

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Loc	Local regulatory authority: Washington County			
Property address: 11655 Layton Ave S				
Owner/representative: John Iverson	Owner's phone:			
Brief system description: 1000 gal septic & 1000 gal pump and an	ı elevated trench system			
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/10/2022				
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months or receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	•)			
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminer	nt threat to public health and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect	groundwater			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Ir.	nminent threat to public health and safety			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – F	ailing to protect groundwater			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Com	pliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater			
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect	t groundwater			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance co Comments or recommendations	omponent #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies			
- Commence of Foodinine Indutions				
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be maduse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	ade due to unknown conditions during quotam assetutions as			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information			
Business name: Sewer Services Inc	Certification number: C1659			
Inspector signature:	License number: 2502			
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 952-873-3292			
Necessary or locally required supporting docu				
Soil observation logs☐ Other information (list):☐ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit			

1. Impact on public health — Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface

System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.

System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.

Attached supporting documentation:

Other:

No hot applicable

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

Searched for surface outlet or ground discharge

Checked for back-up

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes ^a ⊠ No	□ Pumped at time of insp Name of maintenance I		Sewer Services		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	License number of maintenance business: 2502 Date of maintenance: 5/10/2022		s: 2502		
		☐ Existing tank integrity a	ssessment (Attach	1)		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)				
				Other:		
		Describe verification methods and results:				

Pumped & Inspected

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5			
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	ecured?		
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	Inspected			
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable			
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or	f 5 🗵 Not applicable		
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:			
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No			
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	bescribe verification methods and results:			
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)			
		en gert for an enterferance states a state following and the second states as a second character of a second side of the second states and the second states as a second state of the second states as a second state		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 6/1/1997 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage loughig:		$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report (Attach)	
Compliance criteria (select one):	Port	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	separation (Attach)		
and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)	
beverage or lodging establishment:			and the second s	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
		A. Bottom of distribution media	40"	
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	80"+	
		C. System separation	40"+	
		D. Required compliance separation*	36"	
outdiated 30% of Bedfock.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Ordinance.		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	system is			
Describe verification methods and results:				
Boring				
1-18" 10yr 3/2 Sandy Loam				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

19-80" 10yr 4/4 sand

No Redox