

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unif (LGU) and system owner with: 15 days of final determination of compliance or need ampliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 02.028.20.21.0031  Local regulatory authority info: Washington County  Property address: 81 Quant Ct S Lakeland, MN 55043  Owner/representative: Thomas & Julie Schultz  Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity	Reason for Inspection	property sale
Property address: 81 Quant Ct S Lakeland, MN 55043 Owner/representative: Thomas & Julie Schultz		
Owner/representative: Thomas & Julie Schultz		
Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity		Owner's phone: 651-303-7698
	rock trench drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/30/2022		
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced. or time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered o	r An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		se discontinued within ten months of receip
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not		rter period if required by local ordinance or
guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subd	IVISION 6.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applic	cable)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component	#1) – Imminent threat to public	health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Fail.	ing to protect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp	onent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp	onent #3) – Failing to protect g	roundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708	30.2500 (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa	illing to protect groundwater	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (C	Compliance component #4) - N	loncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Checked for septic records at Washington County - for	und nothing on file.	
2.000,000.00.000,000	3	
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkinadeguate maintenance, or future water usage.	red to determine the compliance s known conditions during system o	status of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system.
By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form.	true and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC		Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien		License number: 1568
	signed)	Phone: 612-594-4496
(This document has been electronically		
	documentation (must	lie attached)
Necessary or locally required supporting of		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes   ☑ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes   ☑ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
None of the above observed.			
		-f.F	
<b>ank integrity</b> – Compliance	e component #2	of 5	
nnk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	e component #2	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Se
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Se Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's Se Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755 ch)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines  Date of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755 ch)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755 ch) n three years ment complie
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's Se Service ss: 9755 ch) n three years ment complie


Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	
	Date: 8/30/2022
<b>3. Other compliance conditions</b> – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes 🛛 No 🗌 Unknow
"Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to prime briefth and safety	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes         No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes     No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundward?	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
1. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No	ii yes , b below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	- d
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ea.
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
<u> </u>	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Description  Desc	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	

Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: <u>8</u>	3/30/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5 c	of 5	
Date of installation 1976? (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):	☐ Yes   No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for th  ☐ Two previous verifications of required	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☐	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	Yes No	Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed or separation.	3.5 5.7 2.2 2.0 owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No		

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, that is system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food. beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

N 81 QUANT CTS WHE LAKELAND, MN 55043 S 8/30/22



	4.0		
i eşiğ		2	
E. 8908			8
	300		
0.3			

## Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

Client:		Тһоп	Thomas & Julie Schultz	Schultz		Locat	Location / Address:	81 Q	81 Quant Ct S Lakeland, MN 55043	and, MN 55043	
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that i	apply)		Outwash 🔲 Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	ım Bedrock		Organic Matter	
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	æ)									
Vegetation:											
Weather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Jay:						Date		08/30/22	
Observatic	Observation #/Location:	B-2	.2				0 bs	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	l Shape	Grade	el Consistence	
			10YR 2/2	2/2				/			
0-22	loamy sand	<35%					a trans			240.000	
	loamy gravelly	Č	7.5YR 4/4	4/4							
22-38	sand	<35%					**************************************				
	-	\0 <u>1</u>	10YR	4/4						17 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 80	
79-88	sand	<33%	3.000000							NOO-NZZZ 44	
			********							<b>**********</b>	
	*********		er que monte a son								
			RESERVE A CAT CO							1300381244	
			•***							******	
		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a								NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	
			v, 4:0, <b>4 +</b> > <b>x</b> <sup>2</sup> ∨ V				en rouwe to vig	**********		<b>ለታ</b> የተገነሱ ህንዴት	
							**************************************				
Comments											
I hereby cert	iify that I have c	ompleted	this work ii	n accorda	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	le ordinances, rule	s and laws.				
	Tom Trooien				Tom Trooien		•	1568		8/30/22	
<u>D</u>	(Designer/Inspector)	.)			(Signature)			(Ficense#)		(Date)	