Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: 5/17/2022 & 5/18/2022 **Time:** 12:15 PM **Owner:** Jordan & Angela Norlen

Inspection Address: 5269 Osgood Ave S, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 18, 2022.

At the time of my inspection, there was a high liquid level in the septic tank due to the effluent filter being plugged. I recommend installing a larger filter or the homeowner conduct maintenance cleaning every six months. In addition, the effluent alarm was not working at the time of inspection. I recommend verifying the working condition of the alarm.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	 -	
Property address: 5269 Osgood Ave S, Afton, MN 55001		
Owner/representative: Jordan & Angela Norlen		Owner's phone: 612-401-2400
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast I	ift tank, and a rock trench dra	ainfield.
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/18/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdi	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	•	nd safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater	•
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety
$\hfill \Box$ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	ter
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) –	Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	ect groundwater	
$\hfill \square$ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncompl	liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
At the time of my inspection, there was a high liquid level in the recommend installing a larger filter or the homeowner conduct ralarm was not working at the time of inspection. I recommend v	maintenance cleaning every	six months. In addition, the effluent
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (April		License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛭 Tank Integr	ity Assessment
$\ oxed{oxed}$ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer	

npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
None of the above found.			
		-4.F	
ank integrity – Compliance	e component #2	of 5	
<u> </u>	e component #2		n·
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2 □ Yes* ☑ No		n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentatio ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentatio ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's \$
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> 5/18/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>5/18/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>5/18/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 5/18/202 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the company of the c	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 5/18/202 ach) nin three year sment compl
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 5/18/202 ach) nin three year sment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the company of the c	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 5/18/202 ach) nin three year sment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busined Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 5/18/202 ach) nin three year sment comple

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

651-296-6300

800-657-3864 •

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Pro	operty Address: _ 5269 Osgood Ave S, Afton, MN 55001	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 5/18/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or ur	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	fety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Л	Operating powers and nitrogen BMD* Compliance companent #4	of C. Marian Parkin
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple	ted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

iness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: 9	5/18/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 2015 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Soil observation logs completed for	
Compliance criteria (select one):				ed vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment ar	ea)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed design and permit record	S.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	20"
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	llowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Describe verification methods and results:

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This inf	ormation will be used for	or the purpose of conduc	ting an MPCA (Complianc	ce Inspection.
Date of Inspection:	5/17/2022 & 5/18	8/2022		Time:	12:15 PM
Property Address:	5269 Osgood Av	e S, Afton, MN		Zip:	55001
Property Owner:	Jordan & Angela	Norlen		Phone:	612-401-2400
Tank(s) Septic 2 Aerobic Lift Holding Other:	Tank(s)Material Fiberglass Plastic Metal Concrete Block Other	Soil Treatm Rock tre Gravelle Chamber Seepage Mound At-grade	nch ss trench trench bed	☐Alter ☐Expe ☐Cessp ☐Other	Other native system rimental system pool system system
	the maintenance l	holes. Maintenan	ce hole cove	rs shoul	er maintenance must be ld be made accessible to m.
Year house built: 2		septic installed: 20			e (gals.): 2-1000
How long has selle		·	umber of res		
Number of bedroor	ns? 4	Are all floors d		avity? Y	Y
Garbage disposal?	(1 1		lpool bath?		
More than one syst			1		0
Does this property					
, c	1 1 2				cted to this system?
Are there any addit	ional systems on t	this property servi	ng otner bul	idings?	
Location of septic s			1		
Location of water v					deep well? Y
		, septic tank overf			ots, sewage back-ups, e any repairs been made
When was the syste			ame of pump	per: Pin	ky's Sewer Service
How often pumped	in previous years	3?	Is system	on a m	onitoring plan?
Have you received				g this s	ystem?
Is your property loo					
Do you have any ac	ditional informat	ion that should be	given to the	e new o	wner?
considered "non-complia local government unit w	ant/failing" per MPCa ithin 15 days of the cultimately responsible	A rules, that the inspectate of inspection core for payment of all f	ector must by langletion. I als	aw subm o agree t	nderstand that if the system is it a copy of this report to the hat unless otherwise noted in med relative to this inspection

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



FROM : Panasonic FAX SYSTEM



Tradewell Soil Testing 18330 Dahlia Street NW Cedar, MN 55011 Date: September 10th, 2015 Name: Ameris Custom Homes Address: Lot 7 Block 1 Trading Post Trail Preserve, Afton SOIL BORING TEST REPORT Boring #4 0"- 10" Topsoil Loam 10YR 3/2 Loam 10YR 3/2 10"- 24" Loam 10YR 3/2 Loam 10YR 3/2 Loam Very Fine Sandy Loam Medium- Fine Loamy Sandy Loam & Rock 2.5Y 4/4 5/4
18"- 30"
Fine Sandy Loam
10YR 4/6
30"- 66"
Medium- Fine Loamy 7.5YR 3/4 24"-50" Medium Loamy Sand 7.5YR 4/6 4/4 50"-74" Loamy Sand 10YR 4/4 4/6 Sand 7.5YR 4/4 4/6 42"- 68" Loamy Sand 7.5YR 4/6 68"- 72" 10YR 3/4 20"- 56" Loamy Sand 7.5YR 4/4 4/6 56"- 64" Medium Sand 7.5YP 5/6 Loamy Fine Sand Sand 7.5YR 4/4 4/6 7.5YR 5/6 7.5YR 5/6 **Hit Large Rock **Hit Large Rock Faint Mottles @ 70° Dry Hole No Mottles @ 64" Dry Hole No Mottles @ 66* Faint Mottles @ 68° Dry Hole Dry Hole 4- Bedroom, Type 1 Home (600 GPD Flow) Perc Rate = 6-15 MPI .78 Soil Loadin .78 Soil Loading Rate 2- 1000 gallon septic tanks 1000 gallon jump tank
1700 square feet of drainfield with 12" of rock below the pipe
43 cubic yards of 61 ton of clean rock
Maintain 36" between mottled soil and bottom of trenches in area of borings Mark Tradewell MPCA #307

PHONE NO. : 612 753 6222

Sep. 18 2015 05:26PM P3

	ONIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA		STP	OSTP Soil Observation Log	bserva	tion	Log	Project ID:			1
	Clent/ Address:		52	5269 Osgood AVE S	VE S		Legal De	Legal Description / GPS:		33.028.20.11.0008	11.0008
	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	heck all than	t apply)	Outwa	□ Outwash □ Lacustrine		☐ Loess ☐	Till Alluvium	vium V Bedrock		Organic Matter
0	Landscape Position: (check one)] Summi	t 🗌 Shoulder	r 🗹 Back/Si	ide Slope	☐ Summit ☐ Shoulder ☑ Back/Side Slope ☐ Foot Slope		☐ Toe Slope Slope shape		II
Vegetation		Wooded		Soil survey	Soil survey map units	411B-Wau	411B-Waukegan Silt Loam	%adolS		Elevation:	006
· ć	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	of Day:			W	AM/ Sunny			Date		10/13/15
.0	Observation #/Location:			County Verifi	County Verification Observation	vation		Obs	Observation Type:	✓ Auger	□ Probe □ Pit
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag.		Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	(shorts)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Charco	Structure	re
1	Loam		10	10YR3/2					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
1	Loam		10	10YR4/4					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
1	Loamy Sand		10	10YR4/6					Granular	Weak	Loose
	Sand		7.3	7.5YR4/6					Granular	Weak	Loose
- is	Comments 44°52'32.3111" 92° 48'20.645"	92°48'20.64	.5								
eri.	tify that I have o	completed ti	nis work ir	accordance	with all applic	able ordin	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. Chris LeClair	d laws.	C6836		10/13/2015
S	(Country Incorporators)	1			(Class				***************************************		17.0

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.