Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Brian Humpal 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: July 11, 2022 **Time:** 2:00 PM Owner: Lori Dunkin

Inspection Address: 8585 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Woodbury. This very old system (installed in 1981) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	ı number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 8585 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129			
Owner/representative: Lori Dunkin		Owner's phone: 651-485-7726	
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.	<u> </u>	
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/11/2022			
Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.	
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	and safetv	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	· ·		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	=	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	•	-	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C			
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to pro		5 , 5	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	=	oliant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations	. , ,	.,	
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Thompal After 1	<u></u>	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	oe attached)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	_ Net applicable
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
ink integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
ink integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· 	Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete.)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement of the complement
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement of the complement
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain

Property Address: 8585 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129	
Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>7/11/2022</u>
Other compliance conditions – Compliance comp	onent #3 of 5
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (dama	aged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely	impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public heal	th and safety.
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as of	letermined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☐ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Complian	nce component #4 of 5 🛮 Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system des	sign
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does n	
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Boombo vormoution motificuo una recuito.	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (∆ttach) □

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

operty Address: <u>8585 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 5</u>	5129			
siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date:	7/11/2022
Soil separation – Compliance com	npone	nt #5 of	5	
Date of installation 1981 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkn	iown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati ☑ Soil observation logs completed f	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of requ	ired vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment	area)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland	☐ Yes	□ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedro	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation	*
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent i Ordinance.	f allowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: July 11, 2022	Time: 2:00 PM			
Property Address: 8585 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129			
Property Owner: Lori Dunkin	Phone: 651-485-7726			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System ⊠Septic 1 □Fiberglass □Rock trench □Aerobic □Plastic □Gravelless trench □Lift □Metal □Chamber trench □Holding □Concrete □Seepage bed □Other: □Block □Mound □Other □At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If i	no proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t				
	Tank size (gals.): 1500			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 2 Are all floors drained by g				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	•			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ndings?			
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:				
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service			
	n on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I al this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in			

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 7/11/2022 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation of Observation Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered 107R 3/4 Silt Loam With 7.57R 5/8 & 107R 7/2 Redox 15" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation of Observation Or Soil Observation Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Depth In Inches Depth In Inche		Locati	on of Project:	8585 Dale Rd, Woo	dburv,	MN 551	29	
Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation	Ol						7/11/2022	
Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 0-15 10 10 YR 2/2 Loamy Fine Sand 10 YR 3/4 Silt Loam With 7.5 YR 5/8 & 10 YR 7/2 Redox 15" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Observation Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Observation Or Redox Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered Inches Observation Or Redox Depth In Rock % Soils Encountered Inches Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox								, ,
Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % Depth Inches		Soi	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Inches Rock % Solls Encountered Solls Encoun	Elevat	tion of	_		Elevat	ion of		
15-36 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/2 Redox 15" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox		Rock %	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	<u>Encountered</u>
			10YR 3/4	Silt Loam With				
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System	15"	Depth 1	To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
,	Same	Elevatio			Elevation Of Observation Relative To Syste		tion Relative To System	
-43" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation Of Separation							Distribution Media	
=0" Of Separation Of Separation	=0	ioi sepa	11 a LIOI I			oi sepa	II a LI OI I	
End Of Soil Observation At: 36" End Of Soil Observation At:	End	Of Soil (Observation At:	36"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 15" Limiting Soil Conditions At:				15"	Limitin	g Soil C	onditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:				None				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 43 Inches		
Signature:	Offer the	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.