Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 14565 109th St Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. Marko Septic pumped the septic tank on May 23, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic and lift tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 14565 109 th St Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN 550	082		
Owner/representative: Alan & Penny Barnes	Owner's phone: 651-206-0936		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast I	ift tank, and a mound.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/23/2022			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ile)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health and safety		
Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co			
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance			
Comments or recommendations	component #4) = Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the s	entic and lift tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend		
extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier			
Contification			
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Much	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
	quired forms		
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information			
	· 		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	ion:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health as			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentati	ion:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentat	ion:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2		ion:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ✓ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	<u>Marko S</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	<u>Marko S</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Marko S iness: <u>L3845</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance:	<u>Marko S</u> iness: <u>L3845</u> <u>5/23/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	<u>Marko S</u> iness: <u>L3845</u> <u>5/23/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A)	<u>Marko S</u> iness: <u>L3845</u> <u>5/23/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation	<u>Marko S</u> iness: <u>L3845</u> <u>5/23/202</u> \ttach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure asset)	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation	Marko S iness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business business: Existing tank integrity assessment (A business business) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well assessed for minimal integrity business) (See form instructions to ensure assess business business) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure asset)	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business business: Existing tank integrity assessment (A business business) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well assessed for minimal integrity business) (See form instructions to ensure assess business business) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No cates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form the following form to t	Marko Siness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No cates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form the following form to t	Marko S iness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	□ Yes* ☑ No □ Yes* ☑ No □ Yes* ☑ No eates the system ter. d results:	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form the following form to t	Marko S iness: L3845 5/23/202 Attach) within three year essment completeessary – explai

usings Name: Midwest Sower Sorvings	Doto: 5/22/2022
Isiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/23/2022</u>
Other conditions and things Compliance comment #2 of f	_
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.	.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health	'h or satety'? ∐ Yes* ⊠ No ∐ Unkno
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspect	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe vernication methods and results.	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	nt #4 of 5 🛭 Not applicable
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componer	nt #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable □ No If "yes", A below is requir
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componer	□ No If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit?	□ No If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be contained.	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Solution of the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be conceptionally compliance criteria:	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be conceptionable criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concentrated. a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be conceptionable criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir☐ No If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requi

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page~3~of~4

	ss Name: <u>Midwest</u>	Sewer Services - Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o		5/23/2022	
	te of installation	2004 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr				
	oreland/Wellhead	protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	n:	
bev	beverage lodging?				oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Со	mpliance criteri	a (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
		rior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment a	rea)	
	not located in Shor Protection Area or beverage or lodging	not serving a food,			⊠ Reviewed design and permit recor	ds.	
	Drainfield has at lesseparation distance saturated soil or be						
5b.	5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		⊠ Yes □	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
					A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
		lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	:	
	Drainfield has a thr				C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				D. Required compliance separation*			
					*May be reduced up to 15 percent if ordinance.	allowed by Local	
	systems built unde Type IV or V system Rules 7080. 2350 c (Intermediate Inspe 2,500 gallons per d	ns built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No*			
	Drainfield meets th separation distance saturated soil or be	e designed vertical e from periodically					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.							
Date of Inspection:	May 23, 2022		Time: 10:45 AM				
Property Address:	14565 109 th St Ct N, S	tillwater Twp, MN	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner:	Alan & Penny Barnes		Phone: 651-206-0936				
Tank(s) Septic 2 Aerobic Lift Holding Other:	Tank(s)Material ☐Fiberglass ☐Plastic ☐Metal ☐Concrete ☐Block ☐Other ☐At	Soil Treatment System Rock trench Gravelless trench Chamber trench Seepage bed Mound -grade	Alternative system				
performed through		Maintenance hole	*If no, proper maintenance must be covers should be made accessible to e of the system.				
Year house built: 2		installed: 2004	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000				
	r owned the property?		of residents in home?				
Number of bedroor	ns? 4 Are	e all floors drained					
Garbage disposal?	(1 1 4)0	Whirlpool b	ath?				
More than one syst		tilas aannaatad ta th	a contia gratam?				
Does this property	have any footing drain	tiles connected to tr	ie septic system?				
Are any buildings of	on this property such as	garages or out-buil	dings connected to this system?				
Are there any addit	ional systems on this pr	roperty serving othe	er buildings?				
Location of septic s	system on lot? Southwe	st Side					
Location of water v	vell on lot? East Side	I	s the well a deep well? Y				
			h as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,				
to the system?	If yes, explain:		etc.; or have any repairs been made				
	em last pumped? 5/23/2		pumper: Marko Septic				
How often pumped			stem on a monitoring plan?				
	notices from any gover		erning this system?				
	cated in a shoreland man						
Do you have any ac	dditional information th	at should be given t	to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing							

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 14565 109th St Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082 Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 5/23/202 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Observation Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Toylor Population 10YR 2/2 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Clay Loam With Grey Silt Coatings	
Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches O-13 13-21 Surface Elevation of Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches Inch	
Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches O-13 13-21 Surface Elevation of Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Inches Toyra 2/2 Loam Toyra 2/2 Loam Toyra 3/4 Clay Loam With Grey Silt Coatings	
Elevation of Observation Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % 10YR 2/2 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Clay Loam With Grey Silt Coatings Soils Encountered Elevation of Observation Elevation of Observation Soils Encountered Soils Encounter	
Solis Encountered Soli	
13-21 7.5YR 3/4 Clay Loam With Grey Silt Coatings	
7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Clay Loam With 10YR 7/2 Redox	
21" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or	Redox
+54" Elevation Of Observation Below Top Of Mound Elevation Of Observation Relative To	System
-25" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Med	lia
=50" Of Separation Of Separation	
End Of Soil Observation At: 25" End Of Soil Observation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 21" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 25 Inches					
Signature:	Color Ole				

DATE

BORING LOG

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-31/2" HAND AUGER

						JIZ HAND HUGER
DEPTH FEET	HOLE #37	HOLE #8	HOLE #:7	HOLE #0	HOLE #	CLASSIFICATION
	- TOP SOIL - SANDY LOAM -	TOP SOIL	TOP SOIL	TOP SOIL -		70 P 501L- BROWN LOAM 7.54R 4/4
1 -	MEDIUM SAND	SANDY LOAM	YELLOW(SH BROW)	YELLOWISH BROWN SANDY LOAM	 · 	JELLOWISH BROWN LOAM 104R 5/8
2 -	WITH LOAM	REDDISH BROWN,	MOTTLED SOIL REDDISH BROWN, SANDY LORM	READISH BROWN,	·	REDDISH BROWN LOAM 2.57R = 34
3 +	- -		MOTILED SOIL	MOTTRED SOIL	- - -	LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN SAND
4	STOP	-				GRAY LOAM 2.57 61
5 —	OKAY 4'	5TOP	STOP	STOP	 	JELLOWISH BROWN SAND
6 +		MORIE 26"		Mome 25"	- - -	10YR 5/8
7 +	- -				- - -	<u></u>
8 +	+				- - · -	† - -
9 +			 		- - -	
• =	+		_ ·	- +	-	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.