Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 8860 27th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (installed in 1982) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Schlomka Services pumped the septic tank on May 3, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After the Brian Humpal

Christopher Brian Humpal Uebe



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 8860 27 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Owner/representative: Barb & Dennis Lund	Owner's phone: 651-779-9959
Brief system description: A pre-cast septict tank and a rock tren	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/3/2022	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immii	•
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	ect groundwater
$\hfill \square$ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the scover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and property.	eptic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this er maintenance.
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Mul	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
 ☑ Soil observation logs ☑ System/As-Built ☑ Locally red ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information 	quired forms

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
None of the above lound.			
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	n:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Schlom
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Schlom
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Schlom
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Schlom ess: <u>L2989</u> <u>5/3/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Schlom ess: <u>L2989</u> <u>5/3/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Schlom ess: <u>L2989</u> <u>5/3/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Schlom ess: <u>L2989</u> <u>5/3/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Schlomess: L2989 5/3/202 ach) in three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Schlom ess: L2989 5/3/202 each) in three yea sment comp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Schlom ess: L2989 5/3/202 each) in three yea sment comp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Schlom ess: L2989 5/3/202 each) in three yea sment comp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ A seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Schlom ess: L2989 5/3/202 each) in three yea sment comp

usiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/3/2022</u>
. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	secured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ety? ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknow
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
Attached supporting documentation. 🖂 Not applicable	
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Solution of the System operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require

pperty Address: 8860 27 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, M siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		-	Date: <u>5</u>	/3/2022	
Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 of	² 5		
Date of installation 1982 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for t	he report	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	•	
			Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if al Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

	This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.					
	Date of Inspection: 5/2/2022 & 5/3/2022	Time: 11:00 AM				
	Property Address: 8860 27 th St Ct N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042				
ļ	Property Owner: Barb & Dennis Lund	Phone: 651-779-9959				
	Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
ļ	Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If i	no proper maintenance must be				
	performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the ground surface access and ground surface access and ground surface access and ground surface access access access and ground surface access	ers should be made accessible to				
	Year house built: 1982 Year septic installed: 1982	Γank size (gals.): 1200				
		sidents in home?				
	Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr	ravity?				
ļ	Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?					
ļ	More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
	Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	-				
	Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?					
	Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ıldıngs?				
ļ	Location of septic system on lot? East Side					
ļ	·	well a deep well? N/A				
ļ	Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:					
ļ	surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.	; or have any repairs been made				
	to the system? If yes, explain:					
	When was the system last pumped? 5/3/2022 Name of pum	per: Schlomka's				
ļ		on a monitoring plan?				
ļ	Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	<u>U 1</u>				
ļ	Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
	Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
t	I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

	Locati	on of Project:	8860 27th St Ct N,	Lake E	lmo, MN	N 55042	
-	oservati	ons Made By:	Midwest Sewer Ser		•	Date:	5/2/2022
C	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil O	bservation:		
Surf Elevat Obser	ion of	of Same ground surface as last			face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-6 6-16 16-44 44-60	≥25	10YR 3/4 10YR 4/4 10YR 4/4 Mediu	2 Sandy Loam 1 Medium Sand 4 Sandy Loam um Coarse Sand With Gravel				
60"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
		on Of Observation Relative To System					tion Relative To System
-34"						Distribution Media	
≥26"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration	
Fnd	Of Soil (Observation At:	60"	Fnd Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
		Conditions At:	None			onditions At:	
		iter Present At:	None		_	r Present At:	
Standing Water Freschit Att. None Sta							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 34 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.