Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 20800 Quinnell Ave N, Scandia, MN Site Conditions: 4" Snow, 20" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1993) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Smilie Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on December 17, 2021. This house is presently vacant.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Treatment System (3313)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 20800 Quinnell Ave N, Scandia, MN 55073		
Owner/representative: Mark Jolicoeur		Owner's phone: 651-246-9433
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):1/13/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice Notice Noncompliant − Notice Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be e discontinued within ten months of receipt
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shor under section 145A.04 subdi	ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health a	nd safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater	
$\hfill \Box$ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co		Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	•	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered	to determine the compliance st	tatus of this system. No determination of
future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal After V	<u></u>	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr	ity Assessment
$\ igsim$ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer	

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting d	locumentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
None of the above lound.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
<u> </u>	component #2		
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting d	locumentation:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting d	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· ·	Attached supporting of ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting of ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance.	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance:	oy inspector business: ntenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance.	oy inspector business: ntenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance:	oy inspector business: ntenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance:	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsets	by inspector business: Intenance business: Sessessment (Attach) 12/17/21 (must be within three year on ensure assessment compared by 18 (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsequence) □ Tank is Noncompliant (by inspector business: Intenance business: Sessessment (Attach) 12/17/21 (must be within three year on ensure assessment complete, 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsets	by inspector business: Intenance business: Sessessment (Attach) 12/17/21 (must be within three year on ensure assessment compared by 18 (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ test the system fer.	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsequence) □ Tank is Noncompliant (by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ test the system fer.	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsequence) □ Tank is Noncompliant (by inspector business: Intenance business: Sessessment (Attach) 12/17/21 (must be within three year on ensure assessment compared by 18 (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ ates the system fer. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsequence) Tank is Noncompliant (by inspector business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) I 2/17/21 (must be within three yea to ensure assessment complete) bp. 4 B (1)) pumping not necessary – expla

	pperty Address: 20800 Quinnell Ave N, Scandia, MN 55073 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 1/13/2022
•	Other compliance conditions Compliance component #2 of E	
<u>).</u>	Other compliance conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	acurad?
	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	ecureu :
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vernication methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

operty Address: 20800 Quinnell Ave N, Scandia,	, MN 550	73		
siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: _	1/13/2022
Soil separation – Compliance com	npone	nt #5 of	f 5	
Date of installation 1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Soil observation logs completed for	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of require	ed vertical separatio
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment ar	ea)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		□ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	llowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This inf	ormation will be used for the	purpose of conducting an MPC	CA Compliance Inspection.	
Date of Inspection:	January 13, 2022		Time: 11:30 AM	
Property Address:	20800 Quinnell Ave	N, Scandia, MN	Zip: 55073	
Property Owner:	Mark Jolicoeur		Phone: 651-246-9433	
performed through	enance covers accessible the maintenance holes		Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system In no, proper maintenance must be overs should be made accessible to	
Year house built: 1		ic installed: 1993	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000	
	er owned the property?		residents in home?	
Number of bedroom	ns! 4 A	Are all floors drained by Whirlpool bat	<u> </u>	
Garbage disposal?	tem (laundry, etc.)?	w iiii ipoor bat	H!	
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	n tiles connected to the	sentic system?	
Boes uns property	nave any rooting drain	if thes connected to the	septic system:	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?				
	system on lot? Northe			
	well on lot? South Side		he well a deep well? Y	
			as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,	
to the system?	If yes, explain:		tc.; or have any repairs been made	
	em last pumped? 12/1		imper: Smilie's Sewer Service	
How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
Do you have any a	dditional information i	that should be given to	the new owner?	
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 20800 Quinnell Ave N, Scandia, MN 55073 Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 1/13/2022 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth Inches Depth Inche	Locat	ion of Project:	20800 Quinnell Ave	N. Sca	ndia. M	IN 55073	
Classification System: ST-1 Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soil Observation Soil Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Soil Servation Soil Observation							1/13/2022
Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered 10YR 2/2 Fine Sand 10YR 2/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/3 Redox 36" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation of Observation Or Relative To System 4-1" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media = 0" Of Separation Same End Of Soil Observation At: End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Same Soils Encountered Soils Soils Encountered Inches Surface Elevation of Observation Surface as last drainfield trench Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media							
Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches	Soi	l Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
10YR 2/2 Fine Sand 10YR 3/4 Fine Sand With Very Fine Sand Layers 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/3 Redox 36° Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Media =0" Of Sparation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 10YR 2/2 Fine Sand 10YR 2/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand With Very Fine Sand With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/3 Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation Soil Conditions At: 10YR 2/2 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/3 Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Very	Elevation of	_		Elevat	ion of		
10YR 3/4 Fine Sand 10YR 4/4 Fine Sand With Very Fine Sand Layers 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sand Unit Now A/4 Very Fine Sand With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/3 Redox 36" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Of Soil Observation Of Distribution Media Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 66" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting	י ואטרע יי	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -41" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 66" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	6-20 20-32 32-36 36-66	10YR 3 10YR 4/4 Very Fin 10YR 4/4 10YR 4/4 Ve 7.5 YR 5/8	/4 Fine Sand Fine Sand With e Sand Layers Very Fine Sand ery Fine Sand With & 10YR 7/3 Redox				
-41" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	36" Depth	Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
=0" Of Separation Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 66" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	Same Elevation	Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At:						Distribution Media	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	=u jur sepa	aration			or Sepa	Iration	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 36" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	End Of Soil	Observation At:	66"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	Limiting Soi	l Conditions At:	36"				
			None		_		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 41 Inches		
Signature:	Offer 1/h	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.