Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear	Brian Humpal		
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com		MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector	
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPOR			
Date: December 6, 2022 Time: 11:00 AM		Owner: Peter Johnsen	
Inspection Address: 5868 Woodlane Ct, Woodbury, MN 55129			

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a seepage bed. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the tanks on December 6, 2022.

Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend installing a larger effluent filter or cleaning the current filter every six months.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 10

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	•
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: <u>5868 Woodlane Ct</u> , Woodbury, MN 55129			
Owner/representative: Peter Johnsen		Owner's phone:	651-428-0862
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lif	t tank, and a seepage bed.		

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): <u>12/6/2022</u>

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend installing a larger effluent filter or cleaning the current filter every six months.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal	Atra Vi
--------------	---------

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature: _________(This

document has been	electronically signed)
-------------------	------------------------

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address:	5868 Woodlane Ct, Woodbur	y, MN 55129
-------------------	---------------------------	-------------

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/6/2022

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting doc	umentation:
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by ir	nspector
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance bus	iness: Meyer Sewer
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: L915	
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	12/6/2022
		Existing tank integrity asse	essment (Attach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance(mm/dd/yyyy):(must be within three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to e Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp.	nsure assessment complies with 4 B (1))
		Tank is Noncompliant (pun	nping not necessary – explain below)
		Other:	
Describe verification methods on	d recultor		

Describe verification methods and results:

Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend installing a larger effluent filter or cleaning the current filter every six months.

٠

٠

4 of 10

Property Address:	5868 Woodlane Ct, Woodbury, MN 55129
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/6/2022

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	ured?	
		□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown		
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	? □ Yes*	🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□ Yes*	🖾 No
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	□ Yes*	🖾 No
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
		Describe verification methods and results:		
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable		
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 🛛 N	lot applicable
	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A	below is required
	Is th	e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If	"yes", B	below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \Box Yes \Box No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5 of 1	0
--------	---

Property Address:	5868 Woodlane Ct, Woodbury, MN 55129
Rusiness Name	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/6/2022

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2008 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, 	⊠ Yes □ N	 Soil observation logs completed for the report Two previous verifications of required vertical separation
beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Wellhead protection area.
5b. Non-performance systems built	🛛 Yes 🗌 N	* Indicate depths or elevations
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media 36"
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ N	*

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

Subsurface Sewage freatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.									
Date of Inspection: December 6, 2022	Time: 11:00 AM								
Property Address: 5868 Woodlane Ct, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129								
Property Owner: Peter Johnsen	Phone: 651-428-0862								
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?									
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to									
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.									
Year house built: 1973 Year septic installed: 2008 Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000									
	sidents in home?								
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gravity?									
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?									
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?									
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?								
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?									
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?									
Location of septic system on lot? North Side									
	e well a deep well? Y								
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:									
When was the system last pumped? 12/6/2022 Name of pum	per: Meyer Sewer Service								
How often pumped in previous years? Is system	on a monitoring plan?								
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?								
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N									
Do you have any additional information that should be given to th	e new owner?								

