

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also as Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system	oply.
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7-29-2016	
	oncompliant – Notice of
	ompliance e Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Immine Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – In Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect to Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	nminent threat to public health and safety groundwater ailing to protect groundwater at groundwater
Property Information Property address: Property owner: Or Owner's representative: LANT Lans On - Remax Agent) Local regulatory authority: Washington County Brief system description: Sortic Apart Tanks - William Comments or recommendations: Add Cover Soil To Manage To M	Reason for inspection: Proper to Trans fer Owner's phone: Representative phone: 651-402-7297 Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to de determination of future system performance has been nor can be made de	etermine the compliance status of this system. No

7	Other information (list):	n/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per local ordinance				
Prop	perty address: //505 - Hille	rest ct. N.)	Inspector initials/Date: JS 7-29-2016 (mm/dd/yyyy)				
1.	Impact on Public Health - 0	Compliance compo	nent #1 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☐ No	Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home				
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☑ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)				
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes 💆 No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping				
	Any "yes" answer above ind system is an imminent threa health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation:	£.					
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2 of	5 Verification method(s):				
	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes 📝 No	✓ Probed tank(s) bottom				
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		☐ Examined construction records				
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)				
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes 🛣 No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)				
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
	Any "yes" answer above ind		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
3	system is failing to protect g Comments/Explanation:	roundwater.	Cities inclined not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation.						
3	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance co	mnonent #3 of 5				
							
	 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 						
	Explain:	o paviio iidalai aliu 3a	nosy.				
	c. System is non-protective of groun *System is failing to protect gro		ions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* 🔀 No				

roperty address: //505-7hilksest ct.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		**************************************	(mm/dd/yyyy)	
. Soil Separation — Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5				
Date of installation: 2002	Unknown	Verific	ation method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage odgin	☐ Yes ☐ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.			
Compliance criteria: For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Yes No	11, 11, 11	ducted soil observation(s) (/	Attach horing logs)	
	L les L 140	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Orainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.		Oth	er (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	X Yes □ No	Comm	ents/Explanation:		
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				B.M. Ø	
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media			
Orainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. Syst	em separation uired compliance separation*	2.9' 3'-15% okan	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger		*May b Ordina	e reduced up to 15 percent i ance.	f allowed by Local Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	s 🗌 No	If "yes", A below is requi	red	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Ye	s 🗌 No	If "yes", B below is requi	red	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	specified in the systen	n design			
If the answer to both questions is "n	o", this section do	es not ne	eed to be completed.		
Compliance oritorie					
Compliance criteria					
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?					
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ning'?	Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc					

651-296-6300 • • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 4

l hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. Weather Conditions/Time of Day: Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Landscape Position: (check one) Comments Depth (in) Observation #/Location: Vegetation Weeks OF MINNESOTA terry Jawber (Designer/Inspector) Client/ Address: Texture <35 109R 4/3 137 Frag. % 1 Rock OSTP Soil Observation Log Summit Shoulder Back/Side Slope Matrix Color(s) 11505-Hillystatic Gast of 1. End of Home Ook Catanoplantial Outwash Lacustrine Soil survey map units 789852 Mottle Color(s) ↑ Redox Kind(s) | Indicator(s) (Signature) Augs, Deplations of Concentrations Loess Foot Slope Legal Description/ GPS: Project ID: Test 1 Toe Slope Slope shape Slope% 3-4% Alluvium Observation Type: 50, 28/ins 256 (License #) Shape Bedrock Date 7-29-2016 Elevation: 1.1 hodeate Grade - Structure---v 03.19.15 Organic Matter Consistence

UNIVERSITY