Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 10677 114th St N, Grant, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1976) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on March 10, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County				
Property address: 10677 114 th Street N, Grant, MN 55082				
Owner/representative: Rebecca O'Connor Trust / Sheila Rice		Owner's phone: 651-470-3380		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift ta	ank, and a rock trench drainf	ield.		
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/10/2022				
	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance		
	-	•		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.			
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.		se discontinued within ten months of receipt rter period if required by local ordinance or		
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)			
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	nter		
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to produce	tect groundwater			
$\hfill \square$ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations				
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the s cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and prop		buried. I recommend extending this		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the system and t				
inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true	and correct to the hest of my	knowledge and that this information can be		
used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	<u></u>	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b			
	•	<u> </u>		
Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally red	•	rity Assessment		
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	uon, Discialinei			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Any "ses" answer above found. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their Yes* No Yes* No No Other: Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicab
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? None of maintenance business: None of maintenance business: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's So Service
dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's St. Service
Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Tank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation:
None of the above found. Cank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria:
Tank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ No □ Name of maintenance business: □ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ Name of maintenance business: □ Pinky's Seevice
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes* No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's Seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ No □ Yes* □ No □ No □ Name of maintenance business: □ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business: ☐ Pinky's Seevice
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes* No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes* No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Pinky's So Service
or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Pinky's So Service
Name of maintenance business: Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their
designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: 3/10/2022
_ = 33 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Date of maintenance
Date of maintenance
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Date of maintenance: $\frac{3/10/2022}{2}$
Evicting tank integrity accessment (Attach)
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Date of maintenance
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied)
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied)
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (must be within three years
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied
Date of maintenance (must be within three years
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

Pro	perty Address: 10677 114 th Street N, Grant, MN 55082	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 3/10/2022
<u>3.</u>	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vernication methods and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page 3 of 4

iness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>3/</u>	10/2022	
Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 of	f 5		
Date of installation 1976 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes No		Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?			☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separat		
Compliance criteria (select one):					
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built		□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.							
Date of Inspection: March 10, 2022	Time: 10:00 AM						
Property Address: 10677 114 th St N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55082						
Property Owner: Rebecca O'Connor Trust	Phone:						
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system						
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.							
	Γank size (gals.): 1200						
	sidents in home?						
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	ravity? Y						
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? More than one system (laundry, etc.)?							
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-						
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building. Unknown	•						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other built	ildings? Unknown						
Location of septic system on lot? North Side							
Location of water well on lot? Is the well a deep well? Y							
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:							
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service						
How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan?							
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?							
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y							
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing							

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 10677 114th St N, Grant, MN 55082							
Ob						3/10/2022		
Cl	lassific	ation System:	USDA					
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:		
Surfa Elevati Observ	ion of	_	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered			Encountered	
0-4 4-20 20-60	≈25	10YR 3/	2 Sandy Loam 3 Loamy Sand ledium Sand With					
60"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
			n Relative To System		Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			
-24"			stribution Media	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Distribution Media	
≥36" (Of Sepa	iration			Of Sepa	iration		
End (Of Soil (Observation At:	60"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:		
		Conditions At:	None			onditions At:		
Standing Water Present At: None					_	r Present At:		
						•		

Bottom Of Dist	ribution Medium At: 24 Inches
Signature:	Chan ble

-SOIL BORINGS-9 of 10

Soil borings are made in order to determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as well as the location of the water table, impervious strata or bedrock.

Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole auger, etc.

Soils encountered at various depths should be listed as to appearance, texture and composition.

Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded.

SANDY LOAM

SANDY CLAY

SANDY CLAY

WATER TABLE

TYPICAL SOIL PROFILE

* LAST 1 to 2 of HOLES ARE 30"

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS,

BORING NO. 1 BORING NO. 2 BORING NO.

! ! . 					140. 0	DOM	140 140. 4
DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL. DESCRIPTION
0.	Top Soil	0.	Top Soil	0	Topsoil	0	Tup 501/
1/2	SANOY	1/2	5.4ND4	1/2	Topseil	1/2	
	LOAM		LDAM		STIVE SAND	1	SANDY LOAD
1 1/2	3AND	11/2	LDFIII	11/2	LOAM	11/2	
2	V 6 RAUEL	2	SANDA	. 2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2	3AN D
21/2	· /	21/2	V GRAVEL	21/2	SAND	21/2	W GRAUEL
3		3		3	GRAVEL	3	
31/2		3 1/2		31/2		31/2	
4		4		4		4	
41/2		41/2		41/2		41/2	
5		5		5		5	
51/2		51/2		51/2		51/2	
6		6	1	6		6	
51/2		61/2		61/2		61/2	
7	4	7	1	7	SAND	7	34ND
71/2	SAND	71/2	V 5440	71/2	J	71/2	
8		8		8		n	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.