Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lak 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestso	oiltesting.com	Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TRE	ATMENT SYSTE	M (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: 8/30/2022 & 8/31/2022	Time: 1:15 PM	Owner: Shane & Megan Wagner
Inspection Address: 574 Quinmore	e Ave N, Lakeland, N	MN 55043

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2011, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1987) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on August 31, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf.

perty information Local tracking number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 574 Quinmore Ave N, Lakeland, MN 55043			
Owner/representative: Shane & Megan Wagner		Owner's phone: 651-214-3593	
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench	drainfield.		

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/31/2022

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Certification number: 5342/9852

Brian Humpal After the Inspector signature: (This document has been electronically signed)

License number: L2896 Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats Page 1 of 4

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface] Yes* 🛛 No	Other:
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.] Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.]Yes* 🔀 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the imminent threat to public health and s		
Describe verification methods and res	sults:	

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

	Attached supporting documentat	ion:	
🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector		
	Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Sewer Service	
🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance bus	siness: L1673	
	Date of maintenance:	8/31/2022	
	Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	
	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be v	vithin three years)	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		essment complies with	
		ecessary – explain below)	
	Yes* ⊠ No	Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system Image: Sector of the system	

Describe verification methods and results:

٠

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance.

4	of	9
---	----	---

Date: 8/31/2022

3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a. N	laintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?	
]Yes* ⊠No □ Unknown		
	3b. C	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ety? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unk	nown
	*	Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
	3c. S	system is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
	3d. S	system not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
	*	Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
	0	Describe verification methods and results:		
	A	Attached supporting documentation: 🖂 Not applicable		
4.	Оре	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛛 Not applicable	e
	Is the	system operated under an Operating Permit?	lf "yes", A below is req	uired
	Is the	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	lf "yes", B below is req	uired
		BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design		

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a.	Have the operating permit requirements been met?	Yes No
----	--	--------

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)


5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

-		•			
Date of installation	1987 (<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>)				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?		🗌 Yes 🖂 No	Attached supporting documentation:		
			ig angle Soil observation logs completed for the transformed set of the transformation of tran	ne report	
Compliance criteri	a (select one):		Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Yes 🗌 No*	Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
			\bigotimes Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2011.		
			Reviewed design and permit records		
Drainfield has at le separation distanc saturated soil or be					
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a th			C. System separation		
separation distanc saturated soil or be			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local	
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2,500 gallons per o License required >	ns built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector • 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets th separation distanc saturated soil or be					

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 574 Quinmore Ave N, Lakeland, MN 55043						
		,	Midwest Sewer Se	ervices		Date:	8/30/2022
Cla	ssifica	tion System:	USDA				
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil Ot	oservation:	
Elevat	face ion of vation	-	nd surface as last Tield trench	Surface Elevation of Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-14 14-50 50-65	≈15 ≈20 ≈20	7.5YR 2.5/3 M Wit 10YR 4/4 Me	amy Sand With Gravel edium Coarse Sand th Gravel dium Coarse Sand th Gravel				
65"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same							tion Relative To System
-36"				Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			
≥29"	Of Sep	aration			Of Sep	aration	
End Of	f Soil O	bservation At:	65"	nd Of S	Soil Obe	ervation At:	
	Limiting Soil Conditions At: None					onditions At:	
					tanding Water Present At:		
Junu	ing wat	CITICSCIIL AL		canang	, water		

ottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches

Signature:

Location of Project: 574 Quinmore Ave N, Lakeland, MN 55043					
	Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota Date:			12/8/11	
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classif	fication System:	USDA
Bo	oring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring	-	nd surface as septic nspection pipe	Surface Elevation of Boring		
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	countered
0-20 20-72		bamy Sand & Gravel			
72" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	C	Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
		g Relative To System			Relative To System
	epth To Bottom (Separation	Df System		Depth To Bottom O Of Separation	of System
	nd Of Boring At:	72"		End Of Boring At:	
Re	dox Present At:	None	F	Redox Present At:	
Standing Wa	ater Present At:	None	e Standing Water Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: _____36 ____ Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.