

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LCD) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or nancompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking n	umber:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 16.029.21.42.0002		property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County		
roperty address: 8603 34th St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042		
wner/representative: Andrea Galchutt, Keller Williams Realty		Owner's phone: 651-270-2113
rief system description: A septic tank and a pump tank lifting to	o a rock trench drainfield.	
System status		
ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):7/17/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an minent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and batement under section 145A.04. subdivision 8 is discovered or	use discontinued within the tim	ind water must be upgraded, replaced, or ne required by local ordinance. ealth and safety (ITPHS) must be
shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		discontinued within ten months of receipt
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not quarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorte under section 145A.04 subdivi	er period if required by local ordinance or ision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.		t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin		annuliant local ardinance applies
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	mphance component #4) – No	ncompilant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	. I	unt.
Reviewed permit & inspection records from the City of La	2000 2000 and 7100 and 9100 and	
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	own conditions during system con	nstruction, possible abuse of the system.
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be truused for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my k	
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC		Certification number: 323
nspector signature: Tom Trooien		License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sig		Phone: <u>612-594-449</u> 6
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation impacts	
Soil observation logs	required forms 🛛 Tank Integ	rity Assessment
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-38	64 • Use your preferred relay	service • Available in alternative format

System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an antiminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed.	System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an analyze in the above observed. Tank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	Attached supporting documentation:	
System causes sewage backup into convenience component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cosspool, dynell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an animation titreat republic health and safety. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an animation titreat republic health and safety. Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cosspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicases the system is an imminent threat re public health and sofoty. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation:		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an ambinent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an amminent threat to public hearth and safety Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:		
Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Compliance criteria:	Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Compliance component #2 of 5		
Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:	Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (mindd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Cher:		
Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within the difference of the minimal protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar other:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Ramp tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be completed by the completed provided by the complete	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/5/2022 (must be within the post of the system of the protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Describe verification methods and results: Other:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Ramp of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be completed by the complete of the completed protect groundwater.	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within the state of the system dinn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/15/2022 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be completed by the completed protect groundwater).	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/5/2022 (must be within the distribution to ensure assessment failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: (See form instructions to ensure assessment fails Noncompliant (pumping not necessare)		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ☒ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ☒ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 0 Total part of maintenance (T/5/2022) (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – explain b	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ☑ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ☑ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☑ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ 7/5/2022 (must be within the sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar □ Other:		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failurg to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ☑ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ☑ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☑ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ 7/5/2022 (must be within the sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar □ Other:		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar □ Other:		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Zexisting tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be compliant (pumping not necessary – expla	Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ⋈ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ⋈ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): √15/2022 (must be within the post of the system of tailing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar □ Other:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Yes No	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Yes No		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Yes No	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Yes No		
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:	Cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within the system direction to ensure assessment (See form instructions to ensure assessment (Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary Other:		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: X	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within the system Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other:		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance business: Date of maintenance Date of maintenance Date of maintenance Date of maintenance	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance:		
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance 7/5/2022		
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within the system failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within the system failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance: 7/5/2022 (must be within the system failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:		
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance		
Date of maintenance 7/5/2022 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comparison of the complex of the system of the comparison of the complex of	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (must be within the system of the		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to profect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other:		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other:		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessme Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other:	ree vears)	
Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other: Describe verification methods and results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar Other: Describe verification methods and results:	-	
Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be ☐ Other: ☐ Describe verification methods and results:	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results:	t complies	
Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:		
Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:	– explain be	
Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:		
The tanks were at normal operating level.	The tanks were at normal operating level.		
The talks were at normal operating level.	The tanks were at normal operating level.		

Property Address: 8603 34th St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	D-L-: 7/47/0000
Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 7/17/2022
Other compliance conditions Counting to the Co	
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ∐ Yes≐ ⊠ No ∐ Unknown
"Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 2a. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions on determined by increaser?	□ Vaa* ⊠ Na
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes゛⊠ No ☐ Yes゛⊠ No
"Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater	Li res 🔼 No
Describe verification methods and results:	
bescribe vermouter methods and results.	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentations in Not approach in	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 M Not applicable
4. Operating permit and introgen bivit	or of Mot applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☑ No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
Any 'no' answer indicates nancompliance.	
ve	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service	• Available in alternative formats
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021	Page 3 of 4

siness Name: All State Septic Services LLC			Date: 1	7/17/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 7/10/2001 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkn	iown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the ☐ Two previous verifications of required.	•
Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	□Yes	П №	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are:	•
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	☑ Yes	□No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	2.3
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.0
			C. System separation	2.7
			D. Required compliance separation*	2.6 15%reduce
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No [*]		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Reviewed permit & inspection records from the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued. notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Property address: EMBO ELC3 34 TH St City. LAKE ELMC s	E Parcel ID: tate MA Zip code: 55042
Optional section: Sewage Tank Compliance Co	ertification
This form does not represent a complete system inspection re	port and only certifies sewage tank compliance status.
Instructions: This section of the form may be completed and sign Maintenance Business who personally conducts the necessary prothe system.	
When this section of the form is signed by a qualified certified profe Existing System Compliance Inspection Report Compliance inspection on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.nn.us/water/	ction form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be
The information and certified statement on this form is required whindividual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits the inspector component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700 three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new erequired according to local regulations, Additional Administrative R. 7082.0700, subp. 4 Items B, C, and D; 7083.0730 Item C.	in report. It represents a third party assessment of SSTS.), subp. 4 Item (B) subitem (1). This form is valid for a period of valuation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is
☐ Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage tank non-compliance
Affirm all three statements	Select all that apply:
 ✓ The SSTS does not contain a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit ✓ It does not contain a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth ✓ It does not represent an imminent safety threat by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition 	 ☐ The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool drywell, leaching pit, or other pit — "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It has a sewage tank that was designed to be watertight, but subsequently leaks below the designed operating depth — "Failure to Protect Groundwater." ☐ It presents a threat to public safety by reason of unsecured, damaged, or weak maintenance hole cover(s) or other unsafe condition — "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."
Company information	Designated Certified Individual (DCI) information
Company name. MEYER SEWER SERVICE	Print name CHRIS WAGNER.
Business license number <u>L</u> 9/5	Certification number $ C9761$
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedures to ass	Certified Individual of a Minnesota-licensed SSTS Maintenance less the compliance status of each sewage tank in this SSTS:
Designated Certified Individual's signature: Chris Magner	Date (mm/dd/yyyy) $1/5/22$

