

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	For local tracking purposes:
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner	
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/19/2016	
	pliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwa Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	reat to public health and safety ter rotect groundwater rater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rar	nge:
Property address: 12100 228th St N Scandia, MN 55073 Reason	for inspection: Sale
Property owner: Carly Evans Owner's	phone: 651-327-2377
or	
	entative phone:
	ory authority phone: 651-430-6000
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gallon lift station, mo Comments or recommendations:	und dispersal system
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	compliance status of this system. No wn conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certifica	ation number: 9594
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Lice	ense number: 119
Inspector signature:	one number: 651-462-2294
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs	r local ordinance

1.	Impact on Public Health – C	compliance componer	nt #1 of 5			
82	Compliance criteria:	1	Verification method(s):			
-	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>			
10-	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system  System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	Homeowner did not report any issues	with the system.				
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
Comments/Explanation:						
	Tanks pumped 7/21/2016 by Bergerse	on's. See attached.				
3	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance compo	onent #3 of 5			
-						
	<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> </ul>					
	*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect gro		as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No			
	Explain:					

Inspector initials/Date: B2 | 7/19/2016

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5					
Date of installation: 2006	Unknown	Verifi	cation method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy)  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous so observations by two independent parties are unless site conditions have been altered or le requirements differ.		rties are sufficient,	
Compliance criteria:		2.00			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Yes No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)			
Protection Area or not serving a food,		86 (18(0)) 6	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Oth	Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indica	Indicate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bot	tom of distribution media	101.8	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		-			
License required)		B. Per	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	99.0	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. Sys	tem separation	2.8	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*		3.0 (2.55 with allowance)	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen		*May I Ordin	pe reduced up to 15 percent it ance.	L	
Is the system operated under an Operating		s П No			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger			If "yes", B below is requi		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.					
	io , ano occarin ac	00 1100 1	ioda to bo odinpiotodi		
Compliance criteria					
Operating Permit number:  Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?			☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ng?	Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.					

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

12100 228th St N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

7/15/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-12"	10YR 4/3 clay loam	0-15"	10YR 3/3 clay loam
12-20"	10YR 5/4 clay loam, redox at 12"	15-24"	10YR 5/4 clay loam, redox at 15"
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  1 feet of depth  1 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O End of boring at	leet	O End of boring at	Feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth  feet of depth	Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre- Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth Feet of de

Height of instrument: 106.3 (manhole on 1st septic tank) Benchmark: 99.4 B2 Separation: 3.7 **B1 Separation: 2.8** Bottom of rock: 101.8 B2: 99.1, redox 98.1 B1: 100.0, redox 99.0 Relative Elevations © 2016 Google Imagery Date: 3/11/2016 45°16'43.91" N 92°51'35.87" W elev 944 ft eye alt 1095 ft 🔘 81 Google earth 8

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

GOVERNMENT CENTER

14949 62nd STREET NORTH P.O. BOX 6 STILLWATER, MN 55082-0006 Office: 651-430-6655 TDD: 651-430-6246 FAX: 651-430-6730

## SSTS MAINTENANCE REPORT

Date of Maintenance 7-21-1 Reason for Maintenance: 3 44 Monte texture.				
Property Address: 12/00 218 Property Owner's Name: CARLY EVAUS				
Municipality: SCARDIA St	Municipality: Scardia State Ma Zip Code GEO Code/Property I.D. #:			
What was done to the system?	Tank Measi	urements (must be comp	leted if tanks NOT pumped)	
☐ Tank(s) Pumped ☐ Sludge and scum measured. Do tanks need to be pumped?	Liquid Level of Tank  Total (Sludge + Scur		*	
Yes No (If no provide measurements)			* Tank must be pumped if this value	
1. Access used to remove septage: Maintenan	ce Hole Other (G	o to #3 below)	is greater than 25%.	
2. If maintenance hole was used, were all covers se	curely replaced?	Yes No please explain	n	
Explanation:			Late - Sutanana hala hayo	
3. If owner refuses to allow a Subsurface Sewag them complete and sign the following statem	e Treatment System ent:	(SSTS) to be pumped thr	ough the maintenance noie, nave	
			and liquids through the maintenance	
hole. I understand that removal of solids and liq	uids through other ac	cess points is not consider	ed maintenance.	
4. Is the tank designed as a leaky tank? example: se	epage pit, cesspool, dry	well, leaching pit		
Tank#1 Yes No Verificatio Method Us	sed:			
Tank#2   Yes   No Verificatio Method U			. \	
5. Is there evidence of tank leakage from a sept damaged, cracked, or structurally unsound n	ic, holding, pretreati	ment or pump tank belov	w the operating depth or evidence of	
Tank	Leaking Out	Leaking In	Cover Damage	
Septic/Holding Tank #1	T Yes T No	T Yes T No	T Yes T No	
Septic/Holding Tank #2	☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Pretreatment Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	T Yes T No	Yes No	
Pump Tank	TYes No	☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes No	
6. How many gallons of septage were removed	?			
Tank #1 / 000 Tank #2 / 060 Pretreatment Tank Pump Tank / 006				
7. Other information: List any troubleshooting, minor repairs conducted, tank safety concerns, or other concerns.				
Nove				
8. Certification: I hereby certify as a State of Minnesota certified SSTS Maintainer that I personally conducted the work and made the observations, or directly supervised others in the performance of this job.				
Maintainer's Name: Bergerson Sewer Service Maintainer's Address: P.O. Box 66 Chisago City, MN 55013				
Maintainer's License #: L356 Maintainer's Phone #: 651-257-6899				
Maintainer's Signature 23		Date:	1-31-18	