## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Robert Scott 9289 Scandia Trl N Forest Lake, MN 55025

12/17/2021

Dear Robert Scott,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamih Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2303221110013	Reason for Inspection	Sale		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County				
Property address: 9289 Scandia Trl N Forest Lake, MN 55025				
Owner/representative: Robert Scott		Owner's phone: 612-801-6993		
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank, pre-cast lift station	n, mound dispersal system			
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/17/2021				
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	ce of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.			
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)			
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	- Imminent threat to public	health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone				
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2		nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	The specific property of the second s			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com	ipilance component #4) – N	oncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations	A			
No system construction records on file with Washington C	ounty.			
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance st vn conditions during system co	atus of this system. No determination of instruction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be		
usiness name: Zierke Soil Testing Certification number: 9594				
Inspector signature:		License number: 119		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-249-1346		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)		
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re				
Other information (list):	,			

pact on public health – Cor			12/17/2021
Compliance suitoria:	mpliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates t imminent threat to public health and			
Describe verification methods and r	esults:		
None of the above observed during sit	e visit 12/17/2021.		
nk integrity – Compliance o	component #2	of 5	
in megney compliance	component #2		
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Caspers
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Caspers ess: 265
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busine  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance 12/2/2021	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busine  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance 12/2/2021	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021 ach) nin three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance 12/2/2021 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021 ach) nin three years) esment complies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Caspers ess: 265 12/2/2021 ach) nin three years) esment complies

Property Address: 9289 Scandia Trl N Forest Lake, MN 55025	
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 12/17/2021
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of	5
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.	c.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public hea	Ith or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by insp	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Attached Supporting documentation: I/N Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: 🖂 Not applicable	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compone	ent #4 of 5 🛭 Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compone	ent #4 of 5 Not applicable  s No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components ls the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Ye Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Ye	s ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be design.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Description:  Yes No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Description:  Yes No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	s □ No If "yes", A below is required s □ No If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing			Date: _1	2/17/2021	
Soil separation – Compliance con			f 5		
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknowr	า			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):	⊠ Yes □	No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separations.		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐	No*			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	1	
performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	102.3'	
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.5'	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	3.8'	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Loca Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐	No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864 •

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

9289 Scandia Trl N Forest Lake, MN 55025


Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

12/17/2021

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 2
0-16"	10YR 3/2 loamy fine sand		
16-18"	10YR 4/3 loamy fine sand		
18-24"	10YR 4/4 loamy fine sand, 7.5YR 5/8 iron stains below 18"		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  1.5 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre: Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring oresent in hole feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth



D	9289	Scandia	Trl	. N	Parcel ID:		
Property address:  City: Forest	Lake	Jeangra	State:	m N	Zip code:	55025	
Optional section	-4	*				Econoc ofatus	
This form does not rep							
Instructions: This sect Maintenance Business withe system.	who personally con	nducts the necessary	procedures to	assess the complia	ince status of e	each sewage tank in	
When this section of the Existing System Compli- found on the MPCA web	ance Inspection R	eport: Compliance ins	spection form	<ul> <li>Existing system (w</li> </ul>	iq-wwists4-31b	). This form can be	
The information and cer individual other than the component compliance three years beyond the required according to lo R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 I	e SSTS Inspector to and is allowable usignature date on signature date on scal regulations. Ac	hat submits the inspe inder Minn. R. 7082.0 this form unless a ne dditional Administrativ	ction report. I 700, subp. 4 w evaluation	t represents a third p Item (B) subitem (1). is requested by the c	oarty assessme . This form is va owner or owner	alid for a period of 's agent or is	
Certificate of se	wage tank com	pliance	☐ No	tice of sewage ta	nk non-com	oliance	
Affirm all three stat	tements:		Sel	ect all that apply:		_	
drywell, leach It does not co to be watertig designed ope It lt does not re reason of un	hing pit, or other pontain a sewage to ght, but subsequent atting depth. epresent an immin secured, damaged	ank that was designed ntly leaks below the ent safety threat by		<ul> <li>☐ The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit — "Failure to Protect Groundwater."</li> <li>☐ It has a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designe operating depth — "Failure to Protect Groundwater.</li> <li>☐ It presents a threat to public safety by reason of</li> </ul>			
Company information	on		Desi	gnated Certified	2		
Company name:	Casper	s Excavat			sh Ca	,	
Business license numb	er: 265		Certi	fication number:	6656		
I personally conducted Business. I personally	d the work describe conducted the ne	ed above as a Design cessary procedures to	ated Certified o assess the	l Individual of a Minn compliance status of	esota-licensed feach sewage	SSTS Maintenance tank in this SSTS:	
Designated Certified Individual's signature:	9h	l	D	ate (mm/dd/yyyy): _	12-2	!-21	