ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Carolle Tatarek 9760 Julep Trail N Scandia, MN 55073

6/28/2022

Dear Carolle Tatarek,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1203221130007	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 9760 Julep Trl N Scandia, MN 55073	
Owner/representative: Carollle Tatarek	Owner's phone: 651-600-6580
Brief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon s System status	septic tank, 1000 gallon lift tank, mound dispersal system.
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/28/2022	
	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	– Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<u> </u>	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	, ,
Previously passed inspection in 2013 and 2015.	
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of vn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature:	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logsSystem/As-Built□ Locally re□ Other information (list):	equired forms

			28/2022
pact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
ystem discharges sewage to the round surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
ystem discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
ny "yes" answer above indicates nminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
ık integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, besspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	Hassle Free
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Hassle Free
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance)	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022 n)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, sesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indications and the second serior of the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications and the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications are	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance)	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022 h) three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022 n) three years) nent complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, sesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indications and the second serior of the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications and the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications are	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022 n) three years) nent complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, sesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indications and the second serior of the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications and the sewage tank indications are serior of the sewage tank indications are	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	Hassle Free s: 3287 6/27/2022 h) three years) nent complies w

Pı	roperty Address: 9760 Julep Trl N Scandia, MN 55073	
	usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 6/28/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	a	(5 - 5 - 1
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 800-657-3864

usiness Name: <u>Zierke S</u>	Soil Testing		Date: _	6/28/2022
Soil separation	– Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	of 5	
Date of installation	11/20/2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food		☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required v	
5a. For systems built pro not located in Shore Protection Area or i beverage or lodging	not serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
Drainfield has at lea separation distance saturated soil or be				
5b. Non-performance s		⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		A. Bottom of distribution media	101.6'	
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.5'	
		C. System separation	3.1'	
		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'	
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
2,500 gallons per d	r pre-2008 Rules; ns built under 2008	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or be	from periodically			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 9760 Julep Trl N Scandia, MN 55073 Borings Made by Ben Zierke 6/27/2022 Date: Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used. Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 1 Boring Number 2** Inches Inches 0-7" 10YR 2/1 loam 7-10" 10YR 3/2 loam 10-18" 10YR 4/3 loam 18-22" 10YR 4/3 clay loam, 7.5YR 5/6 concentrations and 5/1 depletions End of boring at End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: 1.5 feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments: Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 3 Boring Number 4** Inches Inches End of boring at End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: Hours after boring Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil:

Observed at

Comments:

Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Observed at

Comments:

Mottled soil not present in bore hole