		Lan.	
		4	47
	50.0	007	100
 100	18.	438243	67
	ď.	8	
15	120	4	

Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

-lient						Locati	Location / Address:	8603	8603 34th St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	mo, MN 55042
oil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Out	 Outwash Lacustrine	lloess Till	Alluvium	n Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	. Matter
andscape Pos	andscape Position: (select one)	ne)			Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				Soils	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Veather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date	0	07/11/22
Observatio	Observation #/Location:		B-1				Obser	Observation Type:		Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)		Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	l- Shape	Structure Grade	l Consistence
		and sequence of the	10YR 3/2							
0-16	loamy sand						***************************************	X * 1 * ee e		
16.47	loamy sand		10YR 4/6					N 199 990 A		
)† 	rock	e sta seven v norden		*******						Where ear
47-60	loamy sand	audo dudo específico o	10YR 5/4				* *** *** ***			*****
3							CAAA TO			
		as anticon		×/*******		~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		inen ieoo la		December
								AA 404-044		
		501.344444			WAR * 1 V PRO TO			iene vona i zi		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
							N4 010 004			
			X 2 9 5 6 A 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6							economica (1)

			~							
Comments										
I hereby cert	fy that I have c	completed	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with	cordanc		all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	s and laws.			
	Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien	_	1	1568		7/17/22
(Dex	(Designer/Inspector)	۲)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)

Soil Observation Log

7			:))	j 1	· ·	0	Project ID:			v 04.01.2021
ent:						Locat	Location / Address:	8603	8603 34th St N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	lmo, MN 55042
l parent ma	parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Ŏ	Outwash \[\] Lacustrine	re Læss Till	Mluvium Strium	ım 🔲 Bedrock		Organic Matter
dscape Pos	dscape Position: (select one)	ne)			Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevatio	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
egetation:				Sol	Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	· Elevation:
ather Condi	eather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date		07/17/22
Observation	Observation #/Location:	8	B-2				Obs	Observation Type:		Auger
epth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	or(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Structure Grade Cor	Consistence
			10YR 3/2	~ '					en Obb Ab	
0-14	loamy sand									
,	loamy sand		10YR 4/6	5					s were stated a	
14-60	with 20-25% rock								***	
		Sec. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11				v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	254.000			*********
esco na a a bado		1978 V 1880-01 V 1				v · · · · an · · · · ·				
							ene ene		A66 A77 .3	1377444444
									33. 33.5. 24.	
									200 200 Y	********
and a second		~~~~~! \$7.55.00							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••
			o de plan ana a a							~~
			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
						100000000000000000000000000000000000000	5000		nik den noo	•••
		***							~~ ~~	
Comments										
nereby certi	fy that I have o	completed	this work in a	ccorda	ince with all applic	nereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	s and laws.			
	Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien	ien	•	1568		7/17/22
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)	ارً			(Signature)	(a)		(License #)		(Date)

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 8603 34th Ave, Lake	HIMO, MIN	55042	
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota		Date:	1/2/19 & 1/3/19
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket	Class	ification System:	USDA
Boring Number: 1		Boring Number:	2
Surface Elevation of Boring Same ground surface as last drainfield trench	Surface Elevation Boring	Same grou	nd surface as last field trench
Depth In Soils Encountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	ncountered
Disturbed/Fill Disruption/Influence From Old Drainfield/Construction Of Garage	0-16 16-59	With 10YR 3/6 Loam ≈20% Ro	ny (Fill/Disturbed) Fill Redox y Sand With Gravel ck Fragments sal At 59"
Don'th To End Of Boring Or Redox	59"	Depth To End Of I	Boring Or Redox
Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Elevation Of Boring Relative To System	Same		ng Relative To System
Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation	-27" =32"	t to the second	Of Distribution Media
Full Of Boxing Att		End Of Boring At	59"
End Of Boring At:		Redox Present At	
Redox Present At: Standing Water Present At:	Standin	ng Water Present A	

Bottom Of Distribu	ition Medium At:	27 Inches	

<u>"OIL BORING LOG SH. ET</u>

COUNTRYSIDE

Date of Borings:

4/24/96

Boring Made By:	Jeff Childers - Certification # 2122 - M.P.C.A. License # 958

DEPTH	Boring # B5	DEPTH	Boring #
IN		IN	
FEET	Elevation:	FEET	Elevation:
0		0	
1	TOP SOIL	1	
2		2	
3	BROWN SILT/TR. SAND	3	
4	MEDIUM SAND & GRAVEL	4	
5		5	
6	BROWN SAND&GRAVEL	6	
7		7	
8 END O	F BORING @ 6.00 FEET.	8END OF	BORING @ FEET.

WATER TABLE OR MOTTTLED SOIL- NO

WATER TABLE OR MOTTLED SOIL-

OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS- 4" HAND AUGER BORING.

8603 34TH STN WHE ELMO, MN S 7/17/22 SSOP

