

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 16.028.20.42.0006	Reason for Inspection	property sale		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County				
Property address: 2675 Trading Post Trail S Afton, MN 55001				
Owner/representative: Wade Hanson, Realtor		Owner's phone: 651-274-8584		
Brief system description: Two precast septic tanks, one precast	pump tank and a pressure l	bed drainfield		
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _4/12/2023				
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect gruse discontinued within the	round water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or			
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subc	division 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all application				
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public	c health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	g to protect groundwater			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor	nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	public health and safety		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor	nent #3) – Failing to protect (groundwater		
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	.2500 (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	ng to protect groundwater			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co	mpliance component #4) – /	Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations				
	ecords on file at Washington	n County.		
Reviewed design, permit, soil, pumping and inspection r	ecords on the at washington	1 Oddiny.		
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown that the protection was a fet to protect the protection.	d to determine the compliance own conditions during system (status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system,		
inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true.	ue and correct to the hest of m	v knowledge, and that this information can be		
used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the seek of m	Certification number: 323		
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC License number: 1568				
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-4496			
(This document has been electronically si	gnea)	FIIOIIe. 612-394-4430		
Necessary or locally required supporting de	ocumentation (must	be attached)		
	required forms	tegrity Assessment		
	Togottos Tottos			
○ Other information (list): Previous soil verifications				

ss Name: _ All State Septic Services LLC	С		Date:	4/12/2023
pact on public health – Co	mplia	nce comp	onent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain ille or surface waters.	☐ Yes*	⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	Yes	⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	the syst id safety	em is an		
Describe verification methods and	results:			
None of the above observed.				
nk integrity — Compliance	comp	onent #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance	comp	onent #2		
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	comp	onent #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentati	on:
Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentati	on:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		onent #2 ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentati	on: Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes [®]		Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes [®]	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business.	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes [®]	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes [®]	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes [®]	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ (must be we documentation)	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202 attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202 attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's ness: 1613 4/12/202 attach) within three year essment compli
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's ness: 1613 4/12/202 attach) within three year essment compli
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No e system	Attached supporting documentation In Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: In Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (must be work) (See form instructions to ensure assemble Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) In Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need to the control of	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202 attach) within three year essment complied
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicits failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and pumped through the maintenance has a seepage pit, cesspool a seepage	Yes Yes Area of the second of	No No No No S: Divers for the inspection	Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's iness: 1613 4/12/202 attach) within three year essment complied ecessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicise failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and pumped through the maintenance holes, perfowalls, covers, baffles, risers and maintenance methods.	Yes	No No No s: overs for the inspection isual inspection is hole covers	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's ness: 1613 4/12/202 attach) within three year essment complied ecessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicise failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and pumped through the maintenance holes, perfowalls, covers, baffles, risers and maintenance methods.	Yes Yes Yes Address the ter.	No No No Stemmont in the inspection is all inspection in the inspection in the inspection is all inspection in the inspe	Attached supporting documentati	Pinky's ness: 1613 4/12/202 Attach) within three year essment completessary – explain ecessary – explain

• 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats https://www.pca.state.mn.us Page 2 of 4

Pi	Property Address: 2675 Trading Post Trail S Afton, MN 55001	
В	Susiness Name:All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 4/12/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or	unsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or	safety? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector	
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
		44 - CE - 52 N
4.	. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #	#4 01 5 🔯 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes	No If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comp	oleted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe vermounded and recurse.	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	
	Attached supporting documentation.	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats

Page 3 of 4


ısiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: 4/12/2023		
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #	of 5		
Date of installation 9/25/2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ☒ N	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
Compliance criteria (select one):	I			
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ N	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ N	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media 32		
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 72		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		C. System separation 40		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation* 36		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes N			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		_		

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Ross Iverson 2675 Trading Post Trail Afton, MN 55001

> As-Built done by Todd Featherstone Featherstone Excar. P.O.BOX 467 Hudson, WI 54016



LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

of 2503 Trading lest Pal.

4

Lines tow fragments COOTSE SAND Red brown SANDY LOE IN SANDY LOE IN SANDY LOE IN SAND 1981 Pale brown medium to 10 dr 6 /3 4-4 medium brown fine sawo Pak bown 104x 6/3 104× 4/3 いから COUNTL B3-A medium brown from the same E Lines ton Red brown fried pula brown malum to 8/9 -201 SAND COUNT 88 T. Red Ground fine stoway tragments COUNTE SAND Pale brown occasional 10yr 6/3 hoem 542 3 3 Lineston Elp ahol 81-A Depth in Fest

,,,,,,,,

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 2675 Trading Post Trail S, Afton, MN 55001						
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date:	9/4/12	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	ification System:	USDA	
Boring Number: 1			Boring Number:			
Surface Elevation o Boring	Surface Same ground surface as drainfield		Surface Elevation Boring			
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Encountered		
0-6 6-80	10YR 5/4	2.5/3 Loam Fine Sand With 4 Lamellae Banding				
80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox				Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox		
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring Relative To System				
-34" Depth To Bottom Of System ≥46" Of Separation		Depth To Bottom Of System Of Separation				
F	End Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:		
	Redox Present At:	None	Redox Present At:			
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing	g Water Present At:		

Bottom Of	Distribution	Medium At:	34 Inches	