# **ZIERKE SOIL TESTING**

Judy Rogers 3490 Kelvin Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042

June 10th, 2022

Dear Judy Rogers,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a lack of vertical separation between the bottom of your drain field and indicators of seasonally wet soil (redoximorphic features). You have also elected not to pump and inspect your tank, which means the tank also fails compliance and must be replaced. Therefore, this system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to Washington County. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

> ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

### Property information

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1402921320012	Reason for Inspection	Homeowner Request
Local regulatory authority info: <u>Washington County</u>		
Property address: <u>3490 Kelvin Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042</u>		
Owner/representative: Judy Rogers		_ Owner's phone: <u>651-383-7349</u>
Brief system description: Septic tank with gravity rock trench drain	field. Graywater drainfield	with gravelless pipe in back yard.

### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/10/2022

#### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

Septic system has backed up in the past - presently the system is functioning normally hydrallically. There is a large dead patch of grass in the drainfield area where homeowner reports wet conditions in the past, which also is an indicator of system failure. Graywater drainfield in the back yard consists of two 50' gravelless pipe runs - this must be disconnected and all sources of sewage must go into the septic system.

### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature:	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-249-1346

### Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs	System/As-Built	Locally required forms	Tank Integrity Assessment	Operating Permit
Other information (list):				

### **1.** Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		_ Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ⊠ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain _tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•		

#### Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above observed during site visit 6/8/2022. System is likely nearing the end of its usable life.

### 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes*  ⊠ No	☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☐ No	License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
		🛛 Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)
		☐ Other:

#### Describe verification methods and results:

Homeowner has elected to abandon the existing tank and install new system. Supply line from house to tank is cast iron and must be replaced.

### 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a.	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?					
	□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown					
3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	🗌 Yes*	🖾 No 🔲 Unknown			
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*	🖾 No			
3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	□ Yes*	🖾 No			
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.					
	Describe verification methods and results:					

Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable

### **4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP\*** – Compliance component #4 of 5 🛛 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			

☐ Yes ☐ No

#### If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

#### Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)


### **5.** Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	(mm/dd/yyyy)	🛛 Unkn	iown			
Shoreland/Wellhead beverage lodging? Compliance criteri		☐ Yes	🛛 No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the report Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
not located in Sho	prior to April 1, 1996, and reland or Wellhead not serving a food, g establishment:	☐ Yes	🗌 No*	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
Drainfield has at le separation distanc saturated soil or be						
or Wellhead Prote	ter or for non- ms located in Shoreland ction Areas or serving a lodging establishment: ree-foot vertical e from periodically	☐ Yes	⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations         A. Bottom of distribution media         B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock         C. System separation         D. Required compliance separation*         *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	96.5' 96.1' -0.4' 3.0' bwed by Local	
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2,500 gallons per o License required >	ms built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector • 2,500 gallons per day) ne designed vertical e from periodically	☐ Yes	□ No*			

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



### Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 3490 Kelvin Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Borings Made by Ben Zierke Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Comments:

6/8/2022

Date:

Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 1 Boring Number 2** Inches Inches 0-----0-----0-11" 10YR 2/2 fine sandy loam 11-24" 7.5YR 4/4 sandy loam 24-42" 7.5YR 5/4 loamy sand, 15% rock 42-48" 7.5YR 4/4 loamy sand, 7.5YR 5/8 iron stains End of boring at End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole х Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: 3.5 feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments: Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 3 Boring Number 4** Inches Inches 0-----0--End of boring at End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Comments: