Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear	Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwe	stsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE T	REATMENT SYSTE	CM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: 3/21/2022 & 5/4/2022	Time: 12:45 PM	Owner: Jack & Miriam Juvette
Inspection Address: 2041 Paris	Ave N, West Lakeland	l, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 4, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that there is some root infiltration into the first septic tank. I recommend monitoring and removal of these roots from the tank as necessary to reduce the likelihood of problems within the septic tank.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Afren Man

Brian Humpol

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 9

oporty information

operty information Local tracking number:		number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 2041 Paris Ave N, West Lakeland, MN 55082		
Owner/representative: Jack & Miriam Juvette / Wade Hanson - R	e/Max	_ Owner's phone: <u>651-274-8584</u>
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift	ank, and a rock trench dr	ainfield.

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/4/2022

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that there is some root infiltration into the first septic tank. I recommend monitoring and removal of these roots from the tank as necessary to reduce the likelihood of problems within the septic tank.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal	After 1
--------------	---------

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

nt has been electronically signed)

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built 🔲 Locally required forms 🖾 Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address: 2041 Paris Ave N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 5/4/2022

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗋 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		

Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:				
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their		License number of maintenance business: L1673				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	5/4/2022			
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attac	:h)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be withir	three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat		(See form instructions to ensure assessr Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	nent complies with			
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	sary – explain below)			
		☐ Other:				

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that there is some root infiltration into the first septic tank. I recommend monitoring and removal of these roots from the tank as necessary to reduce the likelihood of problems within the septic tank.

4 of 9

Property Address:	2041	Paris	Ave N,	West	Lakeland,	MN 55082
Б. ¹ М			- ·			

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 5/4/2022

3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

	За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	ured?	
		🗌 Yes* 🖾 No 📋 Unknown		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	' 🗌 Yes*	🛛 No 🔲 Unknown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□ Yes*	🖾 No
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	□ Yes*	🖾 No
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🛛		
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 🛛 N	lot applicable
	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If '	"yes", A	below is required
	Is th	ie system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No $~$ If '	"yes", B	below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \Box Yes \Box No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

Pro	operty	Address:	2041	Paris	Ave N	, West Lakela	and, MN 55082
-				-			

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for th Two previous verifications of required Not applicable (No soil treatment area Reviewed design and permit records.	vertical separation
beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* 	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Date: 5/4/2022


<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 3/21/2022 & 5/4/2022	Time: 12:45 PM
Property Address: 2041 Paris Ave N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082
Property Owner: Jack & Miriam Juvette	Phone:
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench XLift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \boxtimes Yes \square No *If	
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	
Year house built: 2007 Year septic installed: 2007	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000
How long has seller owned the property? Number of r	esidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	?
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	eptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildin	gs connected to this system?
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other by	uildings?
Location of septic system on lot? Northeast Side	
Location of water well on lot? Is the	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc	.; or have any repairs been made
to the system? If yes, explain:	
	nper: Pinky's Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ing this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	2
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	ne new owner?


I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:





1	N 44° 58 712 W0 92° 47. 927	N 440 58.711 WO 920 47.915	N 440 51.736 WU 92" 47.941	N. 440 58. 730' WU. 920 47. 907'
Depth in Feet	B1	82	B3 · · ·	B4
	Black Loam Topsoil distribut	Dark brun silt Leam Topsoil	Bruy- black fill Dark brown git Loam Topsel	6 Black sitt Loom topsilg
	silt Leam logr 3-5	medición bon silt	16 medium brown	miclium brown Silt Lorm Joyr 4/3 20
	medium brown sang Lorm 18474/3	26 Loan 10yr 4/3	10y, 4/3	medium brun spinoy silt Loom logr 4/3
	medium brow medium sano 4 nyr 4/3	Rectorm SAN Loom Syrth.		46 medinar to red ormen
		50 Pole brown fin SAND	50 Medium to red brown Strong Loom Syrs/3	Medium SAND Syr5/3 St ST med brown wet very fin sans ngalber
	cuarse SHNO 10yr 4/3 8	1041 6/3	Pale brown medium san 10 yr 6/3	malini to peter burn
	7	84		76 76



