Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 11385 24th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (installed in 1989) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on October 18, 2021.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer			
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County					
Property address: 11385 24 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042					
Owner/representative: Pat Ryan / Simon Morris (Son-in-law)		Owner's phone: 651-497-1409			
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.				
System status					
•					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/24/2022	□ Nancompliant Nati	as of nancompliance			
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	•			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	, , ,	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.			
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	nter			
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater			
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater				
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies			
Comments or recommendations					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.					
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be			
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852			
Inspector signature: Brian Thompal (After 1)	<u></u>	License number: L2896			
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550			
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b				
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr	rity Assessment			
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer				

ess Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>3/24/2022</u>
npact on public health – C	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	n discharges sewage to drain ☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
ank integrity – Compliance	e component #2		
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting o	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	e component #2	Attached supporting o ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance:	by inspector business: intenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance:	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of main Date of maintenance: ☑ Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions of Minn. R. 7082.0700 sur	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of main Date of maintenance: ☑ Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions of Minn. R. 7082.0700 sur	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied by 1.4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ cates the system ter.	Attached supporting of □ Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at the properties of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions of Minn. R. 7082.0700 successions) □ Tank is Noncompliant (minus of Minus of Mi	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied by 1.4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwater.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ cates the system ter.	Attached supporting of □ Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at the properties of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions of Minn. R. 7082.0700 successions) □ Tank is Noncompliant (minus of Minus of Mi	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied by 1.4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwater.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ cates the system ter.	Attached supporting of □ Empty tank(s) viewed to Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity at the properties of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions of Minn. R. 7082.0700 successions) □ Tank is Noncompliant (minus of Minus of Mi	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) 10/18/2021 (must be within three years to ensure assessment complied by 1.4 B (1))

Pro	pperty Address: 11385 24 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 3/24/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or u	insecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or so	afety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	1 of E ⊠ Not applicable
4.		
		o If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes N	o If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple	eted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page 3 of 4

Soil separation – Con		nnone	ent #5 0		3/24/2022
Date of installation 1989 (mm/dd/		Unkr		13	
Shoreland/Wellhead protecti beverage lodging?	on/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Soil observation logs completed fo	
Compliance criteria (selec	ct one):			☐ Two previous verifications of requi	red vertical separatio
		⊠ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment a	rea)
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			_	⊠ Reviewed design and permit recor	ds.
Drainfield has at least a two separation distance from persaturated soil or bedrock.	o-foot vertical				
5b. Non-performance systems	built	☐ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		,		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging				B. Periodically saturated soil/bedroc	(
Drainfield has a three-foot				C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				D. Required compliance separation*	
				*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or systems built under pre-200 Type IV or V systems built Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080. (Intermediate Inspector Lice 2,500 gallons per day; Adva License required > 2,500 ga	08 Rules; under 2008 2400 ense required ≤ anced Inspector	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the design separation distance from persaturated soil or bedrock.	ed vertical				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Property address: 11385 241 St. Ct. City: CAYU Flore	MARINE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE
City: <u>Carre</u> Elmo Sta	ate: Zip code:
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance Ce	rtification
This form does not represent a complete system inspection rep	port and only certifies sewage tank compliance status.
Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and signed Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary proof the system.	ed by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS cedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified professivity and system Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/s	Tipit Torm - Evicting evetom (we unvieted 24b) This form and be
The information and certified statement on this form is required who individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits the inspectior component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new ever equired according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rt. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.	en existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an a report. It represents a third party assessment of SSTS subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of aluation is requested by the support
Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage tank non-compliance
Affirm all three statements:	Select all that apply:
 ☑ The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit. ☑ It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth. ☑ It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition. 	 ☐ The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit – "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It has a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth – "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It presents a threat to public safety by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition – "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."
Company information	Designated Certified Individual (DCI) information
Company name: PINKYS Sewer Service	Print name: Mick St. Claire
Business license number: 1673	Certification number: 9755
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated C Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to asse	Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance ss the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS:
Designated Certified Individual's signature:	Date (mm/dd/yyyy): \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

<u>Midwest Šewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: March 24, 2022	Time: 11:00 AM
Property Address: 11385 24 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042
Property Owner: Pat Ryan	Phone:
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass ☐ Rock trench Aerobic Plastic ☐ Gravelless trench Lift Metal ☐ Chamber trench Holding Concrete ☐ Seepage bed Other: Block ☐ Mound Other ☐ At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface access and the second surface access and the second surface access access and the second surface access and the second surface access access and the second surface access access access and the second surface access access access and the second surface access access access access access access access access a	ers should be made accessible to
-	Γank size (gals.): 1500
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g	-
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other by	-
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	nungs!
Location of septic system on lot? East Side	
	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I al this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 11385 24th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser						Date:	3/24/2022	
Classification System: USDA								
Soil Observation: ST-1				Soil O	bservation:			
Elevat	Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		Surface Elevation of Observation					
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		
0-9 9-32 32-48 48-60 60-65	≈15	10YR 3/ 10YR 3/4 10YR 3/4 Mediu 7.5YR 4/4 Fin	8/3 Silt Loam 8/4 Silt Loam 4 Loamy Sand um Sand With Gravel e Sandy Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox					
60"	60" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox					o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
Same							tion Relative To System	
		o Bottom Of Dis	stribution Media	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Distribution Media	
=31"	Of Sepa	aration			Of Sepa	ration		
Fnd	Of Soil (Observation At:	65"	Fnd Of	Soil Ob	servation At:		
		Conditions At:	60"			onditions At:		
			None			r Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None St					g wate			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 29 Inches					
Signature:	Offer 1/2				

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

BORING NO.		BOR	ING NO. 2	BORI	NO NO. 3	BORING NO. 4		
DEPTH IN PERT	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	BOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	
0	DAKK Brown KÜL SILTY Loom	6	BARK BLOWN KINK BLIFY LOOM	6	DANKBHWH KIÑU SILKY LANK	ð	parshull sill silpy want	
7.1	2.Tistiquesi	6.		3" "	24.13.4MM	, ij (i	27 GHINN	
	John Stry		er andula Find stry : form	77.15	was sirty	10 -1	Mind Stiry	
760	27°79#1		erinal pindomitos		erignmed pingernapp		et iller men	
	Hind to auty Shao Williams		LOAMY SALIO	24"	ARACKS 24119		istal o Vicocrs	
7/:					etistelds Between Melikerness			
	CTIMED. Grand Fire Coamy Sano UMPICES				SALIO Urucks			
	* revers		:			49	t	
		*					277794 2146-41404	
54"	indo-						्य स्टब्स्स्ड - व्याप्तान	
	Britter of Eo. Sala M. 180545						:	
		6 120					÷	
6144	THEO THE		FINE SAUG	Ç : 7"				
	UNKYFING SANO		A reach's		ering ran Ukky einu		.·	
	disocrs.				y Macks			
8'0		8:0.	į	00		9:00		

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.