## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Brandon Aho 19345 Forest Blvd N Forest Lake, MN 55025

8/30/2022

Dear Brandon Aho,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

Benjamin Zieske

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



## Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2903221410001	Reason for Inspection Sale	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	<u> </u>	
Property address: 19345 Forest Blvd N Forest Lake, MN 5502	5	
Owner/representative: Brandon Aho	Owner's phone: <u>(612)</u> 363-9121	
Brief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon s	eptic tank, 1000 gallon lift tank, mound dispersal system	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/30/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or	
guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	) – Imminent threat to public health and safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin	g to protect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations		
System functioning normally during site visit 8/29/2022.		
Certification		
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,	
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594	
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119	
(This document has been electronically sig	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)	
Soil observation logs	equired forms	
☐ Other information (list):		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other:		
ground surface		 ⊠ Not applicable		
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar				
Describe verification methods and	l results:			
None of the above observed during s	site visit 8/29/2022.			
<b>ink integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5		
<b>ink integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation:		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2			
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre  License number of maintenance business: 3287		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre License number of maintenance business: 3287  Date of maintenance: 8/29/2022  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre License number of maintenance business: 3287  Date of maintenance: 8/29/2022  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre License number of maintenance business: 3287  Date of maintenance: 8/29/2022  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre License number of maintenance business: 3287  Date of maintenance: 8/29/2022  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belowed)		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Hassle Fre License number of maintenance business: 3287  Date of maintenance: 8/29/2022  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		


Property Address: 19345 Forest Blvd N Forest La	e, MN 55025
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: <u>8/30/2022</u>
3. Other compliance conditions – Co	mpliance component #3 of 5
<u> </u>	turally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	tarany anobarra (damagod, ordonod, oto.), or anobodrod.
	diately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminen	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for	•
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with	, i
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to pro	
Describe verification methods and resul	-
Attached supporting documentation:	Not applicable $\square$
Attached Supporting documentation.	–
	<b>ЛР*</b> – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen B	<b>AP*</b> – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl	<b>IP*</b> – Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable it? □ Yes □ No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl Is the system operated under an Operating Perr Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	## Prescription   Pr
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specific	### AP* - Compliance component ## of 5  Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perr  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", a	## Prescription   Pr
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec If the answer to both questions is "no", is Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required fied in the system design this section does not need to be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", a  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perr  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", a  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perr  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", a  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements b  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?
4. Operating permit and nitrogen Bl  Is the system operated under an Operating Perm  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spec  If the answer to both questions is "no", is  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements be  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and  Any "no" answer indicates none	## P* - Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  it?

Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: <u>8/30/2022</u>			
Soil separation -	- Compliance cor	nponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation	11/13/2001 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food ☐ Yes ☒ No beverage lodging?		☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
		Soil observation logs completed for the report			
Compliance criteria	•	<u> </u>	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Yes ☐ No*	<ul><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are</li><li>☐</li></ul>	,	
Drainfield has at lea separation distance saturated soil or bed					
5b. Non-performance sy		⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or late performance systen	er or for non- ns located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	102.2'	
	tion Areas or serving a odging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	99.0'	
			C. System separation	3.2'	
separation distance	ainfield has a three-foot vertical paration distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'	
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lo Ordinance.			
2,500 gallons per da	pre-2008 Rules; ns built under 2008	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or bed	from periodically				

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864



## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project: 19345 Forest Blvd N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 8/29/2022

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-14"	10YR 2/2 sandy loam		
14-18"	10YR 4/2 sandy loam, 3/6 concentrations *12" separation credit		
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole  1 feet of depth	Standing water table: Present at Standing water not present in Mottled Soil:	feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
U		0	
	feet	End of boring at	feet