Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 10067 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2019, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1976) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system is 46 years old. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on February 9, 2022.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | Local tracking | number: |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | Reason for Inspection | Property Transfer |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County | | |
| Property address: 10067 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 5 | 5082 | |
| Owner/representative: Dan Labore | | Owner's phone: 651-999-9716 |
| Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock tren | ch drainfield. | _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| System status | | |
| • | | _ |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/9/2022 | | |
| | ☐ Noncompliant – Noti | ce of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or | | ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance. |
| a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) | | health and safety (ITPHS) must be |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | | e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8. |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical | ole) | |
| ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi | • | and safety |
| ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote | • | • |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - | = | ealth and safetv |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - | • | - |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C | | |
| ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro | | r aiming to protoct groundwater |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance | = | liant - local ordinance annlies |
| Comments or recommendations | component #+) Noncomp | nam Todar Gramanice applies |
| | watam ia annravimataly 20 y | ears. This system is 46 years old |
| It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic s | system is approximately 30 y | ears. This system is 46 years old. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Certification | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno | | |
| inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. | e and correct, to the best of my | knowledge, and that this information can be |
| | | Out Continue and the continue and |
| Business name: Midwest Sewer Services | | Certification number: 5342/9852 |
| Inspector signature: Brian Thumpal Man (| b | License number: L2896 |
| (This document has been electronically sig | , | Phone: 651-492-7550 |
| Necessary or locally required supporting do | cumentation (must b | e attached) |
| Soil observation logs | quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr | rity Assessment |
| | · - | |
| | , | |

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation | n: |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ☐ No | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ai | | | |
| Describe verification methods and | l results: | | |
| | | | |
| nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: | component #2 | | 1: |
| Compliance criteria: | · · | Attached supporting documentation | 1 : |
| <u> </u> | component #2 ☐ Yes* ☒ No | | n: Ron's S Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, | · · | Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | Ron's S Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | Ron's S Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines | Ron's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/9/202</u> |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: | Ron's S Service ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/9/202</u> ach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice | Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Ron's S Service ess: L4007 2/9/202 ach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (see form instructions to ensure assess | Ron's S Service ess: L4007 2/9/202 ach) in three yearsment comp |

| Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services | Date: 2/9/2022 |
|---|---|
| | |
| . Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of | 5 |
| 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc. | c.), or unsecured? |
| ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown | |
| 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public heal | lth or safety? ☐ Yes* 🛮 No 🗌 Unknow |
| *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. | |
| 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by insp | pector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes* |
| *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □ | |
| | ent #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compone | ent #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable s □ No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compone | s 🗆 No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compone Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s 🗆 No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compone Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yest Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yest BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yest Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yest BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be considered. | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be a Compliance criteria: | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requir |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requir |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires If "yes", B below is required. |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires If "yes", B below is required. |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requires If "yes", B below is required. |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | s No If "yes", A below is requir |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page~3~of~4

| pperty Address: 10067 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services | | | Date: <u>2/</u> 9 | 9/2022 |
|--|--------|---------|---|-------------------------------|
| Soil separation – Compliance cor | npone | nt #5 o | f 5 | |
| Date of installation 1976 (mm/dd/yyyy) | _ Unkn | iown | | |
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the | ne report |
| Compliance criteria (select one): | | | ☐ Two previous verifications of required | • |
| | ⊠ Yes | | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area | · |
| 5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead | ∐ res | □ INO | | |
| Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | | | Reviewed previous compliance inspe | |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical | | | Reviewed design and permit records. | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | |
| 5b. Non-performance systems built | ☐ Yes | ☐ No* | Indicate depths or elevations | |
| April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a | | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) |
| food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical | | | C. System separation | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | D. Required compliance separation* | |
| | | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance. | owed by Local |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) | ☐ Yes | □ No* | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | |

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Describe verification methods and results:

Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

| This inf | formation will be used for the pu | urpose of conducting an MPC | CA Compliance Inspection. |
|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Inspection: | February 9, 2022 | | Time: 2:00 PM |
| Property Address: | 10067 Perkins Ave N, | Stillwater Twp, MN | Zip: 55082 |
| Property Owner: | Dan Labore | • : | Phone: 651-999-9716 |
| Tank(s) Septic 1 Aerobic Lift Holding Other: | | Soil Treatment System Rock trench Gravelless trench Chamber trench Seepage bed Mound -grade | Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system |
| performed through | | Maintenance hole co | f no, proper maintenance must be vers should be made accessible to f the system. |
| Year house built: 1 | | installed: 1976 | Tank size (gals.): 1200 |
| How long has selle | r owned the property? | | residents in home? |
| Number of bedroom | | e all floors drained by | |
| Garbage disposal? | - | Whirlpool bat | |
| | em (laundry, etc.)? | | |
| Does this property | have any footing drain | tiles connected to the | septic system? |
| | on this property such as | | ngs connected to this system? |
| The there any addr | nonar systems on this pr | toperty serving other t | oundings. |
| Location of septic | system on lot? East Side | | |
| Location of water | well on lot? West Side | Is t | he well a deep well? Y |
| surfacing of sewag | e onto the ground, septi | | as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, c.; or have any repairs been made |
| to the system? | If yes, explain: | | |
| | em last pumped? 2/9/20 | | mper: Ron's Sewer Service |
| | l in previous years? | | em on a monitoring plan? |
| | notices from any gover | | ning this system? |
| | cated in a shoreland man | | |
| Do you have any a | dditional information th | at should be given to | the new owner? |
| considered "non-complication local government unit w | ant/failing" per MPCA rules ithin 15 days of the date of ultimately responsible for pa | , that the inspector must be inspection completion. I | ge. I also understand that if the system is by law submit a copy of this report to the also agree that unless otherwise noted in work performed relative to this inspection |