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



	4 4								p. <i>r</i>
							CHECK	ALL THAT APPL	Y.
SITE EVALUATION			COUNTYL	INTY USE ONLY			CLASS V	keelineettiit sinnettiineetti jo eelin	
COLUMN OF	and and the second s	ana ana ana ang	يىتىنى ئەرىمىيەت يەرىكى ئەرىمەتلەرمەتلەر	e ander son der		D EXIST		COMMERCIAL	ESTABLISHMEN
ALUATOR: CHPIS LECHIE ROPERTY ADDRESS: SFICE WRODELINE ATE: TIME:					:		LING 🗖	FBL ESTABLIS	HMENT
OPERTY A	C. 41P	13 200				SHORE		IN WELLHEAD	PROTECTION A
		5866	a war	المسلح المستجاه المرام الم	, V	GEOCODE:			
TE:			TIME:			· ·			····
	ZINCO Z	ece.	10	:15					
	and a second		Nantari (nyanana araa Nantari (nyanana araa	SOIL	REVIEW				
IL CLASSI	FICATION:	in an	erren i litte i siteri e 1914.	an a	PARENT MAT	ERIAL:	an an ann an ta	and the second	Sa linisa processi i
			NGI		nikuwa a ta bitata An	an in e an ann an	20 Service 15 Service 44		
			en de la constant d'Arron de la constant de la cons A		Bardeland (SPA)		. SOIL BORI	NG 2	
EVATION (OF BORING:		LOCATION:		ELEVATION O	F BORING:	·	LOCATION:	
S COORDINATES: LAT: LON:					GPS COORDIN			LON:	
·····	BORING	<u>A</u>		C PROBE		Boring	<u>.</u>	PIT	D PROBE
HL HORIZON DEPTH (IN)	TEXTURE	COLOR	STRUCTURE	REDOXIMORPHIC. FEATURES	SOIL HORIZON DEPTH ((N)	TEXTURE	COLOR	STRUCTURE	REDOXIMORPH FEATURES
-12	SILT	10. 5-14	SBK	No		······			TEATONES
3.36	C 4.43 7	10.72	AZR	NO	<u></u>				
	e or on	4/10/	Perny	<u></u>	┨────┤			· ·	
Topp									
<i>≦~8* </i>	6-0 MM.	10 1	ER	No					
		•••							· · · · · ·
	داه				<u>├</u>				
1122.53	use do Fritado			SOIL REVIEW		DNS	600.7		
elsite și	UTABLE			DEPTH INFOR	MATION:			EXTURE:	Ma Co.
	rable soil	STAND	ING WATER:	SATU	ATED SOIL:	······	SOIL S	ZING FACTOR:	My SAM
	REED SOIL			$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$		NO			1.23
	CTED SOIL	BEDRO	ю ск:	MAXIN	UM DEPTH OF	SYSTEM:	LINEAR	LOADING RAT	
		BEDRO	<u></u>	JA MAXIN	UM DEPTH OF	system: 361/2		LOADING RAT	
	CTED SOIL		<u></u>		EVIEW	SYSTEM: 34 12		LOADING RAT	
	CTED SOIL	BEDRO	<u></u>	SITE R		SYSTEM: 36 4/2		LOADING RAT	
	CTED SOIL	L THAT APPL	<u>^</u> .y	SITE R EASEAU	EVIEW	81.0FFL			
D WETLA	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA	THAT APPL	<u>^</u> .y	SITE R EASEAU	EVIEW	34 12			
U WETLA	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA LAKE, STREAM	THAT APPL	<u>^</u> .y	SITE R EASEAU	EVIEW	34 12			
U WETLA	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA LAKE, STREAM PLAIN	L THAT APPL ND VEGETAT A, RIVER	<u>^</u> .y	EASEAL	EVIEW	34/2. BLUFFL			
U WETLA	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA LAKE, STREAM PLAIN R FLOOD ELEN	L THAT APPL ND VEGETAT A, RIVER	<u>^</u> .y	SITE R EASEAU O	EVIEW ENTS ON LOT: UTILITY DRAINAGE	3442. BLUFFL RIVER	INE Zi	SETBACKS	
U WETLA D POND, D FLOODI D 10 YEA R BLUPFI	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA LAKE, STREAM PLAIN R FLOOD ELEY INE	L THAT APPL ND VEGETAT A, RIVER (ATION	y ION	SITE R EASEAU O	EVIEW ENTS ON LOT: UTILITY	34/2. BLUFFL RIVER POND, 1		SETBACKS	
U WETLA	CTED SOIL CHECK AL ND OR WETLA LAKE, STREAM PLAIN R FLOOD ELEY INE	L THAT APPL ND VEGETAT A, RIVER	y ION	SITE R EASEAU O	EVIEW ENTS ON LOT: UTILITY DRAINAGE	3442. BLUFFL RIVER	INE Zi	SETBACKS	

....

Soil borings are made in order to 9 of 10 determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as well as the acation of the water table, impervious LOAM SANDY *.*, Srata or bedrock. e. 14 2 - 14 1 . Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole auger, etc. Soils encountered at various depths should be listed as to appearance, texture and composition. TABL WATER PROFILE SOIL TYPICAL Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded. - .!. JOHN MPCA Certification BUE Willer-Soil Borings done by 389 ОП Number (date SOIL BORINGS OF LOG BORING NO. 4 BORING BORING -NO. 3 NO. 2 BORING NO. DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL IN 1N 1 N DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION IN DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION FEET FEEN FEET FEET TUPSCIL Ö I 0 0 0 104R 32 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 ł 1* 1 ł 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 ::: CLAYLEAN 2 2 2 2 104R4/U 21/2 21/2 21/2 21/2 3ë " 3 3 3 3 31/2 31/2 SILTY SAND 31/2 31/2 ~ 4 4 **4** · 4 10YR 4/4 41/2 41/2 41/2 41/2 5 5 5 5 51/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 6 6 6 6 61/2 51/2 61/2 61/2 844 7 7 7 7 71/2 71/2 71/2 71/2 S. 18.-8 8 8 81/2 81/2 81/2 31/2 9 9 9 9

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.