

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Loca					
Property address: <u>73 Apple Orchard Road, Dellwood MN 55110</u>					
Owner/representative: Susan Jensen	Owner's phone:	: 651-332-1692			
Brief system description: 1500 combo tank to inground trenches					
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):1/23/2023					
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	🔀 Noncompliant – Notice of noncomplia	nce			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and s upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinureceipt of this notice or within a shorter plocal ordinance or under section 145A.04 Systems failing to protect ground water n	red within ten months of eriod if required by 4 subdivision 8.			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by loc ordinance.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)				
 Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Failing to protect groundwater System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) − Failing to protect groundwater Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) − Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations 					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mabuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	ade due to unknown conditions during syster				
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, a	and that this information			
Business name: MJL Contracting Inc.	Certification number: 8190				
Inspector signature: Danielle Lang	License number: 2449				
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: <u>763-244-9070</u>				
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	mentation (must be attached)				
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☐ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment [Operating Permit			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

1.

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	<u> </u>	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and results:			
searched for seepage in yard			
nk integrity – Compliance C ompliance criteria:	e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	e component #2	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Pumped at time of inspection	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation. ☑ Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business:	Pinkys
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	<u>Pinkys</u> ss: <u>4251</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Pinkys ss: <u>4251</u> 1/20/20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Pinkys ss: <u>4251</u> 1/20/20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Pinkys ss: <u>4251</u> 1/20/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinkys as: 4251 1/20/202 ch) a three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation. Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinkys ss: 4251 1/20/202 ch) three yea ment comp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (must be within the date of maintena	Pinkys ss: 4251 1/20/20 sh) n three yea ment comp

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5					
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown					
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.					
	Describe verification methods and results:					
	Visual					
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable					
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable				
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐	f "yes", A below is required				
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No I	f "yes", B below is required				
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	1 .				
	Compliance criteria:					
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No					
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.					
	Describe verification methods and results:					
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)					
	Account Supporting Gooding Indianon. Operating permit (Addon)					

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soil treatment area Installed in 1986 per owner	vertical
saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	32" 14" 0" 24" wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.