Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 3440 Kelvin Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (installed in approximately 1969) consists of two cesspools. Additional cesspool(s) and/or a drainfield may exist beyond the second cesspool. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection. This house is presently vacant.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B) because of cesspools.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	·		
Property address: 3440 Kelvin Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042			
Owner/representative: Richard Hedquist / Mike Hedguist (Son)	Owner's phone: 651-503-5177	
Brief system description: Two cesspools with possible additiona	•	=	
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/1/2022			
Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice Notice	ce of noncompliance	
·	-	•	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	nd safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	ter	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) –	Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prof	tect groundwater		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompi	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
2 - 2:			
Inspector signature: Suan Humpal Mun V	<u></u>	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally red	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr	ity Assessment	
oximes Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	-
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		
Describe verification methods and	l results:	
None of the above found.		
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
<u> </u>	component #2	
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance) (Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year (See form instructions to ensure assessment complimentation) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate	No Yes* No Yes* No All Tanks States the system fer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complementation of the complemen
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	No Yes* No Yes* No All Tanks States the system fer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complementation of the complemen

	ess: _3440 Kelvin Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 e: _Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 8/1/2022
3. Other c	compliance conditions – Compliance component #3	of 5
3a. Maint	tenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, es* 🛮 No 🔲 Unknown	
	r issues <i>(electrical hazards, etc.)</i> to immediately and adversely impact public h	realth or safety? □ Ves* ☒ No. □ Unknown
	to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	•
	em is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by i	
-	em not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
-	to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	<u>_</u>
	cribe verification methods and results:	
A44	shed comparting decompartation. M Net conficely.	
Attac	ched supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4. Operati	ing permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compo	nent #4 of 5 🛭 Not applicable
<u> </u>	ing permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compo	
Is the syste	tem operated under an Operating Permit?	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the syste	tem operated under an Operating Permit?	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the systematics and the systematics are systematically asserted by the systematics and the systematics are systematically as the systematic and the systematics are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic are systematically as the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic are systematically as the systematic and the systematic and the	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compositem operated under an Operating Permit? The required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? The above the system design is a system design in the system design.	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
Is the systematics and the systematics are systematically as the systematic and systematics are systematically as the systematics are systematically as the systematics are systematically as the systematic and systematics are systematically a	ting permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compositem operated under an Operating Permit? Item required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? In the system design is the system design in the system design in the system design is the system design in the system design in the system design is the system design in the system	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans	tem operated under an Operating Permit? Item required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be need criteria:	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: the the operating permit requirements been met?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? Item required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be note criteria: The tem required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note the permit requirements been met?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have b. Is the	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? Item required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be note criteria: The tem required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note the permit requirements been met?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have b. Is the	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have b. Is the	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system is	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have b. Is the	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the syste Is the syste BMP If the ans Complian a. Have b. Is the	tem operated under an Operating Permit? tem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design swer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be nce criteria: The the operating permit requirements been met? Yes Note required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes Note "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 • $Page\ 3\ of\ 4$

Date of installation 1969?	□ Unknown			
Date of installation 1969? (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ M OHKHOWH			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage loughing?		☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☑ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media		
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.						
Date of Inspection:	August 1, 2022		Time:	1:00 PM		
Property Address:	3440 Kelvin Ave N, La	ake Elmo, MN	Zip:	55042		
Property Owner:	Richard Hedquist		Phone);		
Tank(s) ☐ Septic ☐ Aerobic ☐ Lift ☐ Holding ☐ Other:	Tank(s)Material ☐ Fiberglass ☐ Plastic ☐ Metal ☐ Concrete ☐ Block ☐ Other ☐ ☐ At-	Soil Treatment System Rock trench Gravelless trench Chamber trench Seepage bed Mound grade	□Alte □Exp ⊠Cess	Other rnative system erimental system spool system 2 or more er system		
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.						
Year house built: 1		installed: 1969?		ze (gals.):		
	r owned the property?		of residents			
Number of bedroom	ns? 3 Are	all floors drained b		Y		
Garbage disposal?	(1 1 4)0	Whirlpool b	ath?			
More than one syst		:1				
	have any footing drain t					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?						
Location of septic	system on lot? West Sid	e				
Location of water v	well on lot? East Side	Is	s the well a	deep well? Y		
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,						
to the system?	If yes, explain:			e any repairs been made		
	em last pumped? 2020			on's Sewer Service		
	l in previous years?			nonitoring plan?		
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing						

Date:

Owner/Occupant:





DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.