#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 13830 21<sup>st</sup> St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

#### REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2014, which were on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1995) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on November 2, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, the sprinkler lines should be removed from over the septic manhole on the second septic tank.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Hun Mempal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer			
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County				
Property address: 13830 21st St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082				
Owner/representative: Tony & Heather Nelson	Owner's phone: <u>651-271-8474</u>			
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.			
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/2/2021				
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.			
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)			
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater			
$\hfill \Box$ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public health and safety			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwater			
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater			
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies			
Comments or recommendations				
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the s these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access ar removed from over the septic manhole on the second septic tar	nd proper maintenance. In addition, the sprinkler lines should be			
Certification	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of			
	wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be			
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852			
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Hotel	License number: L2896			
(This document has been electronically sig	,			
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)			
<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs</li><li>☑ System/As-Built</li><li>☑ Locally red</li><li>☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa</li></ul>	quired forms			
23 Other information (list). Treport Summary, Froperty Informa	non, Disolainter, License			

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	ion:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
<b>ink integrity</b> — Compliance Compliance criteria:	e component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentat	ion:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2 □ Yes* ⊠ No		ion:
Compliance criteria:	·	Attached supporting documentat	ion: Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	·	Attached supporting documentat  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance bus	Pinky's S Service siness: <u>L1673</u> <u>11/2/202</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance bus  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's S Service siness: <u>L1673</u> <u>11/2/202</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance bus  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's S Service siness: L1673 11/2/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentate  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business business:  License number of maintenance business:  Existing tank integrity assessment (A business)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be very like the form instructions to ensure assistance)	Pinky's S Service siness: L1673 11/2/2022 Attach) within three years essment complies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance bus	Pinky <u>Servi</u> siness: <u>L167</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance bus  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's S Service siness: <u>L1673</u> <u>11/2/202</u> Attach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentate  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business business:  License number of maintenance business:  Existing tank integrity assessment (A business)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be very like the form instructions to ensure assistance)	Pinky's S Service siness: L1673 11/2/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentate  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's S Service siness: L1673 11/2/202 Attach) within three years essment complice
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentate  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's S Service siness: L1673 11/2/202 Attach) within three years essment complies

Pro	operty Address: 13830 21 <sup>st</sup> St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 11/2/2021
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes  No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	of 5			
Date of installation 1995 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation			
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.		□ Reviewed previous compliance insperior	ction from 2014.		
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations			
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*			
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No*				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


800-657-3864


## <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.					
Date of Inspection: 11/1/21 & 11/2/21	Time: 2:00 PM					
Property Address: 13830 21st St N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082					
Property Owner: Tony & Heather Nelson	Phone: 651-271-8474					
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Soil Treatment System         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes   No *If i performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface.	ers should be made accessible to					
	Γank size (gals.): 2-1000					
	sidents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr						
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	nti o gygtam 9					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?					
Location of septic system on lot? South Side						
	well a deep well?					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system?  If yes, explain:	<u> </u>					
When was the system last pumped? 11/2/21 Name of pum	per: Pinky's Sewer Service					
How often pumped in previous years?						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?					
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:





### **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 13830 21st St N, West Lakeland, MN 55082								
	oservati	ons Made By:	Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	11/1/2021	
C	Classific	ation System:	USDA					
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1	Soil O		bservation:		
	face tion of vation	_	nd surface as last field trench		face tion of vation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		
0-8 8-28 28-56 56-63 63-66	≈10	10YR 3/4 M Trace 10YR 4/4 Medi 10YR 5/4 10YR 4/4	R 2/2 Loam ledium Sand With e Of Gravel um Sand With Gravel Medium Sand Clay Lloam With R 10YR 6/2 Redox					
66"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	epth To End Of Soil Observation Or I		
Same	Elevatio	n Of Observatio	n Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System	
-36"			stribution Media				Distribution Media	
≥30"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration		
Fnd	Of Soil (	Observation At:	66"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:		
		Conditions At:	None			onditions At:		
		iter Present At:	None			r Present At:		
Standing Water Fresent At.   None								

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches				
Signature:	Offer 16			

erty address: <u>/3836</u> 2/5	<sup>F</sup> 57	, A of 11	<del></del>	lns	spector ini	tials/Date: _	-17	7-19
Soil Separation - Compliance compo	onent #4	of 5		· 				
Date of installation: 1986 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? Compliance criteria:	_ □ Unl	known : 🕩 No	- So	il obsen servatio	ns by tŵö	od(s); s not expire. Independent s have been	parties ar	sufficient.
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	Dk Yes	No	' ^ '	Conduc Two pr Not app	evious ve olicable (H	bservation(s ifications (A loiding tenk(s) See Commen	ttach boring , no drainfie	logs) 'd)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						ents/Explanat		
Non-performance systems built April 1; 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□No	Coi	mmentsi <b>1</b> 3	Æxplanati <b>/</b>	on: 314 46"	LOA 107	my 5A) 124/3 111/5A
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						72''	10y) <10)	thysa r.s/6 yloav yle 4/
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	Yes	□ No	Ind	icate d	epths of	elevations	10	1/2 4/
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector	, , . :	·			distribution			· · ·
License required)  Orainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			<u>c. s</u>	System se	paration	sóil/bedrock		3.44 
Any "no" answer above indicates to Falling to Protect Groundwater.	he syst	em is	*Ma	-:	luced up t	separation* o 15 percent	if allowed	by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – C	compliance				☐ Not ap	plicable	
the system operated under an Operating Per		☐ Yes ☐			·	is required		<del></del>
the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	P?	☐ Yes ☐	] No	If "yes"	, B below	is required	l	
. BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi	ed in the	system desig	gn					
the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does r	ot ne	ed to b	e compl	eted.		•
ompliance criteria	• •			,				
. Operating Permit number:	·	·		¬.v		•	: :	
		•	<u> </u>     L	] Yes	∐ NO			
Have the Operating Permit requirements b	een met	·	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		
<del></del>				Yes	□No	• ••		. '
Have the Operating Permit requirements b	properly f	unctioning?		Yes	□No			
Have the Operating Permit requirements be a large to be seen to be seen and the see	ргорегіу і <b>Dilance</b> .	unctioning?			□No			
Have the Operating Permit requirements be a large to be seen to be seen and the see	properly f	reat to public in a shorter period disconlinued in soil separatic more strict. The	health ai d if requ within th on, then ils provis	nd safety ired by lo se time re the syste	(ITPHS) m cal ordinar quired by l m need no	ice. If the syst ocal ordinance t be upgraded o systems in	em is failing ). If an existi , repaired, re shoreland às	to protect ng system

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# **Business License**

## **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2021

Issued: 11/06/2020

## **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer

Maintainer

Service Provider

Advanced Designer

Advanced Inspector

## **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

Brian L'Humpal

10/15/2023

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2024

Designer, Inspector



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit