

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 10.030.20.22.0007	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 10877 Pawnee Ave N Stillwater Twp, MN 5	5082
Owner/representative: Sheryle Hazard	Owner's phone: 612-889-8835
Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity roo	ck trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/31/2022	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all application	ble)
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failit ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations	g to protect groundwater nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 1.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	d to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
used for the purpose of processing this form.	
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically si	igned) Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
	required forms
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-38	864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats Page 1 of 4

ness Name: All State Septic Services L			10/31/2022
npact on public health — C Compliance criteria:	ompliance con	Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes [®] ☑ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	☐ Yes′ ☑ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes [®] 🔀 No		
Any "yes" answer above indicate imminent threat to public health a	*	_	
Describe verification methods an	d results:		
None of the above observed.			
	•		
ank integrity Compliance	a component #) of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	e component #		on:
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentati	OII.
	□ Voc* ► No	─────────────────────────────────────	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes® No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Sewer
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Sevice
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Sevice iness: 1613
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busi Date of maintenance:	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busi Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes³ 🏿 No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes' ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indit	☐ Yes' ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADDate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form instructions to ensure assemble of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies wi
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes ☑ No cates the system	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADDate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies wi
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundway. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Ind results:	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business pate of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the following of the maintenance) (must be with the following of the maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure assemble of the maintenance) (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspect	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies with the complier with the
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above inditis failing to protect groundway. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idea results: Idea re	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be we (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspection, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto. Although not a compliance composition.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundway. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward is failing to protect groundward. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto Although not a compliance composite.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward is failing to protect groundward. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto Although not a compliance composite.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward is failing to protect groundward. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto Although not a compliance composite.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need through the maintenance hole for the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundward is failing to protect groundward. Describe verification methods at The tank was at normal operating camera into the empty tank - botto Although not a compliance composite.	☐ Yes ☑ No Cates the system ster. Idevel, then was pumper, walls, cover, bafflement, it should be note	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business pate of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the following of the maintenance of the following of the maintenance of the inspects, riser and maintenance hole cover ok.) In the maintenance hole cover has minor of the septic tank.	Sevice iness: 1613 10/31/2022 Attach) within three years) essment complies were explain belocation. Lowered a

Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	secured?
☐ Yes® № I Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	fety? ☐ Yes [®] 🛛 No 🔲 Unkno
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* 🔀 No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* 🛛 No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
,	
•	
Attached supporting documentation:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ Note the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ Note the system design?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ Note the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ Note the system design?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the system design.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	o If "yes", A below is requi


Property Address: _10877 Pawnee Ave N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

siness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: <u>1</u>	0/31/2022
Soil separation – Compliance com	nponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 1985 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	•
	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	•
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	3.1
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6.0
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	2.9
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	2.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*	(*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ Noˆ		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued movithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

> Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats Page 4 of 4



Description of the Control of the Co	TRESTMENT

Soil Observation Log

T NAZAM MASS						Project ID:			v 04 01 2021	
Client:			Sheryle Hazard		Local	Location / Address:	10877 Pav	wnee Ave N Stillwa	10877 Pawnee Ave N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	Outwash Decustrine	e Loess Till	I Alluvium		ok Organic Matter	: Matter	
Landscape Po	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation	Elevation-relative to	
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:				Denchmar Limiting Layer Elevation:	Denchmark: Elevation:	
Weather Conc	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:					Date		10/31/22	T
Observatic	Observation #/Location:	В	B-1			sq0	Observation Type:		Auger	\top
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	_	Structure		T
		1 ag. 8		- -		malcatol (3)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	T
0-10	foamv sand	<35%	10YR 3/2			ess and	MAX. deb			
) }	willy willy	2	Parace Nave		the same page	ARX 10	**** .4XX ×	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			10YR 4/3				04.			
10-37	loamy sand	<35%	AAAAAA		,	N.3.500 2.833	AAR. XX	************		
							S. ees	*****		_
32-50	sandy clay	<35%	10YR 4/4		Ob received	AA AXX 73				T
	loam				rm con		88. 0 00eo	***************************************		
50-77	bamy sand	×35%	7.5YR 4/4				A			_
						1 *** ***	***********	**************************************		
				TO COMP.	er en a					_
	•••••						** *** ***	***************************************		
						****				-
						N. 23	hh Phaileag			
	•••••						200 88			
***************************************				AAA AAA YA			IX. MATEL MAKE	THE PERSON NAMED IN		
Comments							A-1			
I hereby certi										
T	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien			7 768			
(Desi	(Designer/Inspector)			(Signature)			(License #)	1	10/31/22 (Date)	
									17771	_

CONTRACTOR OF MENTALS	ONSITE	Sevage	YMEATMENT	PROCRAW

Soil Observation Log

)								
Client:		••	Sheryle Hazard		Locat	Location / Address:	10877 Pav	10877 Pawnee Ave N Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	ир, MN 55082
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	sk all that	apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess Till	II Alluvium	um 🔲 Bedrock	ck Organic Matter	
Landscape Po:	Landscape Position: (select one)	ne)		Slope %:	Slope shape			Elevation-relative to benchmark:	n-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:				Soil survey map units:				Limiting Layer Elevation:	tion:
Weather Cond	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Day:					Date	10/31/22	22
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	8	B-2			Obs	Observation Type:	Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	l Shape	Structure Grade Cor	l Consistence
			10YR 3/3				MAX., drave .d		
0-12	loamy sand	<35%					ee Are Visco		
	-	č	10YR 3/4				**** . X**	•	
12-30	sandy loam	, , , ,		- NAVARAVI	•		h ana ann .		
	sandy clay) ic	10YR 4/4	- consideration			A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-		
30-44	loam	%C5^					*****	PP 444444	
	medium loamy	.	7.5YR 5/4				2		
44-72	sand	, , , ,						and the second s	
							2, 666, 506		
							ook war, e	******	
			P4000				A A44. 422.	·*********	
					AANG AANG		ASA, debe del		
			***************************************				* *** ****		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	~~~ ~~~~~					200. ANN 145		
Comments									
I hereby certi									
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien	<u>.</u>	-	1568		10/31/22
(De	(Designer/Inspector)	(-		(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)