

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf>.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number: _____

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0702620220007 Local regulatory authority: Washington County

Property address: 12141 131st Street court so. Hastings Mn.55033

Owner/representative: Denise Lassey Owner's phone: 651-480-8313

Brief system description: One spetic and lift station with drainfield

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/8/2021

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

***Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.**

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – *Noncompliant - local ordinance applies*


Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Bob Freiermuth

Inspector signature: 
(This document has been electronically signed)

Certification number: C818

License number: L492

Phone: 651 437-5566

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment
- Operating Permit
- Other information (list): _____

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

visual inspection of site

Attached supporting documentation:

- Other: _____
 Not applicable

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

See attached

Attached supporting documentation:

- Pumped at time of inspection
- Name of maintenance business: Schlomkas
 License number of maintenance business: 2989
 Date of maintenance: 11/8/2021
- Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
- Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/8/2021
 (must be within three years)
- (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
- Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)
 Other: _____

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?

Yes* No Unknown

3b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? Yes* No Unknown

***Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? Yes* No

3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* No

***Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

visual inspection of site

Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable _____

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach) _____

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation _____ Unknown
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Yes No

Compliance criteria (select one):

<p>5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:</p> <p>Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No*
<p>5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:</p> <p>Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No*
<p>5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)</p> <p>Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No*

Attached supporting documentation:

- Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)
- Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)
- Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
- _____

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	42"
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	84"
C. System separation	42"
D. Required compliance separation*	36"

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

***Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

- B-1 1-12" 10 yr 3/3 Top soil
- 12-24" 10 yr 3/4 Laom
- 24-38" 10 yr 4/6 Loam
- 38-53" 10yr 5/8 loam
- 53-73" 10 yr 6/4 sandy Loam
- 73-84" 10 yr 6/8 sandy loam
- end of bore


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Mississippi

River Bluff

8 Nov 21

N →



131ST


Date: 8 Nov 2021
Customer Name: Denise Lassey
Street Address: 12141 131st Street Court so.
City, State, Zip: Hastings Mn. 55033
Phone Number: 651-480-8313

Based on what we were able to observe and on our experience with on-site wastewater technology, we submit this Onsite Sewage Treatment System Inspection Report based on the present condition of the onsite sewage disposal system. Bob Friermuth has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify The proper functioning of the system for any period of time in the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system, this report shall not be construed as a warranty by our company that the system will function properly for any particular buyer. Bob Friermuth hereby DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY, either expressed or implied, arising from the inspection of the septic system or this report. We are not also ascertaining any affect the system is having on the groundwater.

Inspecting Company Bob Friermuth Phone 651 437-5566
License No: 818

Owners Signature

I have studied the information contained herein and certify that my assessment is honest, thorough, and to the best of my ability correct.

Name: Bob Friermuth / 
Title SSTS Inspector 818

Parcel number: _____

System status: Compliant Noncompliant
(as determined by this form)

Tank Integrity and Safety Compliance

Compliance Issue #2 of 4

Date of observation: 11/8/2021 Reason for observation: Routine Pumping

This form expires on (three years): 11/7/2024

Compliance questions/criteria: (Required) (Check the appropriate box)

Does the system consist of a seepage pit*, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Do any sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, identify which sewage tank leaks. _____

Any "yes" answer indicates that the system is failing to protect ground water.

* Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in ordinance by local permitting authority.

Verification Method** (Optional) (Check the appropriate box)

- Probed tank bottom
- Observed low liquid level
- Examined construction records
- Examined empty (pumped) tank
- Probed outside tank for "black soil"
- Pressure/vacuum check
- Other: _____

** No standard protocol exists. This list is not exhaustive, in sequential order, nor does it indicate which combinations are necessary to make this determination.

Safety Check

- Are any maintenance hole covers damaged, cracked, or appeared to be structurally unsound? Yes* No
- Were all maintenance hole covers replaced in a secured manner (e.g., all screws replaced)? Yes No*
- Was secondary access restraint present (safety pan, second cover, or safety netting) – highly recommended. Yes No
- Was any other safety/health issue present? Yes* No

Explain: _____

*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Certification

This form is to be completed and attached to the Summary Form of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) Compliance Inspection Form for Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems. Observations, interpretations, and conclusions must be completed by an inspector, maintainer, or service provider. Completed form must be submitted to the local unit of government within 15 days.

Property owner name(s): Denise Lassey

Property address: 12141 131st St Ct

Property owner's address (if different): _____

County: Dakota Phone: _____

I hereby certify that I personally made the observations, interpretations, and conclusions reported on this form and that they are correct.

Name: Larry Schlomka Certification number: C4253

Business license name and number: Schlomka Services LLC ? 2989 or

Name of local unit of government: _____

Signature: [Signature] Date: 11/8/2021