

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	ı number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0902920110011	Reason for Inspection	Sale of home
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 14829 50th Street North - Town of Baytown		
Owner/representative: Katie Swanson		Owner's phone: 651-271-3374
Brief system description: Original system from 1974 - 1200 pre	cast tank with gravity fed dra	in field
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _10/20/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an mminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect grouse discontinued within the t	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receip rter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone) ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone) ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.: ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	to protect groundwater ent #3) – Imminent threat to p ent #3) – Failing to protect gr 2500 (Compliance componen g to protect groundwater	public health and safety roundwater nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Certification		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered t uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow nadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance sta vn conditions during system con	atus of this system. No determination of nstruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true sed for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my k	nowledge, and that this information can be
usiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Certification number: 9917
spector signature:		License number: 4137
This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-343-9117
lecessary or locally required supporting doc	cumentation (must be	attached)
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re ☐ Other information (list):		

System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:
System discharges sewage to drain the or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. If yes, writing to protect groundwater. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. If other inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. Attached supporting documentation: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Semination of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we dinn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belief to the first was a precent tank of the property of the	System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No		accumentation.
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we maintenance to the pit of the pit of maintenance to the pit of the	ground surface		☐ Not applicable	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes' \omega No No No No No No No No		☐ Yes* ☑ No		
mk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. Lealled the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest took of the fail of the	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☐ No		
nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater, Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank to fit.	Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	the system is an and safety.		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ Mame of maintenance business: □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belication methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer.	Describe verification methods and	results:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): □ (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within them. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belication methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure the tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure the tank of the main				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belication methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure the tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank of the maintainer to make sure the tank of the main				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: Ary "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* ☑ No □ Name of maintenance business: □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): □ (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belication methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first was a precest tank of the				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within the Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below the of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the necessary of the protect of the protect tank of the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect of the protect tank of the protect of the				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first was a preces				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure this was a precest tank of the system and the sure that the system and the sure				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first of the f				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first of the f				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first of the f				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first of the first was a precest tank of the first was a preces				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the first of the f				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance (mintenance) □ Date of maintenance (mintenance) □ Date of maintenance (mintenance) □ Tank instructions to ensure assessment complies whim. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below the protect of the protect tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect groundwater. Attime of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect groundwater. Attime of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the protect groundwater.				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance and integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belied to the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the cesspool.	ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "tyes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank of the sure assessment to make sure this was a precest tank of the sure assessment to make sure this was a precest tank of the sure this was a precest tank of the sure assessment to make sure this was a precest tank of the sure assessment to make sure this was a precest tank of the sure that the sure this was a precest tank of the sure that the sure that the sure this was a precest tank of the sure this was a p		component #2		
Name of maintenance business: Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Compliance criteria:	component #2		locumentation:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 10/5/2021 (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belief) Other: Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting d	
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance [mm/dd/yyyy): [must be within three years] Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years] [See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years]	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting d ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b	business:
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below) Other: Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main	business:
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below.) Other: Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank off.	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance:	oy inspector business: ntenance business:
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belance) Other: Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank after the content of the content	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a	oy inspector business: ntenance business:
is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belonged by the control of t	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance	by inspector business: Intenance business: Ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021
Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank after	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance	by inspector business: Intenance business: Ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021
Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precest tank after	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to	by inspector business: Intenance business: ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021 (must be within three years) be ensure assessment complies within three in the complies within the complex wi
Describe verification methods and results: At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precent tank after	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance b License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	oy inspector business: Intenance business: ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021 (must be within three years) o ensure assessment complies word on the property of the property o
At time of inspection, the tank and manhole were covered. I called the maintainer to make sure this was a precast tank aft receiving the tank integrity form. He confirmed at the time of the maintenance, the manhole was due open and tank in	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance t License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	oy inspector business: Intenance business: ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021 (must be within three years) o ensure assessment complies within three years) op. 4 B (1)) bumping not necessary – explain belonger
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwates	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting d Empty tank(s) viewed b Name of maintenance t License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	oy inspector business: Intenance business: ssessment (Attach) 10/5/2021 (must be within three years) o ensure assessment complies within three years) op. 4 B (1)) bumping not necessary – explain belonger

F	Property Address: 14829 50th Street North - Town of Baytown	
E	Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC	Date: 10/20/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☑ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	S Mat and Salts
	In the question are extend and the Court of	And the second s
		"yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If	"yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	11	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe Vernication methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Date: 1	0/20/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 8/16/1974 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage loughly:		$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):	1	☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	24"
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	60"
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	36"
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	24"
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

See map of soil boring location. This is a very old system so I recommend regular maintenance for the remainder of its life. System should be pumped through the maintenance hole to make sure it gets cleaned thoroughly.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

