

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LSJ) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or honcompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

roperty information	Local tracking number:
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 02.030.21.22.0015	Reason for Inspection property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	
roperty address: 10271 119th St N Grant, MN 55082	
wner/representative: Patty Strongitharm	Owner's phone: 612-644-4020
rief system description: Two septic tanks and a gravelless dr	rainfield.
ystem status	
ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/4/2022	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☑ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
/alid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an numinent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. 7. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receip of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or
uarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica	able)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #	\$1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failir	ng to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compo	onent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compo	onent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fail	ling to protect groundwater
	compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Reviewed permit, design, soil, inspection and pumping	records on file at Washington County
Treviewed permit, design, son, mepeodor and partiting	Toolido on ma di Tradimigian Daditi,
Certification	
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere	ed to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of nown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
	rue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
nspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically s	signed) Phone: <u>612-594-449</u>
Necessary or locally required supporting d	locumentation (must be attached)
	y required forms 🔲 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permi
Other information (list):	

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes [®] ☒ No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a:		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above observed.		
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain by
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Aresults:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Aresults:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain by
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Aresults:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Aresults:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Aresults:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:

Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 10/4/2022
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ∐ Yes ⊠ No ∐ Unknow
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes′ ☑ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes [。] ☒ No
"Yes to 3c or 3d - System is falling to protect groundwater	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛛 Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	If "yes", B below is require
	lf "yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[\textsqr{Yes} \textsqr{No} \]	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[\textsqr{Yes} \textsqr{No} \]	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates nancompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	

usiness Name: _ All State Septic Services LLC	5082	Date: 1	0/4/2022
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 10/31/1995 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the	no roport
Compliance eritoria (coloct one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	
Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☐	·
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	2.6
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	4.0
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	1.4
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes No		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

										4 4 4
Client:						Loca	Location / Address:	102	10271 119th St N Grant, MN 55082	ant, MN 55082
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)		Outwash 🔲 Lacustrine	Loess Till	II Alluvium	ium Bedrock		Organic Matter
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)								
Vegetation:										
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date		10/04/22
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B	B-1				Ob	Observation Type:		Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	el Consistence
			10YR 3/2	3/2			d F OF BROKE			
0-18	loam	<35%								
0.4	200	/35%	10YR 4/4	4/4						
18-40	Sandy toain	e C -	agraforios gravas va va				~~~	~~~		
75 07		735%	10YR 5/4	5/4			***************************************	no novo vocago		***********
40-56	toarny sand	Ŝ	aa eerrerinie							
		Ĉ	7.5YR 4/6	4/6	10YR 7/8	Concentrations	S1	· verendar 18	•	
99-95	loamy sand	<33%			10YR 5/2	Depletions	51			
		NAMES OF STREET						houses of \$100.		0.377 <i>00</i> 10.00
	A		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
		harager reviews in N.A.A	V 12 V 20 00					oor tooor noop		*************
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A 1.0000 100000 0	***************				·			
	Salah sa		WOOD BY 1 V						~~~~	ve enne hinne n
		**********	*********							
Comments	Comments Redox at 56"				Address of the state of the sta			***************************************		
I hereby cert	tify that I have c	completed	this work ii	n accorda	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	ole ordinances, rule	es and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		ı		Tom Trooien			1568	_	10/4/22
(De	(Designer/Inspector)	۲)			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)

Soil Observation Log

v 04.01.2021

Project ID:

						feco	Location / Addrage	10271	10271 119th St N Grant, MN 55082	ant MN 55082
ient:						3001				
iil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)]Outwash 🔲 Lacustrine	Loess Till	II Alluvium	um Bedrock		Organic Matter
indscape Pos	andscape Position: (select one)	ne)								
Vegetation:										
eather Cond	eather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:						Date		10/04/22
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B	B-2				3 9 0	Observation Type:		Auger
		Rock			(0):010000000000000000000000000000000000	Onder Vind(c)	Indicator(c)		Structure	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	olor(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Kedox Kind(s)	indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
	-	Č	10YR 3/2	/2						200-252-1-00
0-12	loam	۲۲> %رز								< 6000 A.S.A.
	sandy clay	4	10YR 4/4	4/						2217270000
12-36	loam	<35%								
	•	,	10YR 5	5/4	e ere hour					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
36-48	loamy sand	<35%								
	-		7.5YR 5/4	/4	10YR 6/8	Concentrations	51			••••
48-60	sand	~35% ~			10YR 6/2	Depletions	51			
		**********	**************************************							
		gge sandsander								
			Bander Carl	******						
		un 1 1 n n n 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
			20 000 1 00 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				**********	the name of the		he bion states
		A 808.807 8.607 0.	**************************************							100 to 10
Comments	Comments Redox at 48"									
hereby certi	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with	completed	this work in	accordar	nce with all applicat	all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	es and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		1		Tom Trooien	U		1568		10/4/22
JOU)	igner (Inchector)	1			(Signature)	_		(License #)		(Date)