TE: JOCT 2007 TME: ID:00 SOIL REVIEW SOIL BORNG: SOIL BORNG: L CLASSIFICATION: SOIL BORNG: SOIL BORNG: SOIL BORNG: SOIL BORNG: LOCATION: #F3.9 #C4 SOIL BORNG: LOCATION SOIL BORNG: LOCATION SOIL BORNG: LOCATION MICHINE: LOCATION: MICHINE: LOCATION: MICHINE: LOCATION: MICHINE: LOCATION: MICHINE: SOIL REVIEW SOIL REVIEW	STABLISHMENT MENT PROTECTION AREA	
SOIL REVIEW SOIL BORNG 1 SOIL BORNG 2 SOIL BORNG 1 SOIL BORNG 2 VATION OF BORNG: LOCATION: SOIL BORNG 2 CONDUCTION OF BORNG: LOCATION CONDUCTION OF BORNG: LOCATION # BORNG PT LOC # BORNG PT CONDUCTION OF BORING: LOC # BORNG PT CONDUCTION OF BORING DORING PT # Colspan="2">CONDUCTION OF BORING: DORING PT # Colspan="2">STRUCTURE FORDEL DORING PT # Colspan="2">CONDUCTION OF BORING: COLD CONDUCTION OF BORING: CONDUCTION F FORDEL CONDUCTION F FORDEL CONDUCTION F FORDEL SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS <th col<="" th=""><th></th></th>	<th></th>	
SOIL BORNIG 1 SOIL BORNIG 2 BET 7 STEAL SOIL BORNIG 2 VATION OF BORNIG: LOCATION: 3/ 5 S. ELEVATION OF BORNIG: LOCATION COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPS COORDNATES: LAT: LON: COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPS COORDNATES: LAT: LON: COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPS COORDNATES: LAT: LON: CORNERD TOTWER COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPS COORDNATES: LAT: LON: CORNERD TOTWER COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPT (M) TEXTURE COLOR STRUCTURE CORNERD TOTWER COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPT (M) TEXTURE COORDNATES: LAT: CHEAD TOTWER COORDNATES: LAT: LON: GPT (M) TEXTURE COORDNATES: LAT: LON: CHEAD TOTWER COORDNATES: LAT: LON: SPT (M) TEXTURE COORDNATES: LAT: LON: CHEAD TOTWER CONTRACT SPT (M) SPT (M) TEXTURE COORDNATES: LAT: LON: COORDNATES: LAT: LON: SOIL TEXTURE CONTRES: LAT: SOIL TEXTURE		
SOLE DOUBLO BIT STORE/ BIT BIT ELEVATION OF BORING: LOCATION CORONNATES: LAT: LODI: OPS CORONNATES: LAT: LODI: BIT BORING: PAT PROBE DORING PT MATON OF BORING: PAT PROBE DORING PT MEDONING: PT PROBE DORING WITCH PT MEDONING: TOTTURE COLOR STRUCTURE PT MEDONING: TOTTURE COLOR STRUCTURE DORING WITCH DORING MEDICALIZATION OF BOLI STATURALE STATURALE DORING WATER: SOLI SOLI SOLI SOLI SOLI SOLI SOLI MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: SOLI STATURALE DIMEARID AND OR SOLI STATURALE DIMAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: SOLI STATUR		
COORDING: LOCATION: 31 + 32. ELEVATION OF BORING: LOCATION: DOUBLING:		
COORDINATES: LAT: LON: DRODE DRODE OPSTORES. LAT: LON #LOORING PRT PRODE DRODE DRODE OP DRODE OP PRT #LOORING PRT STRUCTURE PRADE DRODE OP DRODE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE DRODE DRODE DRODE DRODE DRODE DRODE DRODE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE SOIL STRUCTURE <td></td>		
ALL DOWNG PT	PROBE	
INDERCOP COLOR STRUCTURE TEATURES DEPTRIGN TEATURE COLOR STRUCTURE 1-4/1 JAHAD M 7:57/6 JSB FILLUS JLLES JLES	REDDXIMORPHIC	
Image: Solution of the	FEATURES	
J***# SAND T SAND T SB F FILL SOL S ***#8 SAND 10** SB F		
SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS Soil Standor BEDROCK MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM CHECK ALL THAT APPLY CHECK ALL THAT APPLY </td <td></td>		
10 2AF-2 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12		
SOIL REVIEW CONCLUSIONS SATURATED SOIL SOIL TETURE: SATURATED SOIL SATURATED SOIL SATURATED SOIL SATURATED SOIL DISTURES SOIL BEDROCK: SATURATED SOIL: SATURATED		
AL SYTE SUITABLE DEPTH INFORMATION: SOLI TEJULA: IDINITABLE SOLI STANDING WATER: SOLI VELVICE SOLI SEZING TA DIDITURBE SOLI BEDROCK: MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: SOLI SEZING TA CHECK ALL THAT APPLY EASEMENTS ON LOT: SETE A WELLAND VEGEFATION ID UTILITY BLUFFLINE POOD, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID OTHER POND, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID VELLE VIELL ID OTHER WELL		
AL SYTE SUITABLE DEPTH INFORMATION: SOLI TEJULA: IDINITABLE SOLI STANDING WATER: SOLI VELVICE SOLI SEZING TA DIDITURBE SOLI BEDROCK: MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: SOLI SEZING TA CHECK ALL THAT APPLY EASEMENTS ON LOT: SETE A WELLAND VEGEFATION ID UTILITY BLUFFLINE POOD, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID OTHER POND, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID VELLE VIELL ID OTHER WELL		
AL SYTE SUITABLE DEPTH INFORMATION: SOLI TEJULA: IDINITABLE SOLI STANDING WATER: SOLI VELVICE SOLI SEZING TA DIDITURBE SOLI BEDROCK: MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: SOLI SEZING TA CHECK ALL THAT APPLY EASEMENTS ON LOT: SETE A WELLAND VEGEFATION ID UTILITY BLUFFLINE POOD, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID OTHER POND, LARE, STREAM, RIVER ID VELLE VIELL ID OTHER WELL		
K_STE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL UNSUITABLE UN	>	
DISTURBED SOIL OUTUBED SOIL DEDROCK: A MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: G MAXIMUM DEPTH OF SYSTEM: MAXIMUM DEPTH OF	OR: 1,27	
COMPACTED SOL COMPACT DUSC COMPACT		
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY EASEMENTS ON LOT: SETEM WETLAND OR WETLAND YEGETATION UITLITY RIVER P DOD, LAKE, STREAM, RVER DRAINAGE RIVER 10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVATION DRAINAGE POND, LAKE, STREAM, WET 0 BLUFFLINE DOTHER WELL		
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY EASEMENTS ON COT: UNIT APPLY EASEMENTS ON COT: POND, LARE, STREAM, RYER POND, LARE, STREAM, RYER POND, LARE, STREAM, WER D 10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVATION D 10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVATION D 8LUFFUNE D 90ND, LARE, STREAM, WET D 90ND, ME D 90ND, ME D 90ND, ME D 9	c	
WELLAND OR WELLAND YEELAND YYEENAND YEELAND Y		
□ PORD, LAKE, STREAM, RVER □ DRAINAGE POND, LAKE, STREAM, WET □ DRAINAGE POND, LAKE, STREAM, WET □ OTAR FLOOD ELEVATION □ OTHER □ OTHER □ WELL ■ UE ■ UE ■ □ WELL ■		
10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVATION OTHER OTHER OTHER WELL WELL WELL CASING DEPTH: WELL	ND	
WELL WELL CASING DEPTH:		
OMMENTSNOTES: AFEA BY BIZ BZ POTENTIALLY FILL		
OMMENTSINOTES: AFEA BY BI : BZ POTENTIALLY FILL ABOUT 34" QKAY TO INSTALL NOFTH OF DISTURBED	SOILS TO AFEA	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.