Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake	e, MN 55110	Brian Humpal		
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com		MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector		
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: 2/10/2022 & 2/15/2022	Time: 10:30 AM	Owner: Kris Bowditch		
Inspection Address: 6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082				

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on February 15, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Afren Man

Brian Humpal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 10

Property Information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082			
Owner/representative: Kris Bowditch		Owner's phone: <u>612-385-4961</u>	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.		

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/15/2022

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal After

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

cument has been electronically signed)
--

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built 🔲 Locally required forms 🖾 Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address:	6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 2/15/2022

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		

Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector		
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: L1673		
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	2/15/2022	
		Existing tank integrity assessment (At	tach)	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be wit	hin three years)	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)		
		☐ Other:		

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

4 of 10

Property Address:	6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 2/15/2022

3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

	<u>J</u> u.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*		
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
		Describe verification methods and results:			
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🛛			
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🖂 N	Not applicable	
	Is the	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A	below is required	
	Is the	e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	lf "yes", B	below is required	I
		BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
	lf th	e answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.		

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? $\hfill Yes \hfill No$

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\hfill Yes$ $\hfill No$

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation:
Operating permit (Attach)

5 of 10

Property Address:	6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown		
 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	vertical separation
 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* 	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.						
Date of Inspection: 2/10/2022 & 2/15/2022	Time: 10:30 AM					
Property Address: 6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55082					
Property Owner: Kris Bowditch	Phone: 612-385-4961					
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \Box Yes \boxtimes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000					
	sidents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	cavity? Y					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se						
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?						
Location of septic system on lot? Southwest Side						
Location of water well on lot?Is the well a deep well?						
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:						
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service					
How often pumped in previous years?Is system on a monitoring plan?						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 6094 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser			vices		Date:	2/10/2022	
Classification System: USDA							
Soil Observation: ST-1		ST-1		Soil C	bservation:		
		-	nd surface as last Tield trench	Elevat	face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered	
	≈10	10YR 4/4 L 10YR 2/2 L 10YR 3/4 L 7.5YR 3/4 S Wit Refu	Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Sandy Clay Loam th Gravel Isal At 72"				
72" De	epth T	epth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox		
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevation Of Observation Relative To System				
-40" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				
≥32" Of Separation			Of Separation				
End Of Soil Observation At: 72"			End Of Soil Observation At:				
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None			Limiting Soil Conditions At: Standing Water Present At:				
Standing Water Present At: None			Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 40 Inches

Signature:

Atra Va

Soil Boring Log

Date:	7-5-02

•	N Sha Na	3011 501	•	9 Date: 7-5-	02		
Proje	ct Location:	· 9 of	10	-			
Client		·		Borings made by:			
	City State	Zıp		Lie. #			
	g method: Auger Plt Probe	•	Color		Il Other		
	Number <u>3</u>		Boring Number4				
Surface Elevation 95.9 Soil type at system depth: FINESAND W/CLAY FINES			Surface Elevation <u>98.3</u> Soil type at system depth: <u>MEO/FINE SANOW</u>				
	po at ofotoni (opput <u>prino 27)</u>	<u></u>	CLAY FINES				
Depth (Feet)	Texture	Color	Dopth (Feet)	Texture	Color		
~	TOPSOIL-LOAM	10713/2	-	TOPSOIL-LOAM	104113/2		
B " 1—			7"				
2	FINESAND W/ CLAY FINES	10yn3/4	2-	MED/FINESAND	107n3/4		
			3	•			
42"							
4	MED SILTYSAND	104R4/6	44"	MEDSILTYSAND	104R9/6		
5			5 —				
6			(6)				
			- 7_				
End of Standin Preser Mottled Obsern Not pre	boring at <u>5.5</u> feet. ng water table: yes no at at feet of depth, hours after boring. t soll: NONE yed at feet of depth. esent in boring hole vations and comments:		End of Standin Presen Mottled Observ Not pre	6 % boring at feet. ng water table: yas ng water table: yas t at feet of depth, hours after boring. t soil: NO NE yed at feet of depth. esent in boring hole vations and comments:			

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.