

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf>.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number: _____

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2103020120007 Local regulatory authority: Washington county

Property address: 2270 ORWELL CT N

Owner/representative: : HUNTRESS-CHRISTIANSON Owner's phone: _____

Brief system description: SEPTIC TANK TO DRAINFIELD, ORIGINAL FROM 2008

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/9/2022

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

***Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.**

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – *Noncompliant - local ordinance applies*

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: LASHINSKI SERVICES, INC.

Certification number: 3053

Inspector signature:

License number: L65

(This document has been electronically signed)

Phone: 612-919-3704

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- Soil observation logs
- Locally required forms
- Tank Integrity Assessment
- Operating Permit
- Other information (list): _____

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface Yes* No

System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. Yes* No

System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes* No

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation:

Other: _____

Not applicable

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes* No

Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes* No

If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation:

Pumped at time of inspection

Name of maintenance business: LASHINSKI SEPTIC

License number of maintenance business: L65

Date of maintenance: 3/9/2022

Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)

Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): _____ (must be within three years)

(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)

Other: _____

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?

Yes* No Unknown

3b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? Yes* No Unknown

***Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? Yes* No

3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* No

***Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable _____

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No **If “yes”, A below is required**

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No **If “yes”, B below is required**

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is “no”, this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No

Any “no” answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach) _____

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 5/20/2008 Unknown
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Yes No

Compliance criteria (select one):

5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Yes No*

Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Yes No*

Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*

5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080.2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Yes No*

Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

Attached supporting documentation:

- Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)
- Two previous verifications of required vertical separation (Attach)
- Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
- _____

SOILS ALSO VERIFIED BY CITY OF STILLWATER BUILDING DEPARTMENT 5/20/2008 (ATTACHED)

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	36"
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"
C. System separation	>36"
D. Required compliance separation*	36"

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

***Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Compliance Inspection Attachment for Existing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems

Address _____ 2270 ORWELL CT N _____


Boring #1 Elevation:	Boring #2 Elevation:	Boring #3 Elevation:"
0-30 Inspection pipe at end of drainfield trench. -72 10YR 5/4, 5/3 brown fine sand. No Mottles found, soil dry.	0-10 10YR 3/4, 4/4 loam -32 10YR 5/4 loam/silt loam. -78 10YR 4/4, 5/4 sandy loam and gravel. No redoximorphic mottling observed, soil dry.	

Sketch:

See attached

Comments: Benchmark = Top of rock. Assumed elevation = 100'0". Soil borings #1 redoximorphic mottling not observed, the system does meet the required 36" vertical separation from seasonally saturated soils. The system consists of a 2 1000-gallon septic tank and 1 1000-gallon lift tank, approximately 1000 sq. ft, of drain field using chambered gravelless distribution media. The tanks were pumped for the inspection, the baffles are intact and in good shape. This system is classified as compliant. This inspection is not a warranty or guarantee, either written or implied, of future or long-term hydraulic functionality/performance, but rather a determination if the systems use is/may cause pollution and/or adverse harm to the environment, groundwater or public health and safety at the time of this inspection. The house has been sitting vacant at the time of this inspection. No guarantee can be made on future hydraulic performance, or the performance of system components (pumps, controls, etc.). Changes in use can cause any system, failing or compliant, to become hydraulically overloaded and ultimately fail. Owner/buyer assumes full responsibility for the long-term performance of this system as well as any future upgrade, repairs or replacement costs. Liability is limited to the cost of this inspection.


ArcGIS Web AppBuilder



3/7/2022, 11:19:04 AM

1:1,128

- Parcels
- Sections
- Easement Lines



- COMPUTED UTILITY_DRAINAGE
- Address Points

Maxar, Microsoft

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

Job: 2270 Orwell Court North, Stillwater
 Date: 05-14 05-20 2008

Depth In Feet	B1	B2	B3	B4
1	Dark brown sandy loam top soil	black loam top soil	black loam top soil	black loam top soil
2	Red brown sandy clay loam	red brown silty clay loam	dark brown sandy clay loam	medium brown sandy clay loam
3	sandy clay loam	5yr 4/3	10yr 3/3	10yr 4/3
4	Red brown sandy loam	red brown sandy loam	red brown sandy loam	red brown sandy loam
5	to sandy silt loam	54	54	54
6	5yr 4/3	Medium brown sandy loam	Medium brown sandy loam	red brown coarse sand
7		10yr 4/3	10yr 4/3	5yr 4/3
		72	72	72

Soil boring Verification performed by John Nobbes,
 Cindy Sheets on 5-20-08