

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2202920320011 Local	regulatory authority: WASHINGTON COUNTY
Property address: 15280 PAINTERS LANE CIR N WEST LAKELA	AND
Owner/representative: GWIN HAL S JR & DOREEN S L	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 2) 1000-GALLON SEPTIC TANKS, 1000-	GALLON LIFT TANK AND 1200 SQ FT 10" GRAVELLESS PIPE
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):1/13/2022	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* □	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to	protect groundwater #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 0 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Comments or recommendations	апсе сотпропент #4) – Noncompilant - local ordinance applies
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be maduse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	de due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: LASHINSKI SERVICES, INC.	Certification number: 3053
Inspector signature:	License number: L65
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 612-919-3704
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☐ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit

1. I

em discharges sewage to the nd surface Yes* No Other: Not applicable	pliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
em discharges sewage to drain r surface waters. em causes sewage backup into ling or establishment. "yes" answer above indicates the system is an inent threat to public health and safety.	•	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	⊠ Other:
r surface waters. em causes sewage backup into	d surface		☐ Not applicable
ing or establishment. "yes" answer above indicates the system is an nent threat to public health and safety.	o o	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
inent threat to public health and safety.	• .	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
cribe verification methods and results:	-	_	
	ribe verification methods and	results:	

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Describe verification methods and results:

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	□ Pumped at time of inspection		
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	LASHINSKI SEPTIC	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business: L65		
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	1/3/2022	
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	1)	
		Date of maintenance		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	three years)	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	ary – explain below)	
		Other:		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5		
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?	
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown		
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkno	wn
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
	Describe verification methods and results:		
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □		
	·· •		
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or	f 5 🛭 Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or	f 5 Not applicable	ed.
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or	If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No I	If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No I Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No I BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 6/27/1997 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soil treatment area	vertical
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	<97'3" 94'7" >32" 36" wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Compliance Inspection Attachment for Existing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems


Address 15280 Painters Lane Circle Lakeville

Boring #1 Elevation:		#1 Elevation: Boring #2 Elevation"		"
0-6	10YR 3/4 topsoil			
-24	10YR 4/4 sandy loam.			
-41	10YR 5/4, 5/3 brown sandy loam.			
-58	10YR 5/4 fine sand.			
	Redoximorphic mottling after 46", soil dry.			

Sketch:

Comments: Benchmark = bottom of drainfield pipe in first trench. Assumed elevation = 100'0". Soil borings #1 indicated redoximorphic mottling after 46". The system does meet the required 36" (31" with the allowable 15% reduction) vertical separation distance from seasonally saturated soils. The tanks were pumped at the time of inspection, the baffles were checked and are o.k. The system consists of two 1000-gallon septic tanks, a 1000-gallon lift tank and 1200 sq. ft. of SB2 gravelless drainfield pipe. The drainfield media used is no longer an approved product for use in Minnesota. The first trench is fully saturated with the second and third trench being used at time of this inspection, the second trench appears to be almost fully saturated. This inspection is not a warranty or guarantee, either written or implied, of future or long-term hydraulic functionality/performance, but rather a determination if the systems use is/may cause pollution and/or adverse harm to the environment, groundwater or public health and safety at the time of this inspection. No guarantee can be made on future hydraulic performance, or the performance of system components. Buyers should be aware of the age of this system (1997) as it has likely approaching or has exceeded its manufacturers expected life. Changes in use can cause any system, failing or compliant, to become hydraulically overloaded and ultimately fail. Owner/buyer assumes full responsibility for the long-term performance of this system as well as any future upgrade, repairs or replacement costs. Liability is limited to the cost of this inspection.

Washington County, MN





EARTH SCIENCE SOIL TESTING

A SOILS INFORMATION COMPANY:

SOIL BORINGS

W.L.L. TOWNSHIP LOT 8 BLOCK 1 PHASE 3

BORING NO.1

0"-9" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM

9"-43" LIGHT BROWN FINE SANDY CLAY LOAM

43"-56" LIGHT BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM

56"-8'0" LIGHT TAN VERY FINE LOAMY SAND

8'0" END BORING

BORING NO.2

0"-9" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM

9"-48" LIGHT BROWN FINE LOAMY SAND

48"-8'0" REDDISH BROWN FINE LOAMY SAND, ROCKS

8'0" END BORING

BORING NO.3

0"-15" DARK BROWN FINE SILTY LOAM

15"-38" LIGHT BROWN FINE SANDY CLAY LOAM

38"-60" LIGHT BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM

60"-8'0" REDDISH BROWN FINE LOAMY SAND, ROCKS

8'0" END BORING

BORING NO.4

0"-8" DARK BROWN FINE SILTY LOAM

8"-43" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY CLAY LOAM

43"-69" LIGHT BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM


69"-6'7" LIGHT BROWN FINE SILTY CLAY LOAM, IRON STAINS, SLIGHT

MOTTLES

6'7"-8'0" LIGHT BROWN FINE TO MEDIUM LOAMY SAND

8'0" END BORING

7. .



15280 Painters Ln. Cir. N. W. Lakeland