Property address: 148Z9	50° St	No.		-	Parcel ID:
city: Stillwater		Sta	te: MM	and the same of th	Zip code: <u>55087</u>
	ing stage in the paging of the	The same of the property of the same	n ngan ngan agaman nga kisah agaman anan kan ka	enteres est de la	A District Control of the Control of
Optional section: Sew	age Tank Comp	liance Cer	tification	······································	
This form does not represent					
Instructions: This section of the Maintenance Business who per the system.	e form may be complisionally conducts the r	eted and signe necessary prod	d by a Designated edures to assess	d Certified In the complia	ndividual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS nce status of each sewage tank in
When this section of the form is Existing System Compliance Institute on the MPCA website at 1	spection Report: Com	છીલાઇક પ્રત્યાં છે.	lion roun - Existing	u system (w	supporting documentation to an newwista4.31b). This form can be nonliance criteria.
individual other than the SSTS	Inspector that submits allowable under Minn re date on this form un alations. Additional Ad	the inspection R. 7082.0700, nless a new ev ministrative Ru	report. It represe subp. 4 Item (B) s aluation is reques	nts a third p subitem (1). ted by the o	This form is valid for a period of wner or owner's agent or is
☑ Certificate of sewage ta	nk compliance			-	k non-compliance
Affirm all three statements: The SSTS does not convell, leaching pit, or to be watertight, but so designed operating de it does not represent reason of unsecured, maintenance hole covered.	or other pit. sewage tank that was ubsequently leaks bel epth. an imminent safety the damaged, or weak	designed ow the reat by	leachin Groun It has a waterti operati t press unsect cover(s	STS has a s ng pit, or oth dwater." a sewage ta ght, but sub ing depth – ents a threa ured, damag	eepage pit, cesspool, drywell, er pit — "Failure to Protect nk that was designed to be sequently leaks below the designed "Failure to Protect Groundwater." to public safety by reason of ged, or weak maintenance hole insafe condition — "Imminent Threator Safety."
Company information			Designated (Certified I	ndividual (DCI) information
Company name: PINKYS	Sewer S	Privie	Print name:	Meil	Clymer
Business license number:	673	monthly and a	Certification no	umber: C	2814
I personally conducted the wor Business, I personally conduct	rk described above as ted the necessary pro-	a Designated cedures to ass	Certified Individua ess the compliand	al of a Minne ce status of	esota-licensed SSTS Maintenance each sewage tank in this SSTS:
Designated Certified Individual's signature:	- Ceym	W temperatura and property of the species of	Date (mm/d	ld/yyyy):	10/5/21
	·				

	が、一川田町			
Chiversity of Minnesota	ONSITE	SEWAGE	TREATMENT	PROGRAM

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2020

Soli parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Convexe Chouse Chou		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Katie Swanson		Locatio	Location / Address:	14829 50	14829 50th Street North - Baytown	th - Baytown
Solp Stope %: Stope shape: Convex, Linear Elevation-relative to Denchmark: Denchmark:	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	it apply)		Outwash Lacustrine	☐ Loess ☐ Til		☐ Bedrock		c Matter
Soil survey map units: 155C Limiting Layer Elevation:	Landscape Position: (select one) Back/Side Slope	Back/Sic	le Slope	apple and the same of	Slope shape:	Convex, Lin	lear	Elevation- b	relative to enchmark:
See Map Date 10/20/22	Lawn		So	il survey map units:		155C	<u></u>	miting Layer I	Elevation:
See Map	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:		ਹੱ	oudy	4:00 PA		Date	10	7/20/22
Nottle Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Shape Grade Consistence				See Map		Observat	ion Type:		Auger
Shape Grade Consistence Consistence	Rock Matrix Color(s)	Matrix Co	lor(e)		Podov Kind(e)	ndicator(c)	_	Structure	
Granular Moderate Loose Granular Moderate Loose	Frag. % Matin Co	אמנו וא כס	(c) IOI		אפשטא אווומ(א)		Shape	Grade	Consistence
Granular Moderate Loose Loose Communication	2.5YR 4/3	2.5YR 4/	3				3000	40,000	-
Granular Moderate Loose Granular Moderate Loose Granular Moderate Loose 10/20/2022 10/20/2022 (License #) (Date)	×10.						מוחומ	Modelate	FOOSE
Granular Moderate Loose Lo	10YR 3/6	10YR 37	9					40000	-
Granular Moderate Loose	%665					5	ranutar	Moderate	Loose
Alignature Chairman Moderate Loose L	10YR 4/6	10YR 4/6					7011007	0,000	0000
Alange A						ō	מומו	אסתכן מנכ	000
A 137 A 137 A 137 A 137 A 10/20/2022 A 137 A 137									
A 137 Charles Charle									
Indext I									
And the stand laws. And la									
And the state of									
with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. 4137 (Signature) (Date)									
lance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. 4137 (License #) (Date)									
Hance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. 4137 (Signature) (License #) (Date)									
Well #137 10/20/2022 (Signature) (License #) (Date)	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance	work in accorg	Jan S	with	ances, rules and la	IWS.			
(Signature) (License #) (Date)	Shelley Schlomka		1/1	IN THE			4137		10/20/2022
	(Designer/Inspector)	0		/ (Signature)	The state of the s	(Li	cense #)	•	(Date)
				(Signature)		2)	(Cert. #)		(Date)

14829 5+. N. DRIVEWAY XWEI1 House 100 GARAGIE Jallon Jank 15/20 STA *Soil BORING

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any particular period of time.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I can not guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system new or old can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- *
- 5. Winter Work Client understand that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.



 If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.