

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:L	ocal regulatory authority: Washington County	
Property address: 11395 Irish Ave, Grant MN 55082		
Owner/representative: Darrell Bigalke	Owner's phone: 612-408-4753	
Brief system description: 1650 main tank to inground gravity fe	d trenches	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/12/2022		
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision is suscovered or a shorter time frame exist.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by loca ordinance.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)	
□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathed determination of future system performance has been nor can be abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water under the system in the system is system.	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater .2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ng to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No ne made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible isage.	
can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information	
Business name: MJL Contracting Inc.	Certification number: 8190	
Inspector signature: Danielle Lang	License number: 2449	
(This document has been electronically signe	Phone: 763-244-9070	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)	
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☐ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit	

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes* ⊠ No Other: System discharges sewage to the ground surface ☐ Not applicable ☐ Yes* ⊠ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. ☐ Yes* ☒ No System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: searched for seepage in yard 2. Tank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, ☐ Yes* ☐ No Pumped at time of inspection cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Hassle Free Sewage tank(s) leak below their ☐ Yes* ☐ No License number of maintenance business: 3287 designed operating depth? 10/12/2022 Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

visual

800-657-3864

Other:

☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5			
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown				
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	v? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	Visual			
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □			
	Attached supporting documentation. Not applicable			
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	f 5 🛭 Not applicable		
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No \square	f "yes", B below is required		
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed			
	Compliance criteria:			
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.			
	Describe verification methods and results:			
	besome vermouton methods and results.			
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 9/17/1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soil treatment area	vertical
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	28 66 38 36 wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the			

Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.