

## Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 07.030.20.31.0001	Reason for Inspection property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 10241 Mendel Rd N Stillwater Twp, MN 550	082
Owner/representative: Ron Johansson	Owner's phone: 651-439-9094
Brief system description: Pump tank lifting to a septic tank with	a gravity rock trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/4/2022	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an mminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receip
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	
	.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failir	
	mpliance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping re	ecords on file with Washington County.
Trottomod doorgin, parring arm, insperior and part 1	,
Certification	
future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	d to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be tru- used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
nspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sig	gned) Phone: 612-594-449
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
	required forms
Other information (list):	
Carlot anormation (not)	
	- Available in alternative forma
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-38 wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021	364 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative forma Page 1 of

Yes No Yes No Yes No mponent #2 Yes No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable  of 5  Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	
Yes No  system is an fety.  Ilts:  mponent #2  Yes No	of 5  Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
mponent #2	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
mponent #2	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
mponent #2 <sub>Yes</sub> ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
Yes`⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
		Pinky's Sewer
		•
Yes¹ <b>⊠</b> No	Name of maintenance business:	•
Yes² 🛛 No	Name of maintenance business:	Service
Yes* 🛛 No		
	License number of maintenance busines	ss: <u>9755</u>
	Date of maintenance:	8/4/2022
	Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	ich)
	Date of maintenance	
	(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be withi -	in three years)
the system	(See form instructions to ensure assess	sment complies with
		ovelsis bel
	·	ssary – expiain below
	Other:	
then were pump	ped for the inspection. Lowered a camera into the	e empty tanks -
ne time of inspec	tion.	
<b>S</b>	sults: I then were pump d maintenance he	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces


. Other compliance conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?  □ Yes ☑ No □ Unknown  3b. Other issues (electrical hazaras, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? □ Yes ☑ No □ Unknown  Yes action of the System is an accordance with Minn. R. 7080.25007  3c. System not abandones in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.25007  Yes in 3c. or 3d · System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation: □ Not applicable □  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If "yes", A below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? □ Yes □ No  b. Is the required attrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ No  Any "no" according a permit requirements been met?  Describe verification methods and results:	Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 8/4/2022
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?    Yes		
Yes   Mo   Unknown	. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	nsecured?
Yes in the or 26 - System is an immithem threat to public health and eathly.   3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?		
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?		afety? 🗌 Yes` 🛛 No 🔲 Unknown
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable      Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5   Not applicable    Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   No   If "yes", A below is require    BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design    If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No    Any "no" snswer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Attached supporting documentation: \  \text{Not applicable} \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \		
Attached supporting documentation: \Bigc Not applicable \Bigc Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 \Bigc Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? \Bigc Yes \Bigc No \Bigc If "yes", A below is require Is the system operated to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design \Bigc Yes \Bigc No \Bigc If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design \Bigc If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \Bigc Yes \Bigc No \Bigc No \Bigc Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	•	☐ Yes* 🔼 No
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable   . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is require   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is require   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is require   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is require   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is require   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #2	1 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?		
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	to the eyeration approximation of	-
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?		o ii yoo , b bolon la taqaaa
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?		atad
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?		neu.
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	·	
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		
Describe verification methods and results:		
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐		
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐		
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐		
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐		
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)		
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐		
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)		
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)		
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)		
- 1 VI - 1	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	
	ttps://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service	Available in alternative format  Page 3 of

Property Address: 10241 Mendel Rd N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: <u>8</u>	/4/2022
Soil separation – Compliance co		f 5	
Date of installation 8/17/1987 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for th	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	d ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment:			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☑ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland	4	A. Bottom of distribution media	3.1
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6.5
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		C. System separation	3.4
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required \$2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes No		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



3) 22 24 (8)	Trepressions and	55.00084	

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:		<u> </u>	Ron Johansson		Loca	Location / Address:	10241 Mei	ndel Rd N Stillv	10241 Mendel Rd N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that i	apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess Till	iii Alfuvium	m Bedrock		Organic Matter	
Landscape Pos	Landscape Position: (select one)	(se								
Vegetation:										
Weather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	)ay:					Date		08/04/22	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B.	B-1			) Obse	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	s) Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s) -	-l Shape	Grade	rel Consistence	
		7	10YR 3/2				***************************************		a so was the	
8-0	loam									
	-		7.5YR 4/3						ka katata ruunna	
8-32	sandy toam					neces follows			1.000 TO 100 TO	
77 00	med His		7.5YR 4/6						er ስለነስድ ነነስርድ ነነስር	
32-44	SIIL COM		ennosa e cipilira						55 VXX 60 e	
			7.5YR 4/4				***************************************		**************************************	
44-78	sand	magazir ayayan sana								
							***************************************		1442 1447 16 <b>4</b>	
	*******************************								MENDER NAME	
			w z y w w w w w	Denot A.A. Co.					au d'anna fann	
	44				****				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
					,,				the MODEL XXXX	
	e	and the second of the second of								
Comments										
I hereby cert	ify that I have o	completed	this work in acc	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	ble ordinances, ru	les and laws.				
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien			1568		8/4/22	
ع ا	(Designer/Inspector)	-		(Signature)			(Ficense #)		(Date)	

	.00		
<b>*******</b>	Sinaci	# Rey A Youth &	Properties

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

										H	000
lient:		_	Ron Johansson	r.		Local	Location / Address:	10241 Me	10241 Mendel Kd N Stillwater I wp, MN 55082	water I wp, MI	780cc N
oil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)		Outwash 🔲 Lacustrine	Loess Till	II Alluvium	um Bedrock		Organic Matter	
andscape Pos	andscape Position: (select one)	ne)									
Vegetation:											
Veather Cond	Veather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date		08/04/22	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:		B-2				sq0	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	or(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade		 Consistence
			10YR 3/2	.5					·nnéasaí a t	NAPAKAT TEE	
9-0	loam										
		NAME NAME (A.A.)	7.5YR 4/3	<sub>ك</sub>					68444 VIII.	er kinne vonder o	
6-22	loamy sand	~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	***********	*********						0003455350	
		WZF NAMA ANNO	7.5YR 4/6	9,					*******	* noer eoes 2 %	
22-36	med sand	e s de de marce de la companya de l	*****							.00 NEXT 80 E	
		8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.5YR 4/4	/4					······································	5.567 VANT 57.5	
36-56	coarse sand	estature e cono como	o yang at makamatan kang								12,348111011
			er blevaraca							aay eey too	
	***	yang was di 1880				** *** ****					
								gay on a gayer now -	144 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	********	
	44440000									. bang vage .	
	A A W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W				1000				***********	<del>6</del> 8 4608 NAOP 3	
		not worker would be				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2000 1000		NOTE SALES	
Comments	Coarse sand be	elow 56"- L	unable to extra	act a sar	Comments Coarse sand below 56"- unable to extract a sample because the hole kept caving	le kept caving					
I hereby cert	ify that I have	completed	this work in	accorda	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	ble ordinances, rul	es and laws.				
	Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien		ı	1568		8	8/4/22
PG)	(Designer/Inspector)	or)			(Signature)	(		(License #)			(Date)