Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 10920 32nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (tanks 2002, drainfield 1980s) consists of a pre-cast septic tank (may be a two-compartment), a pre-cast lift tank, a pre-cast septic tank (stilling tank), and a community rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

I was unable to locate the septic tank at the house due to current frost conditions. I was also unable to locate the stilling tank at the community drainfield due to there being a soil pile/debris located over the approximate area of the septic tank. The area of the community drainfield has been heavily disturbed/disrupted/compacted by heavy machines. It is unknown what kind of negative impact this has had on the system. At the time of the inspection, there was no visible surfacing of effluent, but there was excessive ponding above the drainfield rock. This is an indicator that the drainfield is nearing the end of its useful life.

Due to the disturbed/disrupted/compacted soils and the topography changes, it is likely that the system no longer has three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

It is my understanding that Lake Elmo is installing city sewer to this area this year. We recommend hooking up to the sewer as soon as it is available.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the reason noted above.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 10920 32 nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042		
Owner/representative: Joseph Wallace / Troy Graupmann - Ho	olz Group	Owner's phone: 651-337-9305
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank (may be a two-cast septic tank trench drainfield	compartment), a pre-cast lift	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/14/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*		ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
guarantee future performance.	under Section 145A.04 Subui	VISIOTI 6.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health a	nd safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety
☑ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	nter
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater
⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance		liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	, , ,	.,
The area of the community drainfield has been heavily disturbe kind of negative impact this has had on the system. At the time there was excessive ponding above the drainfield rock. This is life.	of the inspection, there was	no visible surfacing of effluent, but
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Thumpal After 1	<u></u>	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
 Soil observation logs System/As-Built □ Locally red □ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa 	quired forms 🛮 Tank Integr	
23 Other miorination (not). Treport Summary, Froperty informa	tion, Discialing	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

npact on public health — Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
ground surface		☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a		
Describe verification methods and	l results:	
what kind of negative impact this has	s had on the system. I	urbed/disrupted/compacted by heavy machines. It is unknown that the time of the inspection, there was no visible surfacing o ield rock. This is an indicator that the drainfield is nearing the
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	
	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment compli
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

	ne: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 3/14/2022
		
3. Other	compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Mai	ntenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
□ Y	es* ⊠ No □ Unknown	
3b. Oth	er issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
*Ye	s to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. Sys	em is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	Yes* □ No
3d. Sys	em not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Ye	s to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Des	cribe verification methods and results:	
wha efflu	area of the community drainfield has been heavily disturbed/disrupted/compacted by heat kind of negative impact this has had on the system. At the time of the inspection, there ent, but there was excessive ponding above the drainfield rock. This is an indicator that of its useful life.	was no visible surfacing of
Atta	ched supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4. Opera	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is required
4. Opera	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	If "yes", A below is required
4. Opera	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of stem operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
Is the sys	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of stem operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the sys	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of stem operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design reswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of stem operated under an Operating Permit? The stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design as were to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed ance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of the area of t	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of stem operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No specified in the system design as wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed ance criteria: Yes No specified in the system design are well as the complete ance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? If yes No stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design aswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete ance criteria: If ye the operating permit requirements been met? If yes No he required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
Is the system of	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the stem operated under an Operating Permit? Stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Solver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Complete the complete series in the system design The system	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page~3~of~4

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 3/14/2022
Soil separation – Compliance c	omponent #5 o	f 5
Date of installation 2002/1980s (mm/dd/yyyy)	☐ Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:
beverage lodging?		\square Soil observation logs completed for the report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separati
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	nd ☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
		☑ Due to the disturbed/disrupted/compacted soils and th topography changes, it is likely that the system no longer has three foot separation between the bottom of
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.
5b.Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shorela	nd	A. Bottom of distribution media
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving	а	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock
food, beverage, or lodging establishment	;	C. System separation
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspect License required > 2,500 gallons per day	≤ tor	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: March 14, 2022	Time: 11:00 AM				
Property Address: 10920 32 nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042				
Property Owner: Joseph Wallace	Phone:				
□ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Gravelless trench □ Lift □ Metal □ Chamber trench □ Holding □ Concrete □ Seepage bed □ Other: Stilling □ Block □ Mound □ Other □ At-grade	Other ity) Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system no proper maintenance must be				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.					
•	Γank size (gals.):				
<u> </u>	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 2 Are all floors drained by gr					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	N				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ntia avatam?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?					
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?					
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - West Side, Drainfield - O	Off Site On City Lot				
Location of water well on lot? City Water Is the	well a deep well? N/A				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made				
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
	on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.