## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

## SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 9732 51<sup>st</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on 10/20/2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer				
Local regulatory authority info: 9732 51st N, Lake Elmo, MN	55042				
Property address: Washington County					
Owner/representative: Jim Bohlig	Owner's phone: 815-501-0418				
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast l	ift tank, and a mound.				
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/23/2022					
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance				
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt				
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health and safety				
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -					
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -					
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co					
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro					
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies				
Comments or recommendations					
Certification					
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,				
	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be				
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852				
<b>m</b> // /	Certification number: 3542/9652				
Inspector signature: Sian Thompal After Vi	License number: L2896				
(This document has been electronically sig	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550				
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)				
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit				
$\ igsim$ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer				

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		_
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		
<b>ink integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5
nnk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 10/20/2021
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied)

	Date: 2/23/2022
. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	or unsecured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health of	or safety? □ Yes*  ⊠ No. □ Unknow
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	or callety. If 165 Into Internation
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspect	or? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
<ul> <li>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component</li> </ul>	#4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes	☐ No If "yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	mlatad
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be com	pietea.
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be com- Compliance criteria:	pietea.
•	pretea.
Compliance criteria:	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes No	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	pietea.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Date of installation 2000/2014 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Soil observation logs completed for t	
Compliance criteria (select one):				d vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and ☐ Yes ☐ No*		☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			⊠ Reviewed design and permit records	3.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built		☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation	
			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Use your preferred relay service


BOHLIG 6 of 10

Property address: 9732 5/31 ST.	
City: LAKE ELMO	State: MN Zip code: 55042
Optional section: Sewage Tank Complia	ance Certification
	pection report and only certifies sewage tank compliance status.
	d and signed by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS essary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in
Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Complian	tified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an nee inspection form - Existing system (wg-wwists4-31b). This form can be us/water/ssts-and-msts-technical-and-compliance-criteria.
individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits the component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7 three years beyond the signature date on this form unless	quired when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an e inspection report. It represents a third party assessment of SSTS 7082.0700, subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of s a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is istrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. in C.
☐ Certificate of sewage tank compliance	Notice of sewage tank non-compliance
Affirm all three statements:	Select all that apply:
The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cess	
drywell, leaching pit, or other pit.  It does not contain a sewage tank that was desi	leaching pit, or other pit – "Failure to Protect gned Groundwater."
to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the	he It has a sewage tank that was designed to be
designed operating depth.  It does not represent an imminent safety threat l	watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed by operating depth – "Failure to Protect Groundwater."
reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak	It presents a threat to public safety by reason of
maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe cond	lition. unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole
	cover(s) or other unsafe condition – "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."
Company information	Designated Certified Individual (DCI) information
Company name: MEYER SEWER SEI	PVICE Print name: LANA MEYER
Business license number: £915	Certification number:
I personally conducted the work described above as a De Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedure	esignated Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance res to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS:
Designated Certified Individual's signature:	ma Mey of Date (mm/dd/yyyy): Despland 10/20/2
,	


# <u>Midwest Šewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPC	CA Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: February 23, 2022	Time: 10:15 AM
Property Address: 9732 51st St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042
Property Owner: Jim Bohlig	Phone: 815-501-0418
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System  Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench  Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench  Lift Metal Chamber trench  Holding ⊠Concrete ⊠Seepage bed  Other: □Block □Mound  Other □At-grade  Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ∑Yes □No *1	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co	
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance o	
Year house built: 2000 Year septic installed: 2000/2014	
	residents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bat	<u>h?</u>
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings	- J
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other l	ouildings?
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side	
Location of water well on lot? Southeast Side	he well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such a surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system?  If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 10/20/2021 Name of pu	mper: Meyer Sewer Service
How often pumped in previous years?  Is systematically a systematical department of the syste	em on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ning this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to	the new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowled considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must be local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all very by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	by law submit a copy of this report to the also agree that unless otherwise noted in

Owner/Occupant: Date:



	Perpendicular	Kaleniali	Owner Info	rmation	# 101 13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Property Owner / project: Property Address / PID:		97	32 51	51-St	Date	6/1	7/14
					7-60	un <del>c</del> el	WALD
TO SERVE			Soil Survey I	nformation	ref	er, to attached	soil survey
Parent matl's	3:		Outwash []	Lacustrine	Alluvium 📋	Organic [	] Bedrock
landscape po	osition:	Summit	Shoulder	Side slope	Toe slope	•	
soil survey r	nap units:			slope 82	5 % direction	- downhill	-
nekani.			Soil Lo	ig.#1			
لا لا Depth (in)	Avg Borin Texture	g P fragment %	it Elevation matrix color	redox color	Depth to SHW consistence	г <u>24</u> grade	shape
0-4	5:14 lown	<35 35 - 50 >50	7-5 2/3	N	loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular (clock) prismatic platy massive
4-24	Sany boan Fine	<35 35 - 50 >50	10 3/4	N	loose atable firm rigid	loose WEAR moderate strong	single grain granular booky prismatic platy massive
24+ 1265, x	silt lown	<35 35 - 50 >50	164/4	5 5/7 7.5 6/2	loose friable fight rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain gramular (Tocky prismatic platy massive
<i>N</i>		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic pluty massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular block) prismatic platy massive



### **Log Of Soil Borings** Location of Project: 9732 51st Street N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Borings Made By: Midwest Soil Testing Date: Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Classification System: Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: 4/22/14 USDA Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In 93.20' Benchmark = 100.00' concrete 95.801 patio at rear walkout Soils Encountered 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam (Wet) With 5YR 5/8 & 7.5YR 6/2 Redox Soils Encountered Inches 0-3 3-23 23-36 Inches 0-6 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/3 Loam (Moist) 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam (wet) Soil To Wet To Identify Redox 0-6 6-22 22-38 38-52 End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Standing Water Present At: End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Standing Water Present At: 52" 38"/90.03' None 36" 23"/93.88<sup>2</sup> 31" At 1 Hou Boring Number: Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Surface Elevation of 95.80 94.10' Boring Depth In Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Soils Encountered 0-4 4-17 17-26 26-32 Inches 0-4 4-17 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam 10YR 3/3 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Loam, Trace Gravel 5YR 4/4 Loam, Trace Gravel & Cobbles With 5YR 4/6 Redox Refusal At 32" 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam 7.5YR 2.5/3 Sandy Loam With Gravel & Cobbles 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand & Gravel Refusal At 30" 17-30 End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Water Present At: End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Standing Water Present At: 32" 26"/93.63'

## **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.