stillwater MN 55082					
Owner/representative: KayMarie Jurkovich	Owner's phone: 612-516-0929				
Brief system description: Gravity System					
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):11/2/2021					
	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety				
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to	protect groundwater				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componen	t #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componen	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater				
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.25	600 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater				
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	to protect groundwater				
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comp	oliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies				
Comments or recommendations					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere	nd to determine the compliance status of this system. No				
	nade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible				
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be tre can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information				
Business name: Soil Investigation & Design, Inc.	Certification number: 3263				
Inspector signature: Paul J. Brandt PSS	License number: 5182				
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 6512603783				
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	umentation (must be attached)				
	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit				
Other information (list):	_ opolating rolling				
_ care. mornidation (not).					

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ⊠ No Other: System discharges sewage to the ground surface ☐ Not applicable ☐ Yes* ☒ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. ☐ Yes* ☒ No System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: 2. Tank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Pumped at time of inspection cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: ☐ Yes* ☐ No License number of maintenance business: Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)

Describe verification methods and results:

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system

If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:

is failing to protect groundwater.

Date of maintenance

Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

(mm/dd/yyyy):

Other:

10/28/2021

(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with

☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)

(must be within three years)

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5									
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unser	cured?								
	☑ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown									
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	/? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown								
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.									
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No								
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No								
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.									
	Describe verification methods and results:									
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □									
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable								
4.		f 5 Not applicable f "yes", A below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No Is BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required								

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 6/30/1998 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the ☐ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	vertical
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	980 975 > 3 feet 3 feet wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the			

Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Figure 1: Site Detail Map

Soil Investigation & Design, Inc, 2809 78th Ave. N Brooklyn Park, Mn 55444 pbrandt@soilinvestigations.us 651-260-3783

Client: KayMarie Jurkovich 14199 – 135th Stillwater MN 55082

Property address: 1-1199 135t St City: Stillwater	State: M.M.	Parcel ID:
	State: MM	Zip code: 55082
Ontional	The state of the s	
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance	Certification	
not represent a complete auto		
This form does not represent a complete system inspection Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and si Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary putter the system. When this section of the form is signed by a section.	gned by a Designated Certified Introcedures to assess the compliance	ge tank compliance status. ndividual (DCI) of a licensed SST
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified pro Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance insc found on the MPCA website at https://www.	ofessional, it becomes necessary	supporting documentation to an
found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/wate The information and certified statement on this form is required vectorpoint Component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.070 equired according to local regulations. Additional Administrative R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C. Certificate of sources Assistance in Section Report: Compliance inscription in the provided with the submits of the inspect of the submits of the inspect of the submits of the inspect of the inspec	when existing septic tank complia on report. It represents a third pa	empliance-criteria. Ince status is determined by an
3dbp. 4 items B, C, and D: 7083 0730 thems	Rule references for this activity ca	an be found at Minn.
Certificate of sewage tank compliance Affirm all three statements:		
The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit. It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth. It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition.	Groundwater." It has a sewage tank watertight, but subse operating depth – "Fa It presents a threat to unsecured, damaged cover(s) or other upper	epage pit, cesspool, drywell, pit – "Failure to Protect that was designed to be quently leaks below the designed public safety by reason of , or weak maintenance hole
mpany information		balety.
iness license number: 1673	Print name: Mick	vidual (DCI) information
preonally on the same	Certification number:	755
siness. I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Coincided the necessary procedures to assembly conducted the work described above as a Designated Conducted the work described above as a Designated Conducted the work described above as a Designated Conducted the necessary procedures to assembly conducted the necessary procedures to a second the necessary procedures to a second the necessary procedures to a second the necessary procedures the necessary procedures to a second the necessary procedures the necessary proced		a-licensed SSTS Maintenance sewage tank in this SSTS:
vidual's signature: The St Class	Date (mm/dd/yyyy):	178121

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

Client:	lient: KayMarie Jurkovich							ion / Address:	1419	9 - 135th Stillwa	ter MN 55082	
Soil parent r	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply)	V	Outwash 🗆	Lacustrine	☐ Loess ☐ T	ill 🗌 Alluvi	um 🗌 Bedro	ock 🗌 Organio	Matter	
Landscape Position: (select one) Back/Side					Slope %:	10.0	Slope shape:	Slope shape: Convex		Eleva	tion: LIDAR 978.0	
Vegetation:		Soil	l survey m	ap units:					Elevation: 975			
Weather Cor	eather Conditions/Time of Day: Cold Overcast 14:30 Date 11/02/2						1/02/21					
Observatio	n #/Location:					SB 1		Obse	ervation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle (Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	ŀ	Il		
Depth (iii)	Texture	Frag. %	Macrix	CO(O) (3)	Mottle	Cotol (3)	Redox Rind(3)	marcator (3)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0 to 12	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/4					Granular	Moderate	Friable	
0 00 12	Louiny June											
12 to 15	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/3					Granular	Moderate	Friable	
15 to 24	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/3					Granular	Moderate	Friable	
24 to 36	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/3	7.5YR	5/2	Depletion	S 1	Granular	Moderate	Friable	
					7.5YR	4/4	Concretion	S 1			Triable	
I hereby certif	y that I have cor	mpleted this	s work in a	/	2		dinances, rules and	l laws.				
Р	aul J. Brandt		_	Ot	W. Brondt	P35		_	5182		2-Nov-21	
(Designer/Inspector) (Signature) (License #) (Date)									(Date)			

I hereby certify that this plan, document, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a Licensed Professional Soil Scientist under the Laws of the State of Minnesota.

Date

2-Nov-21

License Number 30007

Signature

Paul Brondt PSS

Notes: This soil profile is abridged to meet the requirements for septic systems. If a complete soil profile description is needed they will be supplied upon request.

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

Client:	t: KayMarie Jurkovich Location / Address: 141							99 - 135th Stillwa	ter MN 55082						
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Ch	neck all th	at apply)	✓ (Outwash 🗆	Lacustrine	☐ Loess ☐	Till 🗌 Alluvi	ium 🗌 Bedro	ock 🗌 Organio	Matter				
Landscape P	osition: (selec	t one)	Back/Si	de Slope	Slope %:	10.0	Slope shape	Slope shape: Convex		Eleva	tion: LIDAR 977.0				
Vegetation:		Soil	survey m	ap units:					Elevation: 975						
Weather Cor	nditions/Time	of Day:		Cold O	vercast		14:	30	Date	1	1/02/21				
Observatio	n #/Location:					SB 1		Obs	ervation Type:		Auger				
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle (Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Į,	ll					
Depth (III)	rexture	Frag. %	Matrix	Cotor (s)	Mottle	COIOI (S)	Redox Kilid(s)	ilidicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence				
0 to 8	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	3/3					- Granular	Moderate	Friable				
8 to 26	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/4					Granular	Moderate	Friable				
26 to 36	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	25	7.5YR	4/3	7.5YR	5/2	Depletion	S1	Granular	Moderate	Friable
					7.5YR	4/4	Concretion	S1	O. aa.a.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
									_						
		npleted this	s work in a	/	7		dinances, rules ar	nd laws.							
	aul J. Brandt		Ī	00	w Brondt			_	5182	•	2-Nov-21				
(Designer/Inspector) (Signature) (License #) (Date of the content								(Date)							

I hereby certify that this plan, document, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a Licensed Professional Soil Scientist under the Laws of the State of Minnesota.

Date 2-Nov-21

License Number 30007

Signature

Paul Brondt PSS

Notes: This soil profile is abridged to meet the requirements for septic systems. If a complete soil profile description is needed they will be supplied upon request.