## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 9731 110<sup>th</sup> St N, Grant, MN 55082

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2010, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on November 17, 2022.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 9731 110 <sup>th</sup> St N, Grant, MN 55082		
Owner/representative: Tim Conlin		Owner's phone: 651-402-0075
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):11/17/2022		
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notion	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	of an Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced and use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.	
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	•	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of reco of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	•	and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	·	•
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	=	ealth and safetv
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	•	
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	• .	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to proi		raining to protoot groundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	=	liant - local ordinance annlies
Comments or recommendations	Component <del>#4</del> ) – Noncomp	mant - local ordinance applies
Comments of recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
<b>By typing my name below</b> , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After V	<u></u>	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	pe attached)
⊠ Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛭 Tank Integr	rity Assessment
$\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  $	tion, Disclaimer	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	,	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	-		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity — Compliance	component #2	of 5	
<b>ank integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
<u> </u>	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L</u> 1673
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> 11/17/20
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>11/17/20</u> ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>11/17/20</u> ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the second control of the support	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the second control of the support	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach) nin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach) nin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach) nin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Attention of the system for.	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 11/17/20 ach) nin three years

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

	perty Address: _ 9731 110 <sup>th</sup> St N, Grant, MN 55082	
Busi	iness Name: _ Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 11/17/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	secured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
4	Operating permit and nitragen DMD* Compliance common and #4	of F Marian
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	01.5 🖄 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? $\hfill \square$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? $\hfill \square$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	and the required mittegen and property fanctioning.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use *wq-wwists4-31b* • *4/28/2021* 

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 11/17/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	nponent #5	of 5
Date of installation 1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠ N	Attached supporting documentation:
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report
Compliance criteria (select one):	T	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separati
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
		□ Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2010.
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media  See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes No	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

Describe verification methods and results:

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the number of condition of the substantial of

This information will	be used for the purpose of conducting an MPC	A Compliance Inspection.	
Date of Inspection: 11/16/202	2 & 11/17/2022	Time: 12:45 PM	
Property Address: 9731 110 <sup>t</sup>	Zip: 55082		
Property Owner: Tim Conli	in	Phone: 651-402-0075	
Tank(s)  Septic 2  Aerobic  Lift  Holding  Other:  Tank(s)  Tank(s)  Metal  Plastic  Metal  Other:  Block	glass	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system	
		If no, proper maintenance must be	
		overs should be made accessible to	
the ground surface to facilitate	e access and proper maintenance o	f the system.	
Year house built: 1993	Year septic installed: 1993	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000	
How long has seller owned th	1 1 3	residents in home?	
Number of bedrooms? 3	Are all floors drained by	gravity?	
Garbage disposal? Y	Whirlpool bat	h? Y	
More than one system (laundr			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N			
Are any buildings on this prop	perty such as garages or out-buildi	ngs connected to this system? N	
Are there any additional syste	ms on this property serving other	ouildings? N	
Location of septic system on l			
Location of water well on lot?		the well a deep well? Y	
surfacing of sewage onto the stothe system?  If yes, e	xplain:	tc.; or have any repairs been made	
When was the system last pur	1	ımper: Pinky's Sewer Service	
How often pumped in previou		em on a monitoring plan?	
	m any government agency concer	ning this system?	
	horeland management area? N		
Do you have any additional in	formation that should be given to	the new owner?	
considered "non-compliant/failing" p local government unit within 15 days	er MPCA rules, that the inspector must less of the date of inspection completion. I sponsible for payment of all fees for all	dge. I also understand that if the system is by law submit a copy of this report to the also agree that unless otherwise noted in work performed relative to this inspection	
Owner/Occupant:		Date:	

## **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 9731 110th St N, Grant, MN 55082						
Obser	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv				Date:	11/16/2022
Class	sification System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation	ا ال	nd surface as last field trench			Surface Elevation of Observation	
Depth In Inches Rock	Soils E	Soils Encountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-7 7-20 20-46 46-70	10YR 3/4 7.5YR 4/4	Soils Encountered  10YR 4/2 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam (Dry) 7.5YR 4/4 Silt Loam (Dry) 10YR 4/4 Loamy Sand				
70" Dep	th To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same Elev	ation Of Observation	on Of Observation Relative To System		Elevation Of Observation Relative To Syste		tion Relative To System
-38" Dep	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media
≥32" Of S	Separation			Of Sepa	ration	
Fnd Of S	Soil Observation At:	70"	Fnd ∩f	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	Soil Conditions At:	None			onditions At:	
	Water Present At:	None			r Present At:	
	,	Standing water Present At. None				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 38 Inches		
Signature:	Offer the	

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.