Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: June 29, 2022 **Time:** 11:30 AM **Owner:** Sharon Christopherson

Inspection Address: 12558 Irish Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on June 29, 2022.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | Local tracking | number: | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | Reason for Inspection | Property Transfer | | |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County | | | | |
| Property address: 12558 Irish Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038 | | | | |
| Owner/representative: Sharon Christopherson / Bob Christo | opherson - Son | Owner's phone: 763-486-8404 | | |
| Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a roo | ck trench drainfield. | | | |
| | | | | |
| System status | | | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/29/2022 | | | | |
| ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | | ce of noncompliance | | |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and | use discontinued within the t | Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. | | |
| abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered o a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) | An imminent threat to public | health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt | | |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | | rter period if required by local ordinance or | | |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applic | cable) | | | |
| ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Im | • | and safetv | | |
| ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to pr | · | | | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 | <u> </u> | ealth and safety | | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 | | | | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 | | | | |
| Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to p | | aming to protect grown and a | | |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian | | liant - local ordinance applies | | |
| Comments or recommendations | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | manne approc | | |
| Comments of recommendations | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Certification | | | | |
| | | tota e Chica e de la Na determination de | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unk inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | | | | |
| By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be tused for the purpose of processing this form. | true and correct, to the best of my | knowledge, and that this information can be | | |
| Business name: Midwest Sewer Services | | Certification number: 5342/9852 | | |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After | VI_ | License number: L2896 | | |
| (This document has been electronically | signed) | Phone: 651-492-7550 | | |
| Necessary or locally required supporting of | documentation (must b | | | |
| Soil observation logs | required forms | rity Assessment | | |
| ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information | mation, Disclaimer | - | | |
| | | | | |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation | n: |
|---|----------------------|--|---|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | , | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health and Describe verification methods and None of the above found. | nd safety. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| ank integrity – Compliance | component #2 | | |
| Compliance criteria: | • | Attached supporting documentation | on: |
| | component #2 | | o n: Pinky's Se Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | • | Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | Pinky's Se Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | _ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | Pinky's Se Service less: <u>L1673</u> |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | _ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin | Pinky's Se <u>Service</u> less: <u>L1673</u> <u>6/29/2022</u> |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | _ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Pinky's Se Service less: L1673 6/29/2022 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with | Pinky's Se Service less: L1673 6/29/2022 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates. | Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Pinky's Se Service less: L1673 6/29/2022 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance) (must be with the minus of the | Pinky's Se Service less: L1673 6/29/2022 tach) hin three years |

| Property Address: 12558 Irish Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038 | Data: 6/20/2022 |
|--|---|
| usiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services | Date: <u>6/29/2022</u> |
| Other counting of the counting | |
| . Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or | r unsecured? |
| ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown | |
| 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or | safety? Yes* No Unknow |
| *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. | |
| 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspecto | |
| 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No |
| *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □ | |
| Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □ | |
| | #4 of 5 🛭 Not applicable |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component | #4 of 5 🛭 Not applicable No If "yes", A below is require |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component and Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compared. | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compliance criteria: | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", B below is require |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | No If "yes", A below is requir |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • Page~3~of~4

| operty Address: 12558 Irish Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038 usiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services | | Date: <u>6/29/2022</u> | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Soil separation – Compliance of Date of Installation 2007 | component #5 o | ıf 5 | | |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? | ☐ Yes ⊠No | Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the supportion of the support of the | ne report | |
| Compliance criteria (select one): | | ☐ Two previous verifications of required | • | |
| 5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, a not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | and ☐ Yes ☐ No* | | a) | |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | |
| 5b. Non-performance systems built | ☐ Yes ⊠ No* | Indicate depths or elevations | | |
| April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shorela or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) | |
| food, beverage, or lodging establishmen | t: | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically | | C. System separation | | |
| saturated soil or bedrock.* | | D. Required compliance separation* | | |
| | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance. | owed by Local | |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day | d ≤ ttor | | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | |

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

| This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection. | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------|---|--|
| Date of Inspection: J | une 29, 2022 | | Tin | ne: 11:30 AM | |
| Property Address: 1 | 2558 Irish Ave N, Hug | go, MN | Zip | : 55038 | |
| Property Owner: S | Sharon Christopherson | | Pho | one: | |
| Tank(s) Septic 2 Aerobic Lift Holding Other: | Tank(s)Material Fiberglass Plastic Metal Concrete Block Other At-9 | _ | | Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system. | | | | | |
| Year house built: 197 | 1 | nstalled: 2007 | | size (gals.): 2-1000 | |
| How long has seller | | | | its in home? | |
| Number of bedrooms | 8? 5 Are | all floors drained l | | <i>y</i> ? | |
| Garbage disposal? More than one system | n (laundry etc.)? | Whirlpool b | atn? | | |
| | ave any footing drain ti | les connected to th | ne sentic s | system? | |
| Does this property he | ive any rooting train to | ies connected to th | ie septie i | , y 5 t | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? | | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? | | | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? West Side | | | | | |
| Location of water we | | | | l a deep well? Y | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, | | | | | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain: | | | | | |
| | n last pumped? 6/29/20 | | | Pinky's Sewer Service | |
| How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan? | | | | | |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? | | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? | | | | | |
| Do you have any add | litional information tha | it should be given t | to the nev | v owner? | |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing | | | | | |

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

| | Lasaki | an of Dunington | 12FF0 Tuick Avec N | I I | MAN EEO | 20 | |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | | 12558 Irish Ave N, | | <u> </u> | | 6/20/2022 |
| | | | Midwest Sewer Ser | Services | | Date: | 6/29/2022 |
| | | ation System: | | | | | |
| | Soil Observation: ST-1 | | | | bservation: | | |
| Elevat | Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench | | | face tion of vation | | | |
| Depth In Inches | Rock % | Soils Encountered | | Depth In Inches | Rock % | <u>Soils</u> | Encountered |
| 0-6 6-18 18-30 30-40 40-46 46-70 | ≈15 | 7.5YR 4/4 10YR 3/4 10YR 3/4 M 7.5YR 4/4 Loar 7.5YR 10YR 3/4 Fine 7 7.5YR 5/8 & F 7.5YR 4/4 Me | 2 Medium Sand 4 Medium Sand 4 Medium Sand bedium Sand With my Sand Layers With 8 5/8 Redox To Medium Sand With Few 10YR 7/2 Redox bedium Coarse Sand th Gravel | | | | |
| 30" | Depth 1 | o End Of Soil O | bservation Or Redox | | Depth T | o End Of Soil | Observation Or Redox |
| | | ation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To | | | , | | |
| -38" | | | stribution Media | | | | Distribution Media |
| =0" | Of Sepa | aration | | | Of Sepa | iration | |
| Fnd | Of Soil (| Observation At: | 70" | Fnd Of | Soil Oh | servation At: | |
| | | Conditions At: | 30" | | | onditions At: | |
| | | | None | | | | |
| Star | Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: | | | | | | |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 38 Inches | | |
|---|-----------|--|
| | | |
| Signature: | Offer the | |

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.