## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 19690 Maxwill Ave N, Marine On St Croix, MN 55047

#### REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of a precast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Smilie's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 19, 2022. This house is presently vacant.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. In addition, the lift pump electrical is below grade and should be brought above grade to reduce the potential for problems. Also, it should be noted that the lift pump is not equipped with an alarm. An alarm should be installed as soon as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a pump malfunction.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After the Brian Humpal

Christopher

Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 19690 Maxwill Ave N, Marine On St Croix, N	
Owner/representative: Bill Myrick	Owner's phone: 651-216-4996
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift ta	
	,
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/19/2022	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwater
$\hfill \square$ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prof	ect groundwater
$\hfill \square$ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and propegrade and should be brought above grade to reduce the potenti	eptic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this er maintenance. In addition, the lift pump electrical is below ial for problems. Also, it should be noted that the lift pump is not as possible to notify the occupants of the house in the event of a
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
<b>By typing my name below</b> , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (April 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs</li><li>☑ System/As-Built</li><li>☑ Locally rec</li><li>☑ Other information (list):</li><li>Report Summary, Property Information</li></ul>	quired forms   Tank Integrity Assessment   Operating Permit tion, Disclaimer

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	onent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
Soil boring over rockbed at the time of	or the mopection make	ted 110 black/gray solls.	
<b>ank integrity –</b> Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nnk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		on:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Smilie's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Smilie's S Service ness: <u>L2428</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Smilie's S Service ness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/19/202</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/202: tach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/202: tach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/2023 tach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines business bus	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/2023 tach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Ates the system fer.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/2023 tach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Attest the system fer. ☐ Tesults:	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines business bus	Smilie's S Service ness: L2428 5/19/2022 tach) hin three years ssment complie

Pro	operty Address: 19690 Maxwill Ave N, Marine On St Croix, MN 55047	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 5/19/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛮 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	

Soil separation – Compliance cor	<u> </u>	. •		
Date of installation 1987 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	ng documentation:	
beverage loughing:		<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report</li><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation</li></ul>		
Compliance criteria (select one):				
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	ea)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records	5.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if al Ordinance.	lowed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				


**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

# <u>Midwest & ewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Cor	npliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 5/18/2022 & 5/19/2022	Time: 2:30 PM
Property Address: 19690 Maxwill Ave N, Marine On St Croix, MN	Zip: 55047
Property Owner: Bill Myrick	Phone: 651-216-4996
☐ Other: ☐ Block ☐ Mound ☐ Other ☐ At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes   No *If no, performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	should be made accessible to system.
	nk size (gals.):
How long has seller owned the property?  Number of resident to the property of	
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by grav	ıty?
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the seption	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings of Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings.	·
Location of septic system on lot? West Side	
	ell a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or to the system?  If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 5/19/2022 Name of pumper	r: Smilie's Sewer Service
How often pumped in previous years?	a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning to	this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the ne	ew owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also a this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work p by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	submit a copy of this report to the agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



## **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 19690 Maxwill Ave N, Marine On St Croix, MN 55047							
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser				Date:	5/18/2022	
Classific	ation System:	USDA					
Soil Observation: ST-1				Soil O	bservation:	ST-2	
Surface Elevation of Observation		top of mound on nal contour		face tion of vation			
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	<u>Encountered</u>	
0-6 6-12	7.5YF 10YR 4/4 Find 7.5YR 5/8 8 Due To How Mo	e Sandy Loam With 2 5/8 Redox 3 Sandy Loam With 3 10YR 6/2 Redox  und Was Constructed te Original Contour	0-3 3-12 12-17		Soils Encountered  10YR 2/2 Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox		
O" Donth To End Of Soil Observation Or Reday 12" Donth To End Of Soil Observation Or E						Observation Or Podey	
	0" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox 12" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or +76" Elevation Of Observation below top of mound +24" Amount Of Sand Below Rock Bed						
		•		Amount Of Sand Below Rock Bed			
-28" Depth = 48" Of Sepa	To Bottom Of Dis	stribution Media	=36"	Of Sepe	eration		
_+6  OI 3ep	aracion						
End Of Soil Observation At: 12" End Of Soil Observation At: 17"						17"	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 0"				g Soil C	onditions At:	12"	
	ater Present At:	None		_	r Present At:	None	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches				
Signature:	Offer Ula			

# LOG OF SOLL OF BORINGS

BOR	ING NO.	BOR	ING NO Z	BORI	NG NO. 3	VG NO.	
DEPTM IN PERT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION
0		0	BrOWN JANOY	0	DATE GROWN	0	
	DANKBrowd		200-		5A404 com		
							·
5		3		4.			
	LT. YEllow-		REDB-OWA		B-0W4-		
	Brown Sand		Emay		LT. TAH.		
	LOOM		610 M		KINE SAMOY		
					conn		
							·
18			RED Brown	.0"			
	RED BIOWN		(TI)	19"	LT. Brown		·
	Savey som		(Irouserafii)		-14 - 15 WC		
	(1010)		(MOTTLES)		SAMON COM		
			(moist)				
					(21) (1/25)		1
24"					<u> </u>		
	KBO Brown	Q4"					
	sample only	: 	RED KILL	3,			
	(41010)		(rin)		RED Browns		
	(DIRETIC)		(00 11 12000)		cmy-		
			120cks		(1117)		
31"			(VERY		(compressed)		
	RED FINE			***	WERY		
	5 Musy C 14-1		(p-y)		(DAKE)		
	(Compassero)				(8-4)		
	(COMPRESCEO)						
	(UERY)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Í
	HAKO						
7.0		7-2-	OBSTRUCTER	6.10	OBSTRUCTIF-		

7.0

7-2

6.10.

## **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.