Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 4358 Paris Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

This report supersedes the initial report dated 5/17/2022 after it was discovered that there was a drainfield trench located directly adjacent to our initial soil observation, which would have negatively influenced the soil observation.

We have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system; additionally, we contacted Washington County and were advised that there are no records for this system. This older system (installed in 2001) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a gravelless trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 17, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that gravelless pipe is no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the compliance date (5/21/2022).

Predicated on the inspection of the system and the review of the history of the system with the owner, it is our opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements. At the time of the inspection, a small portion of the drainfield was exposed; soil was placed over the exposed drainfield in conjunction with this inspection.

Midwest Sewer Services has been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe Brian Humpal

NOTE: This report is not complete without the inclusion/attachment of the additional pages which consist of up to three (3) MPCA drafted Compliance Inspection Documents, one (1) Homeowner/Occupant Information Sheet (when obtainable), one (1) site diagram, one (1) log of soil boring(s), one (1) Brian L Humpal, Inc. Disclaimer Sheet, and one (1) MPCA License.

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 4358 Paris Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55082	<u>.</u>		
Owner/representative: Mike & Tara Noer		Owner's phone: 612-308-9294	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a grave	lless trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/21/2022		_	
	☐ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immir	nent threat to public health a	nd safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwa	ter	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – I	Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	ect groundwater		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncompl	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that grave Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the complex of the	g significantly reduced perfor		
Certification	4	international Management of the section of	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered a future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my l	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Buan Humpal Man 1/2	<u></u>	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr	ity Assessment	
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	1:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain ille or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	-	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ai		_	
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
Minnesota and we have had experier We cannot guarantee the performan		and the compliance date (5/1//2022).	
	ce of this system beyo		
We cannot guarantee the performand	ce of this system beyo		ı:
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	ce of this system beyo	of 5	ı:
we cannot guarantee the performand nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	l: Pinky's S Service
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's S Service
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Pinky's S Service ss: <u>L1673</u> 5/17/202
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Pinky's S Service ss: <u>L1673</u> 5/17/202
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Pinky's S Service ss: <u>L1673</u> <u>5/17/202</u> ch)
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	component #2 ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ this system beyone in the sys	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ss: L1673 5/17/202 ch) n three years

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/21/2022</u>
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	nsecured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	fate O D Varit M No D Halina
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	Tety? ☐ Yes^ ☒ No ☐ Unknov
Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	D Vaa M Na
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe vernication methods and results.	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable □	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the system design.	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below
Departing permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the state of the state
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is required to the second of the secon
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requir

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>5/2</u>	21/2022
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponen	t #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 2001 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unkno	wn		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes │	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for th ☐ Two previous verifications of required	•
Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes [□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

This report supersedes the initial report dated 5/17/2022 after it was discovered that there was a drainfield trench located directly adjacent to our initial soil observation, which would have negatively influenced the soil observation.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: 5/17/22 & 5/21/22	Time: 2:15 PM				
Property Address: 4358 Paris Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner: Mike & Tara Noer	Phone: 612-308-9294				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.					
	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by g	<u> </u>				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	,				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	eptic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?					
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? East Side					
Location of water well on lot? Southwest Side Is the	e well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,					
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:					
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
	n on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing					

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Log Of Soil Borings

Loca	Location of Project: 4358 Paris Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55082					
Observa	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv			Date:	5/21/22	
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Class	sification System:	USDA	
Sc	oil Observation:	2	Soil Observation:			
Surface		96.13'	Surface			
Elevation of	Dentermant	= 100.00' concrete	Elevation			
Observation	slab at	walkout door	Observation	on		
Depth In	Soils Encountered		Depth In	Soils E	ncountered	
Inches			Inches			
0-6 6-31		3 Silt Loam Loamy Sand				
		ce of Gravel				
31-78		Fine Sand With				
		ımallae Banding 5% Gravel				
	7 tild ~	0 70 014 01				
05 12'	levation To Botton	o Of Distribution Modia		Flevation To Bottom	Of Distribution Media	
95.13' Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media -89.63' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation			Depth To Redox Or			
≥5.5'/66" Of Separation			Of Separation			
	Observation At:	89.63'/78"		oil Observation At:		
	Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:					
Standing V	Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:					



DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.