Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

com MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 1050 Oryan Trail N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1998) consists of two pre-cast septic tank and a gravelless trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on February 7, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that gravelless pipe is no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the compliance date (2/8/2022). In addition, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information		Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:		Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington	County			
Property address: 1050 Oryan Trail N, Wes	t Lakeland, MN 550	82		
Owner/representative: Joe & Fran Seiler			Owner's phone: 651-587-5081	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast seption	c tanks and a gravel	less trench drainfield.		
Contain at the				
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/8/2				
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliand	ce*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	e of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evi imminent threat to public health or safety requir	ring removal and		und water must be upgraded, replaced, or me required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance v R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above guarantee future performance.		of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (ch	heck all applicab	le)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance com	nponent #1) – Immin	ent threat to public health ar	nd safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2	2) – Failing to proted	ct groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance	ce component #3) –	Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance	ce component #3) –	Failing to protect groundwar	ter	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minr	n. R. 7080.2500 (Co	mpliance component #3) - F	Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #	#5) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirer	ments (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompl	iant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations				
Although not a compliance criteria, it should Minnesota and we have had experience with cannot guarantee the performance of this sy the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I access and proper maintenance.	n this product having estem beyond the co	significantly reduced perfor mpliance date (2/8/2022). It	mance and/or life expectancy. We naddition, it should be noted that	
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information future system performance has been nor can be inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	e made due to unknow			
By typing my name below, I certify the above sused for the purpose of processing this form.		and correct, to the best of my k	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services			Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Hump	al Office Me		License number: L2896	
(This document has be	en electronically sign	red)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required s	upporting dod	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
<u> </u>		uired forms Tank Integri		
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary		-		
	, , ,	·		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ai			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		on:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation	on: Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/7/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Service ness: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/7/202</u> tach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Service ness: L1673 2/7/202 tach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the composition of the c	Pinky's Service ness: L1673 2/7/202 tach) thin three years

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

	perty Address: 1050 Oryan Trail N, West Lakeland, MN 55082 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>2/8/2022</u>
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
<u>J.</u>	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	 ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe 	.tv? □ Ves* ☒ No □ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ty: Tes No Officiowin
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • $Page\ 3\ of\ 4$

pperty Address: 1050 Oryan Trail N, West Lakela siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>2/8/2022</u>			
Soil separation – Compliance com	ponent #	of 5		
Date of installation 1998 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
	☐ Yes 🖂 I	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		⊠ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separat		
	☐ Yes ☐ N	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		_		
,	⊠ Yes □ N	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	□ Yes □ N			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: February 9, 2022	Time: 10:00 AM				
Property Address: 1050 Oryan Trail N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner: Joe & Fran Seiler	Phone: 651-587-5081				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If i performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface accessible?	ers should be made accessible to he system.				
1	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by gr					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	•				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ıldıngs?				
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side					
Location of water well on lot? Southeast Side	well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:					
When was the system last pumped? 2/7/2022 Name of pum	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
	on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 1050 Oryan Trail N, West Lakeland, MN 55082							
Ol	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					Date:	2/8/2022
	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation: ST-1		Soil Observation:				
Eleva	face tion of vation	_	ound surface as lless trench	Elevat	Surface Elevation of Observation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches Soils Encountered		Encountered	
0-33 33-40 40-46 46-58	≈10-15	10YR 3/ 10YR 3/ 7.5YR 4/4 Medi	8/3 Silt Loam 8/4 Silt Loam 4 Loamy Sand um Sand With Gravel Isal At 58"				
58" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox				Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
		on Of Observation Relative To System					
-25" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			
	Of Sepa				Of Sepa		
F., .!	Of Call (Observation At-	EO!!	End 06	Cail OF	aomintina Ati	
		Observation At:	58"			servation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Standing Water Present At: None					onditions At: r Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None S					ng wate	i i resent At.	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 25 Inches				
Signature:	Offer 1/2			

Logs of Soil Borings

B-27

Location	or Project Lot 2, Blo	dk 5, "FOUNTAIN	ишиз", Р	t. of E½ of Sec. 28, T29N R2	OW (West Lakeland)	
Borings	made by RS Johnson So	il Testing		Date May	1998	
Classifi	lcation System: AASHO	; USDA-	scs X	; Unified; other		
Auger us	sed (check two): Hand or Descriptions: Munsell Soi	or Powe	r <u>X</u> ; F1	ight X , or Bucket	_: other <u>Giddings</u>	
Depth,	Boring number	SB1A	Depth,	Boring number	SB _{2A}	
in feet	Surface elevation		in feet	Surface elevation		
0 ——	Black	(10YR 2/1)	0 —	Very Dark Grayish Brown	(10YR 3/2)	
	Silt]		Silt		
1 —	Dark Gravish Brown Dark Brown Silt	(18% 4/3) \$	1 -	Rlack	(10YR 2/1)	
2 —	Dark Yellowish Brown	(10YR 4/4)	2 —	Silt		
	6'11		-	Dark Brown	(10YR 3/3)	
3	Silt		3 —	Silt		
J	Dark Yellowish Brown	(10YR 4/4)		Dark Brown	(7.5YR 4/4)	
4 —	Silty Sand		4	Sandy Silt-		
5 —	Dark Brown Sandy Silt- Sandy Loam	(7.5YR 4/4)	5 —	Sandy Loam		
				(End of Boring)		
6	(End of Boring)	1	6 —			
7 —			7 —			
8 —			8 —			
	poring at 5.5 fe	eet.		boring at 5.0 f	eet.	
Present	at feet of dep	oth.	1	at feet of de	pth.	
	hours after borin	!	1	hours after bori		
	sent in boring hole			sent in boring hole		
Mottled	soil.		Moset 1 of	endl:		
Mottled soil: Mottled s Observed at 4.2 feet of depth. Observed				_	epth.	
				erved at feet of depth. present in boring hole		
	ions and comments:	 '		tions and comments:		
	in clicht drainage swale		ouserva	crons and comments.		

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.