## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

## SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 10483 10<sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the limited original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system consists of a cesspool and distribution box converted from a cesspool (installed in 1967), and a rock trench drainfield (installed in 1985). It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because cesspool(s) and the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 10483 10 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042		
Owner/representative: Al Gilbert		Owner's phone: 651-436-6680
Brief system description: A cesspool, a disttribution box conver	ted from a cesspool, and a ro	ock trench drainfield.
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _4/4/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	, , ,	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt rter period if required by local ordinance or
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subd	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applications	ble)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imm	inent threat to public health a	and safety
Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	=	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	·	-
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C		Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	=	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	e component #4) – Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Contification		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (Hum)	<u></u>	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must b	
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
_	•	my Assessment
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	ation, Discialine	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	. —
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		
Describe verification methods and	l results:	
None of the above found.		
ı <b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5
i <b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· ·	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☑ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☑ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☑ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three year)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compared.
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement of the complement
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three year)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete.

	perty Address: 10483 10 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 4/4/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	h O D Vaat Ma D Halman
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	.y? ∐ Yes^ ⊠ No ∐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□ Voo* □ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	□ les ⊠ No
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe verification methods and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Л	Operating normit and nitrogen BMD* Compliance companent #4.6	of F □ □ Not a collection
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	
		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes  No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page 3 of 4

operty Address: 10483 10 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>4/4/2022</u>		
Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 of	f 5	
Date of installation 1967/1985 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage loughing:			Soil observation logs completed for the	•
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes	⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

I his information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Comphance inspection.			
Date of Inspection: April 4, 2022	Time: 1:45 PM			
Property Address: 10483 10 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Al Gilbert	Phone: 651-436-6680			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         □ Septic       □ Fiberglass       □ Rock trench         □ Aerobic       □ Plastic       □ Gravelless trench         □ Lift       □ Metal       □ Chamber trench         □ Holding       □ Concrete       □ Seepage bed         □ Other:       □ Block       □ Mound         □ Other       □ At-grade	Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Cesspool system 1 or more  Other system Cesspool Converted to distribution box.			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of				
Year house built: 1967 Year septic installed: 1967/1985				
	esidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by §				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	eptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other by	aildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
<u> </u>	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? Unknown Name of pur	nper: Unknown			
How often pumped in previous years?  Is system	n on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ing this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	ne new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I at this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all we by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the lso agree that unless otherwise noted in			
Owner/Occupant:	Date:			



# **Soil Observations Log**

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 4/4/2022  Classification System: USDA  Soil Observation: ST-1  Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation of Observation of Observation  Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Inches 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Lo	Locat	ion of Project:	10483 10th St N, L	ake Elm	no, MN	55042	
Soil Observation:  Surface Elevation of Observation  Depth In Inches  0-12 10YR 3/3 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3/5 Silt Silt Loam 10YR 3/4					•		4/4/2022
Surface Elevation of Observation  Depth In Inches  0-12 1-2-28 28-36  Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation of Observation  Depth In Inches 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Elevation of Observation    Same ground surface as last drainfield trench   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches   Soils Encountered   Depth Inches   Soils Encountered	Soi	l Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Tinches   Rock %   Soils Encountered   Tinches   Rock %   Soils Encountered	Elevation of	_		Elevat	ion of		
12-28 28-36  10YR 3/4 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox  28"  Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation	י ואטכע יי	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System  -48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation  Elevation Of Observation Relative To System  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation  Of Separation	12-28	10YR 3 10YR 3/4	3/4 Silt Loam Silt Loam With				
-48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation	28" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
=0" Of Separation Of Separation							
							Distribution Media
End Of Soil Observation At: 36" End Of Soil Observation At:	=u"  Of Sepa	aration			Or Sepa	iration	
	End Of Soil	Observation At:	36"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 28" Limiting Soil Conditions At:							
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:							

Bottom Of Dist	ribution Medium At: 48 Inches
Signature:	Chan la

# **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.