Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 8077 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 55016

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1973) consists of two fiberglass septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. One of the fiberglass tanks was replaced in 2006. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on April 26, 2021.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the past performance of fiberglass tanks from this era has been substantially unreliable and often leak at the joint between the bottom and top half of the tank. Since it is not feasible to excavate the entire tank, it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of the tank or that the tank will not be found watertight in the future.

In addition, my inspection indicated high liquid levels in the distribution box and septic tanks. The first septic tank was surfacing on the ground surface. The pumper also indicated drain back in to the septic tank from the drainfield at the time of pumping. These are all indicators that the drainfield is at the end of its useful life. The manhole cover on the second septic tank was not secured at the time of my compliance due the lack of proper screws. The screws were replaced, but the manhole appears to be structurally unsound.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" and is an imminent threat to public health and safety per MPCA rule 7080 Subp. 19a. because of the discharge of sewage to the ground surface, the unsafe manhole cover, and the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		- spr Q	
Property address: 8077 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 5	5016		
Owner/representative: Susan Trevathan		Owner's phone: 612-964-7365	
Brief system description: Two fiberglass septic tanks and a roc	k trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _4/26/2022			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice Notice	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	, , ,	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)		
		nd safetv	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	•		
	=	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	•	-	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C			
 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to pro 		g pg	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	=	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations	o component in the mondomp	mante recar eramanee apprice	
In addition, my inspection indicated high liquid levels in the dist on the ground surface. The pumper also indicated drain back in These are all indicators that the drainfield is at the end of its us secured at the time of my compliance due the lack of proper so be structurally unsound.	n to the septic tank from the eful life. The manhole cover	drainfield at the time of pumping. on the second septic tank was not	
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	·	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	ined)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
Soil observation logs System/As-Built □ Locally red			
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	· -	_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
	, -		

ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>4</u> /	/26/2022
npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	⊠ Yes* □ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☐ No	,	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☐ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
	ny compliance due the	ne end of its useful life. The manhole cover on lack of proper screws. The screws were repla	
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	•	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Meyer Sewer Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Meyer Sewer Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 each) in three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 each) in three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assesses	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 each) in three years) sment complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 each) in three years) sment complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): — (must be with the complete of the com	Meyer Sewer Service ess: L915 4/26/2022 each) in three years) sment complies w

	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 4/26/2022
	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), o	r unsecured?
	 ✓ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown 	unsecureu:
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health of	r safety? ☐ Yes* No ☐ Unkno
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	. co.o.y
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector	or? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	In addition, my inspection indicated high liquid levels in the distribution box and septic ta surfacing on the ground surface. The pumper also indicated drain back in to the septic of pumping. These are all indicators that the drainfield is at the end of its useful life. Th septic tank was not secured at the time of my compliance due the lack of proper screws the manhole appears to be structurally unsound.	tank from the drainfield at the time manhole cover on the second
	Attached supporting documentation: □ Not applicable □	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
•	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	#4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	#4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable] No lf "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compliance criteria:	No If "yes", A below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is requi

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page 3 of 4

siness Name: <u>Midwest Sewer Services</u> Soil separation — Compliance con	npone	nt #5 of	Date: <u>4/:</u>	26/2022	
Date of installation 1973/2006 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	a)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built		⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conduc	ting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: April 26, 2022	Time: 2:00 PM				
Property Address: 8077 River Acres Rd, Cottage Gro					
Property Owner: Susan Trevathan	Phone: 612-964-7365				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatm Septic 2 □ Fiberglass □ Rock tree □ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Gravelles □ Lift □ Metal □ Chamber □ Holding □ Concrete □ Seepage □ Other: □ Block □ Mound □ Other □ At-grade	Alternative system ss trench				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes	☐ No *If no, proper maintenance must be				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance the ground surface to facilitate access and proper main	te hole covers should be made accessible to tenance of the system.				
	73/2006 Tank size (gals.): 1-1200,1-1000				
11.	umber of residents in home?				
	rained by gravity? Y				
	lpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connect	ad to the sentic system?				
Boes this property have any footing drain thes connect	ed to the septic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?					
Are there any additional systems on this property servi	ng other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? North Side					
Location of water well on lot? City Water	Is the well a deep well? N/A				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:					
	ame of pumper: Meyer Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y					
Do you have any additional information that should be	given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 8077 River Acres Rd, Cottage Grove, MN 55016							
-	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser				Date:	4/26/2022	
CI	Classification System: USDA						
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil C	bservation:		
Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		Elevat	face tion of vation				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-8 8-29 29-48 48-60 60-64 64-75		10YR 3 10YR 4/4 10YR 4/4 Lamel 10YR 3/4 Loan 10YR 3/4 Loan	Encountered 2/1 Fine Sand 3/4 Fine Sand 4/4 Fine Sand Fine Sand With Illae Banding my Fine Sand (Moist) My Fine S				
64" [64" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox	
Same E	me Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System	
	-44" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media	
=20" (Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration	
End C	of Sail (Observation At:	75"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
			64"				
		Conditions At:			_	onditions At: r Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Stanui	ng wate	i i i eselit At.		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 44 Inches		
Signature:	Offer 1/h	





DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.