Owner/Occupant: Date:




Soil Observations Log

| Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 2/9/2022 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Surface Elevation of Observation | Locat | ion of Project: | 10067 Perkins Ave | N, Still | water T | wp, MN 5508 | 32 |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------|----------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation | | | | | | | |
| Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Depth Inches | Classific | ation System: | USDA | | | | |
| Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Cobservation | Soi | l Observation: | ST-1 | | Soil C | bservation: | |
| Tinches Rock % Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Inches Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Soil Of Soil Of Separation Soil Of Separation Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Inches Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Enc | Elevation of | _ | | Elevat | ion of | | |
| 4-25 25-33 25-33 33-56 56-73 Toyre 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Coarse Sand Toyre 4/4 Medium Coarse Sand Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System 36" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥37" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Toyre 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/ | . I RUCK % | Soils E | ncountered | | Rock % | Soils | Encountered |
| SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-36"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥37"Of SeparationOf Separation End Of Soil Observation At: | 4-25 25-33 33-56 | 10YR 4/4 10YR 3/3 7.5YR 4/4 | 4 Medium Sand 3 Medium Sand 4 Medium Sand | | | | |
| -36" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥37" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 73" End Of Soil Observation At: | 73" Depth 1 | o End Of Soil O | bservation Or Redox | | Depth T | o End Of Soil | Observation Or Redox |
| ≥37" Of Separation Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 73" End Of Soil Observation At: | Same Elevation | on Of Observatio | on Relative To System | | Elevatio | n Of Observat | tion Relative To System |
| End Of Soil Observation At: 73" End Of Soil Observation At: | | | stribution Media | | | | Distribution Media |
| | ≥37" Of Sepa | aration | | | Of Sepa | iration | |
| | End Of Soil (| Observation At: | 73" | End Of | Soil Oh | servation At: | |
| Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At: | | | None | | | | |
| Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: | | | | | _ | | |

| Bottom Of Dist | ribution Medium At: 36 Inches |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | |
| Signature: | Color Va |

| beverage or lodging establishment: | | Not apo | plicable (reasing land(s), no dis to verify (See Commentations | anation) |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Onsinfield has at least a ter-foot vertical teperation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrook. | | □ Other (| Sea Connectivit sparration) | |
| Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead | □Yes □No | 8-1 0-6 | suffreplanation: sandy topsoil. | 10 YR 3/2 |
| Protection Areas or serving a Roof, beverage, or lodging establishment | | | 2 sandy loam. 72 sand & gravel. | 7.5 YR 4/3 |
| Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock." | | | | |
| "Experimental", "Otter", or "Parformence" | ☐Yes ☐ No | - | depths or elevations | |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector | - | | s of distriction media | 1 |
| License required) | The same of the sa | .B. Percel | South seturated solibedrock | |
| Provinced reserve the designed vertical | 100000 | C. Trete | O HONORON | 2 |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | -30 | O Beni | reduced up to 15 percent i | 2 |
| Any "no" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger is the system operated under an Operating Permit and Nitroger is the system operated to employ a Nitroger and the employ and the employer and th | n BMP* - Comp | Yes S No | | sired |
| failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger is the system operated under an Operating to the system required to employ a Nitrog to the system operated to employ a Nitrog | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? | pliance com | ponent #5 of 5 S # "yes", A below is req # "yes", B below is req | sired |
| failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge is the system operated under an Operatin is the system required to employ a Nitrog (MAP = Best Management Practices). If the answer to both questions is * | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? on BMP? papeoffed in the sys | pliance com | ponent #5 of 5 S # "yes", A below is req # "yes", B below is req | sired |
| failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge: Is the system operated under an Operatin is the system required to employ a Nitrog (IMP + Best Management Practice(s)) If the answer to both questions is * Compliance criteria a Operating Permit number: Init. | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? no BMP? specified in the sys "no", this section | pliance com | ponent #5 of 5 S # "yes", A below is req # "yes", B below is req | sired |
| falling to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge: Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitrog BMP = Best Management Psaclicate) If the answer to both questions is * Compliance criteria a. Operating Permit neutrone: Here the Operating Permit requires | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? | Ves S No Yes S No Yes S No stem design in does not a | ponent 85 of 5 S # "yes", A below is required; # "yes", B below is required to be completed. | sired |
| failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge: Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitrog (889 = Best Management Physiosop) If the answer to both questions is " Compilance criteria a. Operating Permit requires Here the Operating Permit requires is the required sitrogen Bermit requires | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? general permit general permit | Ves S No Yes S No Yes S No stem design in does not a | ponent 85 of 5 S # "yee", A below is req. # "yee", B below is req. seed to be completed. | |
| falling to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge: Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitrog BMP = Best Management Psaclicate) If the answer to both questions is * Compliance criteria a. Operating Permit neutrone: Here the Operating Permit requires | n BMP* - Comp g Permit? g specified in the syl specified in the syl "no", this section ren's been met? to and properly have compaliance. 50) An amissed these \$100 or within a sil registed, or the use of orders a | obliance corns I Yes Si No I Yes Si No stem design in does not a clioning? et to public heets booker period if bloomics of 490 or separation. | ponent 85 of 5 8" yea", A below is req. 11 "yea", B below is req. 12 "yea", B below is req. 14" yea No. 15" No. 16" No | proposition, register the system is fall to suppression to fall to suppression, repaired as suppression, repaired, repaired, repaired as promisent as the same in althousance and the same in the same |



DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.