### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** 1/31/2022, 2/1/2022, 4/16/2022 **Time:** 11:30 AM **Owner:** Joe & Edie Brennan

**Inspection Address:** 14946 15<sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this septic system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2014, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1984) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on February 1, 2022. An old cesspool was abandoned 4/16/2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the well is located approximately 42 feet from the septic system; the required setback between the septic system and the well is 50 feet.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 14946 15 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001	
Owner/representative: Joe & Edie Brennan	Owner's phone: 651-775-7349
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/16/2022	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the v required setback between the septic system and the well is 50 to 10 to 1	
Contification	
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sig	<del></del>
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
	quired forms 🖾 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit

			16/2022
npact on public health – C	ompliance comp	onent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
i <b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	e component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria:	e component #2	Attached supporting documentation	on:
	· 		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/1/2022</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/1/2022</u> ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 2/1/2022 ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 2/1/2022 ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 2/1/2022 ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ cates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 2/1/2022 ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Area the system ter.  d results:	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 2/1/2022 ach) hin three years

Property Address: 14946 15 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001	D-1 4/40/0000
usiness Name: _Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 4/16/2022
Other counting of the counting	
. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.),	or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	(1000 - 000 000
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health	or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspec	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*     No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe vernication methods and results.	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
<ul> <li>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componen</li> </ul>	t #4 01 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? $\ \square$ Yes	□ No If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be con	mpleted.
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
<ul><li>a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No</li><li>b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No</li></ul>	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page~3~of~4

operty Address: _ 14946 15 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001 siness Name: _ Midwest Sewer Services			Date: 4/16/2022	
Soil separation – Compliance com	npone	nt #5 of	f 5	
Date of installation 1984 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food		☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separati
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,			⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.	
beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed compliance Inspection from	n 2014.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built		es No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: 1/31/2022, 2/1/2022, & 4/16/2022	Time: 11:30 AM			
Property Address: 14946 15 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001			
Property Owner: Joe & Edie Brennan	Phone: 651-775-7349			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 1       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface	he system.			
	Tank size (gals.): 1250			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 2 Are all floors drained by gr	-			
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath?  More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N	IN .			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ntic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings? N			
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system?  If yes, explain:	or have any repairs been made			
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?  Is system	on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in			

Date:

Owner/Occupant:

42'+/-

House

Well

### **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 14946 15th St S, Afton, MN 55001						
					1/31/2022	
Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Soi	I Observation:	ST-1 So		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation		nd surface as last field trench	Elevat	Surface evation of oservation		
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered	
0-17 ≤20 17-30 30-42 42-90	Wi 10YR 2/2 S Medium 7.5YR 4/4	ledium Sand (Fill) th Gravel Sandy Loam With In Sand Layers It Medium Sand Ine To Medium Sand Ine To Medium Sand				
90" Depth	To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
	on Of Observation Relative To System			Elevation Of Observation Relative To Syste		
-56" Depth	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media
≥34" Of Sep	aration			Of Sepa	iration	
End Of Soil	Observation At:	90"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	I Conditions At:	None			onditions At:	
	ater Present At:	None		_	r Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 56 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.