Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: January 26, 2022

Time: 1:00 PM

Owner:

Inspection Address: 9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbury, MN Site Conditions: 7" Snow 20" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1992) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection. This house is presently vacant.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129			
Owner/representative: Wade Hanson - Re/Max		Owner's phone: 651-274-8584	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/26/2022			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	and safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	·	-	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C			
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to pro		5 , 5	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance		liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations	, , , ,	• •	
Certification			
	to datarmina the compliance of	tatus of this system. No determination of	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	<u></u>	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	pe attached)	
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	quired forms 🛭 Tank Integi	rity Assessment	
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

ty Address:9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbu			Date: 1/26/2022
npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting d	locumentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2		Jo cum o mtoti o m
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting d	
	component #2 ☐ Yes* ☒ No		by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	•	Attached supporting d ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance l	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance l License number of main	by inspector business: ntenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance by License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and	by inspector business: ntenance business: assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: Intenance business: Int
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsets)	by inspector business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsets)	by inspector business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsections	by inspector business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Itesults:	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsection of the Noncompliant (maintenance) Tank is Noncompliant (maintenance)	business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Itesults:	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsection of the Noncompliant (maintenance) Tank is Noncompliant (maintenance)	business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Itesults:	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance of License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subsection of the Noncompliant (maintenance) Tank is Noncompliant (maintenance)	business: Intenance business: Inssessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complied bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain

	pperty Address: 9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>1/26/2022</u>
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	ecured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	tv? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	.y roo _ into _ chimomi
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • $Page\ 3\ of\ 4$

operty Address: 9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbury, MN	N 55129		Detail 4	200/2002
ısiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>1/</u>	26/2022
Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent	#5 of	f 5	
Date of installation 1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknow	vn		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes [□ No	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Soil observation logs completed for the state of the stat	ne report
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	I vertical separatio
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	✓ ☐ Yes ☐] No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			⊠ Wellhead protection area.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes 🗵	☑ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes [] No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: January 26, 2022	Time: 1:00 PM			
Property Address: 9460 Bailey Rd, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129			
Property Owner:	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access access and the surface to facilitate access access and the surface to facilitate access access and the surface to facilitate access access access and the surface access acces	he system.			
	Tank size (gals.): 2-1250			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by g	7			
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ntic systam?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	-			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made			
	per: Meyer Sewer Service			
	on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I al this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in			

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Locat	ion of Project:	9460 Bailey Rd, Wo	odbury	, MN 55	5129		
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv				Date:	1/26/2022		
Classific	ation System:	USDA					
Soi	l Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:		
Surface Elevation of Observation	_	nd surface as last field trench			Surface Elevation of Observation		
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered	
0-10 10-27 ≈15-20 27-37 ≈15-20 37-48 ≈10-20 48-53 53-65	10YR 3/4 Medii 10YR 4/4 Me Wii 10YR 4/4 Wii 10YR 2 10YR 2/1	2/2 Silt Loam um Sand With Gravel dium Coarse Sand th Gravel Silty Clay Loam th Gravel /1 Silt Loam Silt Loam With & 10YR 6/2 Redox					
53" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
	on Of Observation Relative To System					tion Relative To System	
						Distribution Media	
=17 OI Sepa	ai aliUi i			Of Sepa	ıı atıvıı		
End Of Soil	Observation At:	65"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:		
Limiting Soi	l Conditions At:	53"	Limitin	g Soil C	onditions At:		
Standing Water Present At: None					r Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches		
Signature:	Color Ola	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.