

## Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 14.030.20.22.0005	Reason for Inspectionproperty sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	
roperty address: 9997 Arcola Ct N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	2
Owner/representative: Doug & Linda Bren	Owner's phone: 651-303-6206
rief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank and a 1000 ga	allon pump tank lifting to a gravity rock trench drainfield.
ystem status	
system status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/13/2022	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an mminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.  7. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receip of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
nuarantee future performance.  Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all application)	hla)
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	
	nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
_ , , , , , , ,	•
_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater
<ul><li>☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080</li><li>☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failit</li></ul>	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ng to protect groundwater
<ul><li>☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080</li><li>☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failit</li></ul>	.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
<ul> <li>☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080</li> <li>☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin</li> <li>☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component)</li> </ul>	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations  Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co  Comments or recommendations	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwatering to protect groundwater empliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records  hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  d to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records  hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, are and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records  Pertification  Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true.	2.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be constructed in the compliance of the system.
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records the design of the permit of the purpose of processing this form.  Susiness name: All State Septic Services LLC inspectors in a spector signature:	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be constructed.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records the design of the permit of the purpose of processing this form.  Susiness name: All State Septic Services LLC	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be constructed.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations) Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be truested for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: All State Septic Services LLC inspector signature: Tom Troolen (This document has been electronically signature).	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, are and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered in the compliance of the system.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568  Phone: 612-594-449
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records the design of the permit of the per	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered in the compliance of the system.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568  igned)  Phone: 612-594-449  ocumentation (must be attached)
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records and inspection records are system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be truested for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: All State Septic Services LLC inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically signature)  Necessary or locally required supporting decessing observation logs System/As-Built Locally	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered in the compliance of the system.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568  Igned)  Phone: 612-594-449  Ocumentation (must be attached)
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080  Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed the design, permit, soil and inspection records the design of the permit of the per	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies is on file at Washington County.  If to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, we and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered in the compliance of the system.  Certification number: 323  License number: 1568  igned)  Phone: 612-594-449  ocumentation (must be attached)

ness Name: All State Septic Services LL	С	Date: 6/13/2022
mpact on public health — Co	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes <sup>*</sup> ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes¹ ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
ank integrity compliance	component nz	0. 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:	□ Voc. ⊠ No	
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes' ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit,		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes' ☒ No	☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their		<ul> <li>☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>☑ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?		<ul> <li>☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>Date of maintenance</li> <li>6/3/2022</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes' ☒ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>Date of maintenance</li> <li>6/3/2022</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes' ☒ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>Date of maintenance:</li> <li>☑ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes' ☒ No  eates the system fer.	<ul> <li>□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector</li> <li>Name of maintenance business:</li> <li>License number of maintenance business:</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance:</li> <li>□ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)</li> <li>□ Date of maintenance 6/3/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)</li> <li>(See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))</li> <li>□ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain</li> </ul>

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 6/13/2022
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	secured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknom
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
DARM Constitution and #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes ☑ No  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes ☑ No	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes ☒ No  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes ☒ No  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes ☑ No  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes ☑ No  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes ☒ No  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes ☒ No  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete  Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi If "yes", B below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requi

Property Address: 9997 Arcola Ct N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

usiness Name: All State Septic Service	s LLC	Date: 0	6/13/2022
Soil separation – Complia	nce component #5 c	of 5	
Date of installation 11/28/1978 (mm/dd/yyyy)	☐ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Foo beverage lodging?	od ☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the support of the su	ne report
Compliance criteria (select one	):	☐ Two previous verifications of required	•
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1		☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhe Protection Area or not serving a fo beverage or lodging establishmen	ood,		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot v separation distance from periodica saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b.Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in S	Shoreland	A. Bottom of distribution media	2.6
or Wellhead Protection Areas or s food, beverage, or lodging establi	erving a	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	4.8
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	2.2
separation distance from periodica	1	D. Required compliance separation*	2.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perfor systems built under pre-2008 Rule Type IV or V systems built under I Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License re 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced License required > 2,500 gallons	es; 2008 equired ≤ Inspector		
Drainfield meets the designed ver separation distance from periodical saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

 <b>4</b>			
2 8 6	 ui J	124 455	Y- 45

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

ent:		Po	Doug & Linda Bren		Location	Location / Address:	9997 Arcola CT	ιςτ Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	p, MN 55082
il parent ma	il parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that .	apply)	Outwash Tacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	Bedrock	Organic Matter	er .
ndscape Pos	ndscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation-relative to benchmark:	n-relative to benchmark:
egetation:				Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	tion:
eather Cond	eather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:					Date	06/13/22	/22
Observatio	Observation #/Location:		8-1			Observ	Observation Type:	Auger	jr
(ai) daoc	Tovture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		ture	
Jeptn (ini)	i extal e	Frag. %	Mac 1 (3)	╢			Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-18	meol vibras		10YR 3/2						
2	and your								
(	_		7.5YR 4/3						
18-38	loamy sand								
	med/coarse		7.5YR 4/4						
38-60	sand								
								•••••	
•									
								•	
		-							
Comments									
hereby cert	ify that I have	completed	this work in accor	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	le ordinances, rules	and laws.			
	þ			Tom Troolen			1568		6/13/22

		•		
1	à	53 G	FB 888 83	60000

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

ient:		ŏ	Doug & Linda Bren	ç	Locat	Location / Address:	9997 Arco	9997 Arcola CTN Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	r Twp, MN 55082
il parent ma	il parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)	Outwash Tacustrine	ne Dess Till	II 🔲 Alluvium	n 🔲 Bedrock	c Organic Matter	Matter
ndscape Po	ndscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation L	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
/egetation:				Soil survey map units:	:5			Limiting Layer Elevation:	=:levation:
eather Cond	eather Conditions/Time of Day:	)ay:					Date	90	06/13/22
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B	B-2			Obse	Observation Type:		Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	(s) Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	 Shape	Structure	l Consistence
0-20	sandy loam		10YR 3/2						
			10YR 4/3						
20-41	loamy sand								
	med/coarse		7.5YR 4/4					************	
41- 58	sand								
		***********							
		*****							
								•••••	
Comments									
hereby certi	fy that I have c	ompleted	this work in acc	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	able ordinances, rule	s and laws.			
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien	ien		1568	1	6/13/22
(De	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)	(е)		(License #)		(Date)

\$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PROCERM

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:		2	Doug & Linda Bren		Locati	Location / Address:	9997 Arco	ola 👉 🕇 I Stollwat	9997 Arcola 👉 I Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	
soil parent ma	soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	rk all that	apply)	Outwash   Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	Bedrock	LJ	Organic Matter	
andscape Pos	_andscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevatic	Elevation-relative to benchmark:	
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	· Elevation:	
Weather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:					Date	_	06/13/22	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B	B-3			Observ	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s) —	I Shape	Structure   Grade	Consistence	
			10YR 3/2							
0-16	sandy toam									
10 /	3		10YR 4/4							· <u>-</u>
16-3/	toamy sand									
0.1	-	************	7.5YR 4/4							
3/-60	sand									
							•••••			
Comments										
I hereby certi	fy that I have c	completed	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all		applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	s and laws.				
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien		İ	1568		6/13/22	П
(De	(Designer/Inspector)	r)		(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)	7

9997 ARCOLA CT N STILLWATER TWP, MN 6/13/22 W + E

